

## Overview of the analysis

## Introduction and context

- The recent reforms to student loan repayment terms implemented in response to the Augar Review (here) are expected to result in a large decline in the net Exchequer cost of the English higher education (HE) funding system (e.g. see here). However, in spite of these reforms, the Government's costs of the system continue to apply significant pressures on the public finances - particularly in the context of a demographic boom in the number of 16-18 year-olds.
- To assess the potential financial pressures of the system on the public purse going forward, we were commissioned by the Association of Colleges to forecast the potential Exchequer cost associated with the English HE funding system by 2030-31, under different scenarios in relation to the future growth in student numbers. In particular, we model a range of illustrative scenarios in relation to the number of English domiciled first-year students starting undergraduate qualifications in each year, to show the implications for the potential cost of the system at the start of the next decade, including:
- An extrapolation of recent growth trends in student numbers, modelled here as the 'Baseline' for comparison with all other scenarios;
- Scenario 1: A 'levelling off' in student numbers, by assuming no further growth in first-year students going forward (e.g. a potential stagnation that could be driven by real-terms reductions in maintenance support and the decline in the graduate earnings premium over time);
- Scenario 2: A further boost to student growth on top of growth trends in recent years (i.e. on top of the 'Baseline', e.g. due to declining labour market outcomes and reduced options for non-graduates);
- Scenario 3: Within the same overall growth forecast as in the 'Baseline', a shift in demand from Level 6 (first degree) to Level $4 / 5$ (sub-degree) study (e.g. due to a potential shift in policy focus on promoting sub-degree qualifications as a key means to upskilling the UK workforce).


## Overview of the analysis

- Specifically, we estimate the cost of the full range of English fees and student support arrangements to the Exchequer, focusing on English domiciled undergraduate students studying at higher education institutions (HEIs) ${ }^{1}$ anywhere in the UK or at further education colleges (FECs) in England. The analysis includes both full-time (FT) and part-time (PT) students, as well as all types of undergraduate qualifications (i.e. first degrees (Level $6^{2}$ ) and sub-degree qualifications ${ }^{3}$ (Level 4/5)).
- The analysis is based on the funding arrangements facing the cohort of English domiciled students starting qualifications in 202324 - i.e. the analysis focuses only on students who are subject to the new Plan 5 loan repayment terms for England - and we assume that there are no changes to the funding system by 2030-314. However, we also assess how much Exchequer resource might be freed up under a potential Employer Levy, to consider a potential contribution to the funding system from employers as one of the key beneficiaries of higher education.
- The modelling assesses a range of key metrics, including:
- Detailed student loan outcomes, e.g. the Resource Accounting and Budgeting (RAB) charge ${ }^{5}$ (i.e. proportion of the total loan balance written off);
- The total student loan outlay (i.e. the total value of loans issued to relevant (first-year and continuing) students in the academic year of interest); and
- The total Exchequer cost of the system, including the (RAB-adjusted, i.e. 'net') cost of student loan support associated with these students, as well as the associated Teaching Grant funding paid to HEls across the UK (where applicable).
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## Core modelling scenarios

Economics
The Baseline forecasts first-year student numbers up to 2030-31 based on recent trends in student growth per year. In addition, we modelled $\mathbf{3}$ alternative scenarios in relation to the number of first-year students:

## BASELINE: <br> CURRENT TRENDS <br> Extrapolation from current growth

 trends using 3 -year rolling compound annual growth rates (CAGRs) ${ }^{1}$.- For example, first-year students in 2023-24 are estimated based on 2022-23 numbers, multiplied by the 3 -year compound annual growth rate between 2019-20 and 2022-232, 3


## SCENARIO 1: LEVELLING OF/NO GROWTH

Assuming the same number of firstyear students in 2023-24 as in the Baseline, but that there is no growth in first-year students after that.

- i.e. we assume that the same number of first-year students enter the system in each year going forward


## SCENARIO 2: HIGHER GROWTH

Assuming the same number of firstyear students in 2023-24 as in the Baseline, but higher growth after that:

- 2.0 percentage point uplift to Baseline growth in each year for FT students
- 0.5 percentage point uplift to Baseline growth in each year for PT students


## SCENARIO 3: SWITCH TOWARDS LEVEL 4/5

Assuming the same total number of first-year students in all years as in the Baseline, but within this total:

- Students studying in England:
'Switch' from Level 6 (degrees) to Level 4/5 (sub-degree) qualifications): Doubling in Level 4/5 FT students in 2030-31
compared to Baseline, and 50\% uplift for PT students ${ }^{4}$.
Corresponding absolute declines in Level 6 students.
- Students studying in RUK: Same numbers as in Baseline.
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## Alternative scenarios with Employer Levy

In addition to the above 'core' scenarios, we also analysed how much Exchequer resource might be freed up under Scenarios 1-3 through the introduction of an Employer Levy for higher education:


## SCENARIO 1: LEVELLING OF/NO GROWTH

Assuming the same number of firstyear students in 2023-24 as in the Baseline, but that there is no growth in first-year students after that.

- i.e. we assume that the same number of first-year students enter the system in each year going forward


## SCENARIO 2: HIGHER GROWTH

Assuming the same number of firstyear students in 2023-24 as in the Baseline, but higher growth after that:

- 2.0 percentage point uplift to Baseline growth in each year for FT students
- 0.5 percentage point uplift to Baseline growth in each year for PT students

Alternative: + Employer Levy

## SCENARIO 3:

 SWITCH TOWARDS LEVEL 4/5Assuming the same total number of first-year students in all years as in the Baseline, but within this total:

- Students studying in England:
'Switch' from Level 6 (degrees) to Level 4/5 (sub-degree) qualifications): Doubling in Level 4/5 FT students in 2030-31 compared to Baseline, and 50\% uplift for PT students.
Corresponding absolute declines in Level 6 students.
- Students studying in RUK: Same numbers as in Baseline.

Alternative: + Employer Levy

For each scenario, we modelled a $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ percentage point increase in the employer National Insurance contribution rate (from $\mathbf{1 3 . 8 \%}$ to $14.0 \%$ ) for students/graduates in the relevant student body (i.e. for English domiciled undergraduate students entering HE from 2023-24 onwards) ${ }^{1}$.
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## Baseline: Growth based on current trends

## Baseline: First-year students by mode

Forecast number of first-year undergraduate English domiciled students, by year and mode (000s)


- Under the Baseline forecasts, based on recent growth trends over the last 3 years, the number of first-year English domiciled undergraduate students (studying anywhere in the UK) is predicted to increase from approximately 522,000 in 2023-24 to 683,000 in 2030-31 (a 31\% increase). This equates to an annual growth rate (CAGR) of roughly $4 \%$ over the period ${ }^{1}$. This effectively incorporates the predicted demographic bulge that is expected to feed into postcompulsory education in the next decade.
- In terms of the breakdown by mode of study, and again reflecting recent growth trends, the Baseline scenario predicts a substantial increase in relevant full-time students over the period ( $33 \%$ increase, from 451,000 to 600,000 ), with a more modest rise in part-time students ( $16 \%$ increase, from 71,000 to $83,000)$.
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## Baseline: First-year students by level

Forecast number of first-year undergraduate English domiciled students, by year and level (000s)


- In terms of the breakdown by level of study, under the Baseline, the number of relevant students undertaking first degrees (i.e. Level 6 qualifications) is predicted to increase by $\mathbf{2 6 \%}$ by 2030-31 (from 459,000 to 580,000).
- In contrast, the relative increase in students enrolling in sub-degree (i.e. Level 4/5) qualifications is predicted to be much higher, estimated to increase by as much as $64 \%$ (from 63,000 in 2023-24 to 103,000 in 2030-31).
- While the Baseline thus already predicts a strong increase in the demand for Level $4 / 5$ qualifications, Scenario 3 models an even more substantial increase (by modelling a switch from degree level to sub-degree level qualifications).


## Baseline: First-year students by study location

Forecast number of first-year undergraduate English domiciled students, by year and study location (000s)


- In terms of location of study, the Baseline forecasts a year-on-year increase in first-year students studying at English HEls and HEls in the rest of the UK (with a predicted $\mathbf{2 7 \%}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 5 \%}$ total increase over the period, respectively).
- However, the analysis predicts an initial reduction in students in the cohort starting qualifications at English FE colleges until 2026-27 (due to a recent overall downward trend in numbers for colleges). This is followed by a predicted increase between 2026-27 and 2030-31 ${ }^{1}$, so that these students are estimated to increase by $22 \%$ overall between 2023-24 and 2030-31.
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## Baseline: Total student body in 2030-31

Forecast total (first-year and continuing) undergraduate English domiciled students in 2030-31 (000s)


Note: All numbers relate to English domiciled students studying anywhere in the UK (including UK HEls and English FE colleges). Numbers are rounded to the nearest 1,000 and may not add up to the reported totals due to this rounding.

- We then combine our above first-year student forecasts with assumptions in relation to the average study duration and continuation rates (separately by study mode and level ${ }^{1}$ ). This allows us to estimate the expected size of the total student body - i.e. including first-year and continuing students - in 2030-31, and, therefore, the associated total 'net' Exchequer cost and loan outlay in that year.
- The total number of relevant English domiciled undergraduate students expected to be enrolled in 203031 under the Baseline stands at approximately 1.72 million. This includes:
- 1.50 million full-time students ( $87 \%$ ), and 218,000 part-time students (13\%);
- 1.53 million students studying at English HEls (89\%), 133,000 studying at HEls in the rest of the UK (8\%), and 57,000 at FE colleges in England (3\%); and
- 1.56 million enrolled in first degrees (Level 6; 91\%), with the remaining 159,000 undertaking sub-degree qualifications (Level 4/5; $9 \%$ ).
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## Baseline: Exchequer cost in 2030-31

Economics


Note: All monetary values are presented in cash terms in 2030-31 prices and rounded to the nearest £1m.
In each instance, the cost of maintenance loan and fee loan forgiveness is calculated by multiplying the estimated maintenance loan and fee loan outlay by the estimated RAB charge (capturing the proportion of the total loan balance (including accumulated interest) expected to be written off at the end of the 40-year loan repayment period). The RAB charge presented here represents the overall weighed average RAB charge (average across men and women, qualification levels, modes of study (i.e. full-time and part-time students), and lifetime earnings deciles).

- Under the Baseline scenario, the total estimated 'net' (i.e. RAB-adjusted) cost of the English undergraduate HE funding system in 2030-31 stands at approximately $£ 3.14$ bn.
- Of this total, the maintenance loan write-offs cost the public purse approximately $£ 728$ m, while fee loan writeoffs cost $£ 798 \mathrm{~m}$. The cost associated with the provision of Teaching Grants to HE providers is estimated at $£ 1.61$ bn (including $£ 1.57$ bn for English HEls and FE colleges (allocated by the Office for Students) and $£ 41 \mathrm{~m}$ associated with students studying at Welsh providers ${ }^{1}$ (allocated by the Higher Education Funding Council for Wales)).
- The total loan outlay in 2030-31 is forecast to be roughly $£ 25.63$ bn, including $£ 12.14$ bn in maintenance loans and $£ 13.50$ bn in tuition fee loans issued to students in that year.
- The average RAB charge is estimated at $6.0 \%$ (on average across all study levels and modes).
${ }^{1}$ English domiciled students studying in Scotland or Northern Ireland typically do not attract any Teaching Grant funding (from the Scottish Funding Council or the Department for the Economy Northern Ireland, respectively). This is because these students are charged much higher tuition fees as compared to 'home' students studying in these Home Nations - so that the Teaching Grants paid to Scottish and Northern Irish HEls generally apply to 'home' domiciled students only.


## Baseline: Exchequer loan outlay in 2023-24 vs 2030-31

- As outlined above, our modelling exclusively focuses on students who entered higher education from 2023-24 onwards, i.e. those students who are subject to the new Plan 5 loan repayment terms that were introduced for new entrants from 2023-24 as part of the DfE's response to the Augar Review ${ }^{1}$. Hence, our analysis does not provide estimates of the current total loan outlay associated with first-year and continuing students in 2023-24.
- Instead, to assess the growth in the total loan outlay over time, we compare our 2030-31 estimates to DfE student Ioan forecasts for England assessing the expected total loan outlay issued to all students in 2023-24 ${ }^{2}$. This comparison indicates that, under the Baseline growth scenario, the total loan outlay is estimated to increase from $£ 20.2$ bn in 2023-24 to $£ 25.6$ bn $^{3}$ in 2030-31 (a $\mathbf{2 7 \%}$ increase in cash terms (i.e. nominal terms)).

Note: All monetary values are presented in cash terms in current prices (i.e. in nominal terms), and rounded to the nearest $£ 0.1$ bn
${ }^{1}$ Again, see here for more information.

 3 entered HE from 2012-13 onwards).


## Scenario 1: Levelling off/no growth

## Scenario 1: First-year students by mode

Forecast number of first-year undergraduate English domiciled students, by year and mode (000s)


Scenario 1: Levelling off/no growth


- Under Scenario 1, we assume the same number of first-year students in 2023-24 as in the Baseline. However, we then model no further growth in the number of first-year students in subsequent years.
- In other words, we assume the same number of first-year students between 2024-25 and 2030-31 as in 2023-24 - so that the total number of first-year students remains at 522,000 in each year. This compares to 683,000 students under the Baseline forecast for 2030-31.


## Scenario 1: First-year students by level

Forecast number of first-year undergraduate English domiciled students, by year and level (000s)


Scenario 1: Levelling off/no growth


- Under Scenario 1, we assume the same number of first-year students in 2023-24 as in the Baseline. However, we then model no further growth in the number of first-year students in subsequent years.
- In other words, we assume the same number of first-year students between 2024-25 and 2030-31 as in 2023-24 - so that the total number of first-year students remains at 522,000 in each year. This compares to 683,000 students under the Baseline forecast for 2030-31.


## Scenario 1: First-year students by study location

Forecast number of first-year undergraduate English domiciled students, by year and study location (000s)


Scenario 1: Levelling off/no growth


- Under Scenario 1, we assume the same number of first-year students in 2023-24 as in the Baseline. However, we then model no further growth in the number of first-year students in subsequent years.
- In other words, we assume the same number of first-year students between 2024-25 and 2030-31 as in 2023-24 - so that the total number of first-year students remains at 522,000 in each year. This compares to 683,000 students under the Baseline forecast for 2030-31.


## Scenario 1: Total student body in 2030-31

Forecast total (first-year and continuing) undergraduate English domiciled students in 2030-31 (000s)


Scenario 1: Levelling off/no growth


- Compared to the Baseline, the lower number of expected first-year students entering $H E$ in each year results in a smaller total relevant student body in 2030-31. While the number of English domiciled undergraduate students expected to be enrolled in 2030-31 under the Baseline stands at 1.72 million, this stands at roughly 1.40 million under Scenario 1 (a-19\% difference, or $-325,000$ students).


## Scenario 1: Exchequer cost in 2030-31

- Scenario 1 would reduce the 'net' Exchequer cost of the system in 2030 - $\mathbf{3 1}$ by $£ 579$ m, to $£ 2.56$ bn (an $18 \%$ decline). Given the much lower predicted number of students, all items of Exchequer expenditure would be reduced, with the decline standing at $£ 137 \mathrm{~m}$ for the cost of maintenance loans write-offs, $£ 149 \mathrm{~m}$ for fee loan write-offs, and $£ \mathbf{£ 2 9 3} \mathbf{m}$ for Teaching Grants.
- The $£ 1.32$ bn that would be incurred in Teaching Grants to HEls includes approximately $£ 1.30$ bn for English HEls and FE colleges and $£ 22 \mathrm{~m}$ associated with students studying at Welsh HEls.
- The total loan outlay in 2030-31 would also be much lower than under the Baseline, declining by $£ 5.22$ billion to $£ 20.42$ bn (a $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ reduction). The value of maintenance loans issued would be $£ 2.48$ bn lower, while the fee loan outlay would be $£ 2.74$ bn lower than under the Baseline.
- The RAB charge would be (almost) unchanged, at $6.1 \%{ }^{1}$.


## Scenario 1: Exchequer loan outlay in 2023-24 vs 2030-31

Forecast total student loan outlay in 2023-24 vs. Scenario 1 forecasts for 2030-31, £bn


Note: All monetary values are presented in cash terms in current prices (i.e. in nominal terms), and rounded to the nearest $£ 0.1$ bn.
${ }^{1}$ Again, see Department for Education (2023). 'Student loan forecasts for England'. Financial year 2022-23' (here)
$20{ }^{2}$ As well as constant continuation rates (and, therefore, a constant number of continuing students each year).

- Again, as for the Baseline, we also compare our 2030-31 loan outlay estimates under Scenario 1 to the DfE's student loan outlay estimates for England in 2023-24 ${ }^{1}$, to assess the growth in loan outlay over time under this scenario.
- This comparison indicates that, as expected, under Scenario 1, the estimated total loan outlay in 2030-31 (£20.4bn) would be effectively the same as in 2023-24 (£20.2bn) (compared to a $\mathbf{2 7 \%}$ increase over time under the Baseline forecasts for 2030-31). This is driven by the assumed constant number of first-year students entering HE in each year under this scenario (since we assume no growth in first-year students between 2023-24 and 2030-31²).


## Scenario 1+: Exchequer cost in 2030-31 with Employer levy

Economics

|  | Resource flows (fm/\%) | Baseline | Scenario 1+ | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 'Net' Exchequer cost (adjusted for RAB) |  |  |  |
|  | Cost of maintenance loans | (£728m) | (£591m) | £137m |
|  | Cost of tuition fee loans | (£798m) | (£650m) | £149m |
|  | Cost of Teaching Grants | (£1,612m) | (£1,319m) | £293m |
|  | Employer Levy contributions | - | £1,833m | £1,833m |
|  | Total Exchequer cost | (£3,139m) | (£727m) | £2,412m |
| $\pm$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{7}{0}$ | RAB charge (\%) | 6.0\% | 6.1\% | +0.1 pp |

- In addition to the above 'core' results (incorporating the current funding system for English domiciled students starting undergraduate qualifications from 2023-24), we also assessed the implications for the Exchequer costs of the system under the introduction of a potential Employer Levy for HE. Specifically, we modelled a 0.2 percentage point increase in the employer National Insurance contribution rate (from $13.8 \%$ to $14.0 \%$ ) for students/graduates in the relevant student body in 2030-31.
- Under these assumptions, the (post-graduation) Employer Levy contributions associated with students enrolled in 2030-31 were estimated at approximately $£ 1.83 \mathrm{bn}$, so that the net public cost of the English undergraduate funding system would decline to $£ 727$ m under Scenario 1. This compares to $£ 3.14$ bn under the Baseline system with no Employer Levy.

21 Note: All monetary values are presented in cash terms in $2030-31$ prices and rounded to the nearest $£ 1$ m.

## Scenario 2: Higher growth

## Scenario 2: First-year students by mode

Forecast number of first-year undergraduate English domiciled students, by year and mode (000s)



- In Scenario 2, we again model the same number of first-year students in 2023-24 as in the Baseline, but higher annual student growth rates compared to the Baseline in all subsequent years. Specifically, we model a 2 percentage point uplift to Baseline growth rates in each year for full-time students, and a 0.5 percentage point uplift for part-time students.
- As a result, under Scenario 2, the predicted increase in the number of first-year students over time is much larger, rising from $\mathbf{5 2 2 , 0 0 0}$ students in 2023-24 to 771,000 in 2030-31 (a 48\% increase overall, and approximately $6 \%$ per year on average).
- Within this total, full-time students would increase to 685,000 by 2030-31 (a $52 \%$ increase over the period, vs. $\mathbf{3 3 \%}$ in the Baseline), while the number of part-time students would increase to 86,000 (a $20 \%$ increase, compared to $16 \%$ in the Baseline).


## Scenario 2: First-year students by level

Forecast number of first-year undergraduate English domiciled students, by year and level (000s)



- In terms of study level, Scenario 2 would also imply higher growth rates in the number of both Level $4 / 5$ and Level 6 students as compared to the Baseline. By 2030-31, Scenario 2 would result in an increase in sub-degree students to $\mathbf{1 1 1 , 0 0 0}$ (a $\mathbf{7 8 \%}$ increase over the period, vs. $\mathbf{6 4 \%}$ in the Baseline), and the number of students enrolled in degree-level study would increase to 659,000 (a $44 \%$ increase, compared to $26 \%$ in the Baseline ${ }^{1}$ ).


## Scenario 2: First-year students by study location

Forecast number of first-year undergraduate English domiciled students, by year and study location (000s)



- In terms of location of study, by 2030-31, Scenario 2 would result in an increase in students studying at English HEls to $\mathbf{6 6 8 , 0 0 0}$ (a $\mathbf{4 3 \%}$ increase over the period, vs. $\mathbf{2 7 \%}$ in the Baseline), while the number of students enrolled at RUK HEIs would increase to 63,000 (a $131 \%$ increase, compared to $105 \%$ in the Baseline). The number of students studying at English FE colleges would rise to $\mathbf{3 9 , 0 0 0}$ (a 37\% increase over the period, compared to $\mathbf{2 2 \%}$ in the Baseline - with colleges again experiencing lower growth than English or RUK HEIs).


## Scenario 2: Total student body in 2030-31

Forecast total (first-year and continuing) undergraduate English domiciled students in 2030-31 (000s)



- Compared to the Baseline (and in contrast to Scenario 1), the larger number of first-year students modelled under Scenario 2 results in a larger total relevant student body in 2030-31. The predicted student body of English domiciled undergraduate students in 2030-31 stands at 1.72 million under the Baseline; under Scenario 2, this would instead increase to approximately 1.91 million students (a $\mathbf{+ 1 1 \%}$ difference, or +192,000 students).


## Scenario 2: Exchequer cost in 2030-31



## Scenario 2: Exchequer loan outlay in 2023-24 vs 2030-31

Forecast total student loan outlay in 2023-24 vs. Scenario 2
forecasts for 2030-31, £bn


- Again, we also compare our 2030-31 loan outlay estimates under Scenario 2 to the DfE's student loan outlay estimates for England in 2023-24 ${ }^{1}$, to assess the growth in loan outlay over time under this scenario.
- This comparison indicates that the higher student growth assumed under Scenario 2 would result in a substantial increase in the student loan outlay over time, from $£ 20.2$ bn in $2023-24$ to $£ 28.7$ bn in $2030-31$. This is equivalent to a $\mathbf{4 2 \%}$ increase (again in nominal (cash) terms) and compares to a 27\% increase over the period under our Baseline forecasts.


## Scenario 2+: Exchequer cost in 2030-31 with Employer levy

Resource flows ( $\mathrm{Em} / \%$ )
Baseline
Scenario 2+
Difference
'Net' Exchequer cost (adjusted for RAB)

| Cost of maintenance loans | $(£ 728 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(£ 810 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(£ 82 \mathrm{~m})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost of tuition fee loans | $(£ 798 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(£ 890 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(£ 92 \mathrm{~m})$ |
| Cost of Teaching Grants | $(£ 1,612 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(£ 1,801 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(£ 189 \mathrm{~m})$ |
| Employer Levy contributions | - | $£ 2,621 \mathrm{~m}$ | $£ 2,621 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Total Exchequer cost | $\mathbf{( £ 3 , 1 3 9 \mathrm { m } )}$ | $\mathbf{( £ 8 8 0 \mathrm { m } )}$ | $£ 2,258 \mathrm{~m}$ |

- In addition to the above 'core' Scenario 2, again, we then assessed the Exchequer costs of the system under a potential Employer Levy for HE (using the same Employer Levy assumptions as for Scenario 1).
- Here, the (post-graduation) Employer Levy contributions associated with students enrolled in 2030-31 were estimated at approximately $£ 2.62$ bn (compared to $£ 1.83$ bn under Scenario 1), so that the net public cost of the funding system would decline to $£ 880 \mathrm{~m}$. This compares to $£ 3.14$ bn under the Baseline system with no Employer Levy.
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## Scenario 3: Switch in demand towards Levels 4/5

## Scenario 3: First-year students by mode

Forecast number of first-year undergraduate English domiciled students, by year and mode (000s)



- Scenario 3 assumes an underlying shift from Level 6 qualifications (i.e. first degrees) to Level $4 / 5$ qualifications (i.e. subdegree qualifications such as HNCs/HNDs) among students studying in England, but within the same number of firstyear students entering HE each year as in the Baseline. Hence, this scenario results in the same aggregate number of first-year students as under the Baseline.
- The overall distribution of students by mode of study would also be unchanged compared to the Baseline forecasts.


## Scenario 3: First-year students by level

Forecast number of first-year sub-degree (Level 4/5) students studying in England: Baseline vs. Scenario 3 (000s)

$32{ }^{1}$ Numbers here are rounded to the nearest 100 , and may not add up to the reported totals due to this rounding.

- However, under Scenario 3, we assume a significant 'switch' in students' demand from Level 6 to Level $4 / 5$ in England (i.e. for students at English HEls and colleges only, but no change for students studying in RUK):
- For full-time students, we model a $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ uplift in Level $4 / 5$ students in 2030-31 compared to the predicted Baseline number in that year. Hence, in 2030-31, the number of FT Level $4 / 5$ students in England would stand at approximately 105,300 under Scenario 3, compared to 52,600 in the Baseline ${ }^{1}$ (a 52,600 difference). Accordingly, there would be 52,600 fewer FT Level 6 students.
- For part-time students, we model a $50 \%$ uplift in the number of Level $4 / 5$ students in 2030-31 compared to the Baseline number in that year. Hence, in 2030-31, the number of PT Level $4 / 5$ students in England would stand at 65,000 , compared to 43,300 in the Baseline (a 21,700 difference) - and there would be $\mathbf{2 1 , 7 0 0}$ fewer PT Level 6 students.
- We assume that the increased demand for Level $4 / 5$ would begin in 2025-26 (i.e. we essentially model what might happen if there were a significant policy shift towards promoting subdegree qualifications over the next two years).


## Scenario 3: First-year students by level

Forecast number of first-year undergraduate English domiciled students, by year and level (000s)



- Given the assumed switch towards Level $4 / 5$ qualifications, Scenario 3 would imply very significant growth in the number of sub-degree level first-year students between 2023-24 and 2030-31, from 63,000 to 177,000 (a 183\% increase, vs. 64\% in the Baseline). In other words, compared to the Baseline, there would be 74,000 additional students starting Level 4/5 qualifications in 2030-31 (177,000 under Scenario 3 vs. 103,000 under the Baseline).
- Conversely, the growth in students starting Level 6 qualifications would be much lower than under the Baseline, increasing from 459,000 in 2023-24 to 506,000 in 2030-31 (a 10\% increase, vs. 26\% in the Baseline). Hence, compared to the Baseline, there would be 74,000 fewer students starting Level 6 qualifications in 2030-31 (506,000 under Scenario 3 vs. 580,000 in the Baseline).


## Scenario 3: First-year students by study location

Forecast number of first-year undergraduate English domiciled students, by year and study location (000s)



- In terms of location of study, since HE provision at FE colleges predominantly focuses on sub-degree level qualifications ${ }^{1}$, the switch towards Level $4 / 5$ under Scenario 3 would result in substantial growth in first-year students enrolling at English FE colleges between 2023-24 and 2030-31, from 29,000 to 55,000 (a $\mathbf{9 3 \%}$ increase, vs. 22\% in the Baseline). In other words, compared to the Baseline, there would be 20,000 additional first-year students enrolled at English FE colleges in 2030-31 (55,000 under Scenario 3 vs. 35,000 under the Baseline).
- In contrast, there would be 20,000 fewer first-year students enrolled at English HEls (571,000 under Scenario 3 vs. 592,000 under the Baseline), with students at English HEls growing from 466,000 in 2023-24 to 571,000 in 2030-31 (a $23 \%$ increase, vs. $\mathbf{2 7 \%}$ under the Baseline).


## Scenario 3: Total student body in 2030-31

Forecast total (first-year and continuing) undergraduate English domiciled students in 2030-31 (000s)

Baseline: Current trends



Scenario 3: Switch towards Level 4/5



- As above, Scenario 3 is based on the same total number of first-year students entering HE in each year of interest as under the Baseline.
- However, given the typically shorter study duration and lower continuation rates for sub-degree qualifications compared to first degrees ${ }^{1}$, the total relevant student body in 2030-31 would be slightly smaller than in the Baseline ( 1.68 million in Scenario 3 vs. 1.72 million under the Baseline; a difference of $-\mathbf{2 \%}$, or $-\mathbf{3 8 , 0 0 0}$ students). In other words, Scenario 3 assumes the same number of first-year students in 2030-31 as in the Baseline, but in a somewhat lower number of continuing students in that year ${ }^{2}$.


# Scenario 3: Exchequer cost in 2030-31 (1) 

| Resource flows (£m/\%) | Baseline | Scenario 3 | Difference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

'Net' Exchequer cost (adjusted for RAB)

| Cost of maintenance loans | $(£ 728 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(£ 775 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(£ 47 \mathrm{~m})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost of tuition fee loans | $(£ 798 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(£ 837 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(£ 39 \mathrm{~m})$ |
| Cost of Teaching Grants | $(£ 1,612 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(£ 1,575 \mathrm{~m})$ | $£ 37 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Total Exchequer cost | $(£ 3,139 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(£ 3,188 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(£ 50 \mathrm{~m})$ |


| RAB charge (\%) | $6.0 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | +0.5 pp |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Loan outlay

| Maintenance loans | $(£ 12,138 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(£ 11,883 \mathrm{~m})$ | $£ 255 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tuition fee loans | $(£ 13,496 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(£ 13,026 \mathrm{~m})$ | $£ 470 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Total loan outlay | $\mathbf{( £ 2 5 , 6 3 4 \mathrm { m } )}$ | $(£ 24,910 \mathrm{~m})$ | $\mathbf{£ 7 2 5 m}$ |

Total student body

| \# of students, 000s | 1,721 | 1,683 | -38 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Note: All monetary values are presented in cash terms in 2030-31 prices and rounded to the nearest $£ 1 \mathrm{~m}$. ${ }^{1}$ As outlined in the Annex, we assume an average tuition fee (gross before fee waivers) of approximately $\mathbf{£ 9 , 1 7 0}$ per full-time first degree student per year, compared to $£ 7,570$ for full-time Foundation Degrees, and $£ 6,600$ 36 for students undertaking HNCs/HNDs or other undergraduate qualifications.

- Compared to the Baseline, the assumed switch from Level 6 towards Level $4 / 5$ under Scenario 3 would result in a reduction in the Exchequer loan outlay to students in $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ - 31 by $£ \mathbf{7 2 5 m}$ (from $£ \mathbf{2 5 . 6 3}$ bn in the Baseline to £24.91bn under Scenario 3).
- This reduction is driven by the slightly smaller student body, but also the typically lower fees charged (and therefore fee loans issued) to students undertaking sub-degree qualifications compared to first degrees ${ }^{1}$, so that the shift from Level 6 towards Level $4 / 5$ qualifications results in a lower average fee loan per student per year.
- In relation to maintenance loans, note that our analysis does not take account of the fact that students enrolled in Level $4 / 5$ programmes are typically more likely to be 'commuter' students, and as such are eligible for the smaller maintenance loan available to students classified as 'Living At Home' (LAH). As a result, our modelling potentially overestimates the total loan outlay under Scenario 3 (and therefore underestimates the relative reduction in the total student loan outlay under Scenario 3 compared to the Baseline).


## Scenario 3: Exchequer cost in 2030-31 (2)

Londo
London
Economics

| Resource flows (£m/\%) | Baseline | Scenario 3 | Difference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

'Net' Exchequer cost (adjusted for RAB)

| Cost of maintenance loans | $(£ 728 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(£ 775 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(£ 47 \mathrm{~m})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost of tuition fee loans | $(£ 798 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(£ 837 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(£ 39 \mathrm{~m})$ |
| Cost of Teaching Grants | $(£ 1,612 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(£ 1,575 \mathrm{~m})$ | $£ 37 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Total Exchequer cost | $(£ 3,139 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(£ 3,188 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(£ 50 \mathrm{~m})$ |


| RAB charge (\%) | $6.0 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | +0.5 pp |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Loan outlay

| Maintenance loans $(£ 12,138 \mathrm{~m})$ $(£ 11,883 \mathrm{~m})$ $£ 255 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> Tuition fee loans $(£ 13,496 \mathrm{~m})$ $(£ 13,026 \mathrm{~m})$ $£ 470 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> Total loan outlay $(£ 25,634 \mathrm{~m})$ $(£ 24,910 \mathrm{~m})$ $£ 725 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- |
| Total student body |

Note: All monetary values are presented in cash terms in $2030-31$ prices and rounded to the nearest $£ 1 \mathrm{~m}$.
${ }^{1}$ Teaching Grants associated with students studying at Welsh HEIs are the same here as under the Baseline, since Scenario 3 assumes no change in the number of English domiciled students studying in the rest of the UK.
${ }^{2}$ A potential increase in the number of students undertaking labour market focused, employer-supported Level $4 / 5$ qualifications might potentially result in an in increase in post-graduation earnings for these students in the future, and, therefore, a decline in the RAB charge (though note that an increase in the supply of Level $4 / 5$ graduates into the labour market might also instead have a negative effect on these students' post-graduation labour market outcomes in the near term).

- In spite of the reduction in the loan outlay, the 'net' RABadjusted Exchequer cost of the system in 2030-31 is estimated to increase slightly (by $£ 50 \mathrm{~m}(\mathbf{2 \%})$ compared to the Baseline, to $£ 3.19$ bn). There are two opposing effects here:
- The cost of Teaching Grants would decrease by $£ \mathbf{3 7}$ m, due to the smaller expected size of the student body. The £1.58bn in total Teaching Grants in 2030-31 under Scenario 3 includes $£ 1.54$ bn of funding for English HEIs and colleges, and $£ 41 \mathrm{~m}$ for Welsh HEls ${ }^{1}$ ).
- In contrast, the cost of Exchequer loan write-offs would increase compared to the Baseline, by $£ 47 \mathrm{~m}$ for maintenance loans and $£ 39 \mathrm{~m}$ for fee loans. Here, the reduction in the loan outlay to students is outweighed by the fact that the estimated RAB charges associated with sub-degree qualifications are higher than for first degrees (i.e. a larger proportion of the loans issued to sub-degree students is written off by the Exchequer, due to their lower average post-graduation earnings ${ }^{2}$ ). This is reflected in a small increase in the average RAB charge under Scenario 3, from 6.0\% to 6.5\%.


## Scenario 3: Exchequer loan outlay in 2023-24 vs 2030-31

Forecast total student loan outlay in 2023-24 vs. Scenario 3 forecasts for 2030-31, £bn


Note: All monetary values are presented in cash terms in current prices (i.e. in nominal terms), and rounded to the nearest $£ 0.1 \mathrm{bn}$.
${ }^{\text {'Again, see }}$ Department for Education (2023). 'Student loan forecasts for England'. Financial year 2022-23'

- Again, we then compare our 2030-31 loan outlay estimates under Scenario 3 to the DfE's student Ioan outlay estimates for England in 2023-24 ${ }^{1}$, to assess the growth in loan outlay over time under this scenario.
- This comparison indicates that the estimated total loan outlay would increase from $£ 20.2$ bn in 202324 to $£ 24.9$ bn in 2030 - 31 under Scenario 3. This is equivalent to a $\mathbf{2 3} \%$ increase and compares to a 27\% increase under our Baseline forecasts for 2030-31.



## Scenario 3+: Exchequer cost in 2030-31 with Employer levy

| Resource flows $(\mathrm{Em} / \%)$ | Baseline | Scenario 3+ | Difference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

‘Net’ Exchequer cost (adjusted for RAB)

| Cost of maintenance loans | $(£ 728 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(£ 775 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(£ 47 \mathrm{~m})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost of tuition fee loans | $(£ 798 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(£ 837 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(£ 39 \mathrm{~m})$ |
| Cost of Teaching Grants | $(£ 1,612 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(£ 1,575 \mathrm{~m})$ | $£ 37 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Employer Levy contributions | - | $£ 2,308 \mathrm{~m}$ | $£ 2,308 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Total Exchequer cost | $(£ 3,139 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(£ 880 \mathrm{~m})$ | $\mathbf{£ 2 , 2 5 8 \mathrm { m }}$ |

- Finally, we again also analysed the Exchequer costs of the system under a potential Employer Levy for HE (again using the same Employer Levy assumptions as for Scenario 1 and Scenario 2).
- Under Scenario 3, the Employer Levy contributions associated with students enrolled in 2030-31 would stand at approximately $£ \mathbf{2} .31$ bn (compared to $£ 1.83$ bn under Scenario 1 and $£ 2.62$ bn under Scenario 2). As a result, the net public cost of the funding system in Scenario 3 would decline to $£ 880 \mathrm{~m}^{1}$ (compared to $£ 3.14$ bn under the Baseline system with no Employer Levy).

[^7]${ }^{1}$ Coincidentally, Scenario 3+ would result in the same net Exchequer cost as Scenario 2+ (both estimated at $£ 880 \mathrm{~m}$,

## Conclusion

## Conclusion: Comparison of Exchequer cost in 2030-31 vs. Baseline

| Resource flows (£m/\%) | Baseline (Current trends) | Scenario 1 <br> (Levelling off/no growth) |  | Scenario 2 (Higher growth) |  | Scenario 3 <br> (Switch towards Level 4/5) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Diff. to Baseline | Total | Diff. to Baseline | Total | Diff. to Baseline |
| 'Net' Exchequer cost (adjusted for RAB) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost of maintenance loans | (£728m) | (£591m) | +£137m | (£810m) | -£82m | (£775m) | -£47m |
| Cost of tuition fee loans | (£798m) | ( $£ 650 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | +£149m | ( $£ 890 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | -£92m | ( $£ 837 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | -£39m |
| Cost of Teaching Grants | (f1,612m) | (£1,319m) | +£293m | (£1,801m) | -£189m | (£1,575m) | +£37m |
| Total Exchequer cost | (£3,139m) | $(£ 2,560 \mathrm{~m})$ | +£579m | (£3,501m) | -£363m | (£3,188m) | -£50m |
| Loan outlay |  |  |  |  |  | : $: 8: 8: 8$ : | :8:8:8:8:8: |
| Maintenance loans | (£12,138m) | (£9,659m) | +£2,479m | (£13,597m) | -£1,459m | (£11,883m) | +£255m |
| Tuition fee loans | ( $£ 13,496 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | ( $£ 10,759 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | +£2,737m | (£15,125m) | -£1,629m | ( $£ 13,026 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | +£470m |
| Total loan outlay | (£25,634m) | (£20,418m) | +£5,217m | (£28,722m) | -£3,088m | (£24,910m) | +£725m |
| Total student body |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# of students, 000s | 1,721 | 1,396 | -325 | 1,913 | +192 | 1,683 | -38 |
| Note: All monetary values are presented in cash terms in 2030-31 prices and rounded to the nearest $£ 1 \mathrm{~m}$. |  | Lower net Exchequer cost and loan outlay vs. Baseline (due to lower expected student numbers) |  | Higher net Exchequer cost and loan outlay vs. Baseline (due to higher expected student numbers) |  | Higher net Exchequer cost vs. Baseline (due to higher RAB) but lower loan outlay (due to lower average fees + slightly lower expected student numbers) |  |

## Conclusion: Comparison of loan outlay in 2030-31 vs. 2023-24

Total student loan outlay in 2023-24 vs. forecasts for 2030-31 under each scenario, £bn


[^8] 42 own long-term forecast of the total (Plan 5) loan outlay in 2030-31 of $£ 25.6$ bn (see here).

- Importantly, all scenarios (except the 'levelling off/no growth' assumption under Scenario 1) forecast substantial increases in the total Exchequer loan outlay by 2030-31.
- The estimated value of loans issued to English domiciled students in 2023-
 the substantial rises in student numbers under the different scenarios here, this would increase to:
- $£ \mathbf{2 5 . 6}$ bn under our Baseline student forecasts (a $\mathbf{2 7 \%}$ increase in cash terms (i.e. nominal terms) compared to 2023-24²);
- As much as $£ \mathbf{2 8 . 7}$ bn under the even stronger growth in student numbers assumed in Scenario 2 (a 42\% increase vs. 2023-24); and
- $£ 24.9$ bn under the assumed switch from Level 6 towards Level 4/5 qualifications under Scenario 3 (a $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ increase compared to 2023-24). This is lower than the Baseline forecast - i.e. a switch towards Level 4/5 qualifications would lessen the increase in loan outlay - driven predominantly by the typically lower fees charged (and therefore fee loans) for sub-degree qualifications compared to first degrees. Again, note that our analysis does not take account of the fact that Level 4/5 students are also typically more likely to be 'commuter' students, and as such receive lower maintenance loans - so that our modelling here likely overestimates the total loan outlay under Scenario 3.


## Authors

Dr Gavan Conlon, Partner, London Economics gconlon@londecon.co.uk

Maike Halterbeck, Divisional Director, London Economics mhalterbeck@londecon.co.uk

X @LE_Education



## ANNEX <br> Methodology and assumptions

## Assumptions and methodology

London
Economics

- Our student forecasts make use of historical information on the number of English-domiciled first-year students starting undergraduate qualifications at higher education institutions throughout the UK ${ }^{1}$, or at FE colleges in England, in academic years 2019-20, 2020-21, and 2021-22 (where 2021-22 is the latest year for which this information is currently available). Data for HEIs and FE colleges in England was provided to us by the Association of Colleges (based on an analysis of HESA data (for HEIs) and Individualised Learner Record data (for FE colleges) undertaken by RCU2). Data on students studying at HEIs in the rest of the UK was based on data published by HESA (here) ${ }^{3}$. The information was broken down by academic year, level of study, mode of study, and location of study. We exclude students studying for institutional credits only (i.e. no formal qualifications), as these students are typically not eligible for public funding.
- To estimate the expected size of the total student body (i.e. including firstyear and continuing students) under each scenario, we make use of separate annual continuation rates. Specifically, based on data published by the Office for Students (here), we assume an annual continuation rate of $90.5 \%$ for fulltime first degree students and $\mathbf{7 8 . 2 \%}$ for part-time first degree students. At sub-degree level, the assumptions stand at $\mathbf{8 1 . 3 \%}$ for full-time students and $\mathbf{8 3 . 1 \%}$ for part-time students. These percentages capture the proportion of students that were continuing in the study of a HE qualification (or have gained a qualification) approximately 1 year after they started their course (for full-time students) or 2 years after their started their course (for parttime students, where we have assumed a constant drop-out rate each year to get to an assumed annual continuation rate). The continuation rate data covers UK domiciled students studying at HEIs and FE colleges located in England only.
- Part-time students are assumed to study at 50\% full-time equivalence (FTE) ${ }^{4}$.
- The underlying analysis of the RAB charge and loan repayments is undertaken separately by gender (as well as by level, mode, and lifetime income decile). Based on HESA information on English domiciled qualification completers who graduated from HEls anywhere in the UK in 2021-22 (but excluding FE colleges) by gender and qualification level (here), we assume the following gender split:

| Qualification level | Full-time |  | Part-time |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Other undergraduate | $48 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $64 \%$ |
| HNC/HND | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Foundation Degree | $26 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| First degree | $41 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $56 \%$ |

- We assume the following average age at enrolment (based on HESA information ${ }^{5}$ ) and average duration of qualification attainment (by qualification level and study mode):

|  | Age at enrolment |  | Study duration |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Qualification level | Full-time | Part-time | Full-time | Part-time |
| Other undergraduate | 29 | 34 | 1 | 2 |
| HNC/HND | 23 | 28 | 2 | 4 |
| Foundation Degree | 28 | 32 | 2 | 4 |
| First degree | 22 | 31 | 3 | 6 |

${ }^{1}$ Including publicly funded higher education institutions as well as alternative providers anywhere in the UK. ${ }^{2}$ The data for English providers was adjusted by the RCU for any double-counting between HEls vs. FE colleges in relation to franchising students. Specifically, the data for students at HEls was adjusted to exclude students taught under a franchising agreement between a HEl and a college (in which case the student was counted as part of the college numbers). ${ }^{3}$ Note that the data also includes a negligible number of English domiciled students enrolled at FE colleges in Wales. ${ }^{4}$ Based on data provided by HESA on the average study intensity among all UK domiciled first-year part-time students studying at HEls (but excluding FE colleges (except in Wales)) anywhere in the UK in 2021-22 (separately by study level, and again excluding students studying for credit only). ${ }^{5}$ The assumptions in relation to the age at enrolment are based on data provided by HESA on the average age at enrolment among all UK domiciled first-year students starting HE qualifications anywhere in the UK in 2021-22 (separately by study level and mode). Again, this HESA information excludes students studying at FE colleges (except Welsh FE colleges).

## Assumptions and methodology

- The analysis assumes a (gross) maximum tuition fee charged to English domiciled full-time students in 2023-24 of $£ 9, \mathbf{2 5 0}$, and approximately $£ 4,620$ for part-time students (pro-rata, based on the corresponding full-time fee adjusted for parttime study intensity). Combining these maximum fees with an analysis published by the DfE (here) on the \% difference between the average vs. the maximum fees for UK domiciled students enrolled at English HE providers (in 2016-17, by qualification level) ${ }^{1}$, we assume the following average fees per student per year (all rounded to the nearest $£ 10$ ):

|  | Maximum fee |  | Average fee |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Qualification level | Full-time | Part-time | Full-time | Part-time |
| Other undergraduate | $£ 9,250$ | $£ 4,620$ | $£ 6,600$ | $£ 3,300$ |
| HNC/HND | $£ 9,250$ | $£ 4,620$ | $£ 6,600$ | $£ 3,300$ |
| Foundation Degree | $£ 9,250$ | $£ 4,620$ | $£ 7,570$ | $£ 3,790$ |
| First degree | $£ 9,250$ | $£ 4,620$ | $£ 9,170$ | $£ 4,580$ |

- The above fees constitute gross fees before the deduction of any fee waivers. In terms of these fee waivers as well as other (non-fee) bursaries provided to students, based on Office for Student data from its access and participation plans monitoring exercise (last undertaken in 2020-21, here), according to institutions' access plans for 2023-24, we assume that approximately $0.3 \%$ of the tuition fee charged in excess of the Basic Fee (of $£ 6,165$ per annum for full-time students) is handed back to students in the form of fee waivers/bursaries, with an additional 9.6\% provided through maintenance bursaries. Mirroring the current household income thresholds associated with maintenance loans for English domiciled undergraduate students, we assume that these bursaries are only available to students with a household income of $£ \mathbf{2 5}, \mathbf{0 0 0}$ or less. We assume that these bursaries available in England also apply to English domiciled students studying in Wales, Scotland, and Northern Ireland.
- We deduct the resulting estimated fee bursary/waiver from the above average fees per student per year (though note that the relatively low estimated fee bursary has a negligible impact on the assumed 'net' fee).
- We assume that both full-time and part-time students cover the resulting average net fees by taking out a tuition fee loan of the same amount from the Student Loans Company. Based on SLC data on student support provided to English students in 2021-22, we assume a fee loan take-up rate of $96 \%$ for full-time students ${ }^{2}$ (i.e. that $96 \%$ of all full-time students in the relevant student body avail of this fee loan), and 44\% for part-time students.
- We assume that the resulting fees and fee loans remain constant over time (i.e. we assume that the fees will continue to remain frozen in ever year up to and including 2030-31).

The DfE analysis was based on data for the 2016-17 academic year (when the maximum full-time fee cap stood at $£ 9,000$ ), so we calculated the percentage difference in that maximum fee vs. the average fees estimated by the DfE and applied the resulting percentages to the $£ 9,250$ maximum fee applicable to students in 2023-24. Further note that the DfE analysis used 2016-17 Student Loans Company data to assess the average tuition fee charged per fulltime UK domiciled first-year student in receipt of a public tuition fee loan who were enrolled at English higher education providers (including publicly funded HEIs and FE colleges, but excluding alternative providers). In the education providers (including publicly funded HELs and FE colleges, but excluding alternative providers). In the students studying in Wales, Scotland, and Northern Ireland. In addition, while the DfE analysis was based on full-time students only, we applied the same assumptions to part-time students. Also note that the DfE analysis did not include any information for students undertaking 'other undergraduate qualifications, so we used the same assumptions for these qualifications as for HNCs/HNDs.
${ }^{2}$ The full-time take-up rate was calculated by dividing the number of English domiciled full-time undergraduate students in receipt of SLC fee loans in 2021-22 (i.e. funded students from SLC data, here) by the total number of English domiciled full-time undergraduate students studying at UK HEIs in 2021-22 (from HESA data, here). We undertook similar calculations for part-time students to estimate the part-time fee loan take-up rate.

## Assumptions and methodology

- In terms of maintenance funding under the current funding system (in 2023-24):
- Full-time students living away from home outside of London (LAFHOL) are currently eligible for a maximum maintenance loan of $£ 9,978$ (for household income up to $£ 25,000$ ), declining to a minimum of $£ 4,651$ (for household income of more than $£ 62,343$ ). Students living away from home in London (LAFHIL) are eligible for a maximum loan of $£ 13,022$ (for household income up to $£ 25,000$ ), declining to a minimum of $£ 6,485$ (for household income of more than $£ 70,040$ ); and students living at home (LAH) are eligible for a maximum loan of $£ \mathbf{£ 8} \mathbf{4 0 0}$ (for household income up to $£ 25,000$ ), declining to a minimum of $£ 3,698$ (for household income of more than $£ 58,291$ )
- Part-time students are eligible for the same maintenance loans as full-time students but on a pro-rata basis (so that, based on the assumed $50 \%$ study intensity, we assume that LAFHOL part-time students are eligible for a maximum maintenance loan of $£ 4,989$ (again for household income up to $£ 25,000$ ).
- We have modelled full-time students' maintenance loan eligibility by students' living conditions, separately for full-time students living at Home (LAH, 23\% of students), living away from home outside of London (LAFHOL, $63 \%$ of students) and living away from home in London (LAFHIL, $14 \%$ of students) ${ }^{1}$. For part-time students, based on the same sources, we assume that $25 \%$ live at home (LAH), $68 \%$ live away from home outside of London (LAFHOL), and 7\% live away from home in London (LAFHIL).
- In terms of maintenance loan take-up rates, again based on SLC data on student support for English domiciled undergraduate students in 2021-22, we assume a maintenance loan take-up rate of $94 \%$ for full-time students, and $44 \%$ for part-time students ${ }^{2}$.
- In terms of students' household income, we base eligibility for means-tested maintenance loans on the current household income thresholds applied by the SLC:
- As there is no comparable information on students' household income levels available for English domiciled students, we combine these thresholds with separate information from the Student Loans Company (SLC, here) on the distribution of Welsh domiciled undergraduate students by household income. Specifically, our assumptions are based on the proportion of Welsh domiciled students in receipt of full, partial, or nil maintenance grants from Student Finance Wales in 2021-22 (and the associated household income thresholds applicable to Welsh maintenance grants in that year) separately for full-time students and part-time students.
- We then adjust the information to 2023-24 values to reflect the fact that average household income is expected to grow over time, by applying OBR estimates of UK annual average earnings growth in 2022-23 and 2023-24 (here).
- In addition, as the information is based on Wales, we adjust the assumptions for differences in average household income between England and Wales. Specifically, we adjust the assumptions for the ratio of median gross weekly earnings in England vs. Wales, based on 2022 data from the Annual Survey of Hours and Earnings published by StatsWales (here; note that 2022 is the latest year for which this information is currently available).

[^9]
## Assumptions and methodology

In terms of growth in maintenance loans over time, we assume that:

- Students' household income increases with UK-wide nominal average earnings growth in each year;
- Maximum maintenance loans grow with RPI inflation; and
- The household income thresholds associated with maintenance loans (which have remained almost unchanged since 2017-18) remain constant in all years.
- We use the following equation to calculate the RAB charge:

$$
R A B \text { charge }=\frac{N P V \text { loan outlay }-N P V \text { repayments }}{N P V \text { loan outlay }}
$$

- Again, note that, in each instance, the RAB charges (in \% terms, by level, mode, gender, and lifetime income decile) are calculated based on the loan outlay and expected loan repayments of students who started their qualifications in the 2023-24 academic year (i.e. students in the 2023-24 cohort). We then apply these same RAB charges to assess the Exchequer cost associated with the total student body (including first-year and continuing students) in 2030-31.
- The RAB charge is therefore calculated based on the net present value of the aggregate loan outlay provided to students in the 2023-24 cohort over the course of their studies (i.e. in total throughout all years of study), as well as the net present value of the total estimated loan repayments expected to be made by these students after they graduate.
- In terms of student loan repayment terms, based on the new Plan 5 loan repayment terms (here) introduced for English domiciled students starting undergraduate qualifications from 2023-24 onwards (as part of the DfE's response to the Augar Review):
- Student loans accumulate $\mathbf{0 \%}$ real interest; instead, outstanding loan balances are only indexed against the Retail Price Index (RPI) inflation (i.e. adjusted with inflation each year), so that all graduates (irrespective of income) are charged the same interest rate.
-. Loans are repaid at a rate of $\mathbf{9 \%}$ of earnings in excess of $£ \mathbf{2 5 , 0 0 0}$ per annum (with the earnings threshold frozen until 2026-27 inclusive, and uprated with RPI inflation thereafter); and
- All loans are written off $\mathbf{4 0}$ years from the Statutory Repayment Due Date (SRDD). long-term forecasts in relation to the expected RPI per annum as well as expected nominal average earnings growth per annum (see here, from the OBR's March 2023 Economic and Fiscal Outlook (which were the most recent forecasts available at the time that the analysis was undertaken)). Note that the Retail Price Index will be effectively abolished from 2030 onwards, after which it will equal the (lower) measure of Consumer Price Index inflation.
- In terms of discount rates used to calculate the RAB charge (which is based on expected loan repayments and loan outlay in NPV terms in constant prices), we assume a real discount rate of $-1.3 \%+$ RPI up to and including 2029-30, and -0.2\% from 2030-31 onwards (based on official HM Treasury discount rates for financial instruments to be applied as of $31^{\text {st }}$ March 2023, see here and here).


## Assumptions and methodology

- As outlined above, the analysis focuses on English domiciled students studying at higher education institutions anywhere in the UK (including FE colleges in England). Therefore, the estimated level of Teaching Grant funding associated with the cohort includes teaching grants paid to English HEls and FE colleges (by the Office for Students) and Welsh HEls (by the Higher Education Funding Council for Wales).
- In contrast, English students studying in Scotland and Northern Ireland typically do not attract any teaching grant funding (from the Scottish Funding Council and the Department for the Economy Northern Ireland, respectively). This is because these students are charged much higher tuition fees as compared to 'home' students studying in Scotland and Northern Ireland - so that the teaching grant paid to HEls by the respective HE funding bodies in Scotland and Northern Ireland generally applies to 'home' domiciled students only.
- The average Teaching Grant per student studying in England is derived by combining assumptions on the high-cost subject funding rate per FTE student by subject band (in 2023-24) with information on the distribution of students by subject band (both published by the Office for Students, here), as follows:

| Subject band | Funding per FTE, $\mathbf{£}$ | \% of FTE students |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Band A | $£ 11,290$ | $2 \%$ |
| Band B | $£ 1,694$ | $21 \%$ |
| Band C1.1 | $£ 282$ | $10 \%$ |
| Band C1.2 | $£ 126$ | $11 \%$ |
| Band C2 | - | $18 \%$ |
| Band D | - | $37 \%$ |
| Total | - | $100 \%$ |

- Combining this with the average 'other targeted allocations' funding per student (e.g. including premium funding to support retention), the average total Teaching Grant per full-time student studying in England was estimated at approximately $\mathbf{£ 1 , 0 6 0}$ per year. Based on average study intensity, the average funding per part-time student was estimated at approximately $£ 530$.
- To estimate the average level of Teaching Grant per student per year for students studying in Wales, we use HESA financial data (here) and student data (here) for the 2021-22 academic year (in the absence of more recent information). We divide the total Teaching Grant income received by institutions in Wales by the total number of relevant students to whom these Teaching Grants typically apply (where we exclude any non-EU domiciled students and higher degree research students, as well as EU first-year students (since, from 2021-22 onwards, these students are typically no longer eligible for Teaching Grant funding due to the significant changes to funding rules for EU students post-Brexit). We again adjusted for the assumed average study intensity among full-time students vs. part-time students, to arrive at separate rates of Teaching Grant funding per student per year by study mode.
- Using this approach, we assume the following average Teaching Grant funding rates per student per year in other Home Nations (rounded to the nearest $£ 10$ )

| Study location |  | Full-time |  | Part-time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wales | \%-8. | £490 | :0.0. | - £240 |
| Scotland | -80\% | - | -0.8. | $0000-8$ |
| Northern Ireland | \%-0.0. | - | -0. | 8080-88 |

- We assume that these Teaching Grant funding rates do not increase over time (i.e. we assume the same amount per student per year in every year of interest throughout the analysis here).



## Assumptions and methodology

- The estimation of student loan outcomes (such as the RAB charge) relies on forecasts of graduates' predicted lifetime earnings by qualification level (again broken down into first degrees, Foundation Degrees, HNCs/HNDs and other undergraduate qualifications), gender, study mode, and lifetime income decile. To estimate these lifetime earnings profiles, we make use of pooled UK Quarterly Labour Force Survey (LFS) data for the period 2010Q1 to 2023 Q2, combined with information from the 1970 British Cohort Study (BCS) (which follows a cohort of individuals born in a single week of April 1970 (in England, Wales, and Scotland), with the most recent data available for age 46 of the cohort).
- Using the Labour Force Survey data, we first assessed the annual salaries (expressed in June 2023 prices, inflated using Consumer Price Index (CPI) data) of individuals in possession of each of the different higher education qualifications ${ }^{1}$. For each type of qualification, the earnings were assessed separately by income decile (including the $1^{\text {st }}$ to $9^{\text {th }}$ income deciles and the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile ${ }^{2}$ ), gender, and age (for first degrees) or age band (for qualifications below degree level (due to sample size)). To generate 'smoothed' age-earnings profiles for sub-degree qualifications, the original results by age band were assigned to the mid-point of the given band (e.g. age 28 for age band 26-30), and we then assumed constant annual growth between two given mid-points (e.g. we assumed constant annual growth between age 28 (the mid-point of band 26-30) and 33 (the mid-point for band 31-35)).
- To assess the expected loan repayments for part-time students specifically (who typically start repaying their loans during study), we further calculated earnings by decile (and the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile) for individuals in possession of Level 3 qualifications as their highest level of attainment (used as part-time students' assumed earnings during study), again separately by age and gender.
- The LFS analysis provided us with earnings estimates by decile (and qualification level, mode, and gender) where the earnings deciles are defined at each individual age (e.g. the $1^{\text {st }}$ decile at age 30 means that $10 \%$ of individuals in the data have earnings smaller than or equal to the given earnings at that age). However, to take account of graduates' income mobility over their lifetime (i.e. the extent to which graduates move across the income distribution over time), we then combined the LFS results with an analysis of data from the BCS (focusing on data for ages 26 to 46 of the 1970 cohort) to generate age-earnings profiles by lifetime earnings decile.
- Specifically, based on weekly earnings information available within the BCS data, we again divided individuals within the distribution into 10 income deciles at each individual age observed in the study ${ }^{3}$. Again, the analysis was undertaken separately by gender and qualification level attained, where we distinguished between individuals in possession of first degrees vs. all other undergraduate qualifications (note that a further disaggregation into different types of sub-degree qualifications was not possible within the BCS data).
- From the LFS analysis, we then imported the estimated annual earnings value (in June 2023 prices) corresponding to each age and income decile (again separately by qualification level ${ }^{4}$ ).
${ }^{1}$ This includes all individuals in possession of the given qualification, irrespective of whether that qualification was their highest educational attainment or not (e.g. the average earnings for individuals in possession of first degrees includes individuals who subsequently completed a Master and/or Doctorate degree).
${ }^{2}$ The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile here was used to approximate the earnings for individuals on the $10^{\text {th }}$ decile (i.e. rather than using the actual value for the $10^{\text {th }}$ (i.e. $100^{\text {th }}$ percentile) within the LFS data, since this captures the maximum earnings value observed in the data in each instance and is likely to include very significant outliers). ${ }^{3}$ Note that the BCS data is not available for each separate age, but is instead based on multiple 'sweeps' of data collections undertaken at specific ages for the cohort (e.g. age $26,30,34,38,42$, and 46 ; see here for more information). We assume here that individuals stay in the same decile between two sweeps (and stay in the last recorded decile after the age of 46). In addition, to boost sample size, imputation was undertaken in case of a respondent not being available at a given age (or missing information more generally).
${ }^{4}$ Again, separately for first degrees, Foundation Degrees, HNCs/HNDs, and other undergraduate qualifications.


## Assumptions and methodology

- Using the merged LFS/BCS data, we then computed the lifetime earnings for each individual in the data, based on the sum of annual earnings between the assumed first year post-graduation (i.e. the age at completion for each given qualification (e.g. age 25 for full-time first degrees) ${ }^{1}$ ) and the assumed age of retirement (68). This allowed us to assign each individual to a lifetime earnings decile (again by gender and qualification level).
- Finally, for each single year of age, we then computed the average earnings among all individuals within the specific lifetime earnings decile (e.g. the average earnings at age 30 among individuals in the $1^{\text {st }}$ lifetime earnings decile), i.e. we generated age-earnings profiles by lifetime decile (for each gender and qualification). We then further 'smoothed' these age-earnings profiles using 3-year rolling averages.
gain using LFS data, we also calculated the employment rate (i.e. the proportion of individuals in employment) for individuals in possession of the different qualification levels of interest, by age/age band, and gender.
- To reflect the fact that the age of retirement is planned to be increased to age 68 (compared to 65 for most respondents in the historical LFS data), we assume that the trend in employment rates observed from the age of 55 onwards will reflect the trend currently observed from age 52 onwards (in other words, the analysis 'shifts' the decline in employment rates due to approaching the age of retirement back by 3 years). As a result, the decline in employment rates occurs at a slower rate than what is observed in the historical LFS data ${ }^{2}$, so that our estimated employment rates at age 68 are in line with what is currently observed at age 65.
- Combining the resulting age-earnings and age-employment profiles, we then estimate the employment-adjusted annual age-earnings profiles of individuals in possession of each qualification, by study mode, gender, and lifetime earnings decile. We adjust these age-earnings profiles for expected future growth, i.e. to account for the fact that earnings are expected to increase over time (using the above-mentioned Office for Budget Responsibility forecasts of average nominal earnings growth per year (see this slide)).

[^10]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This includes publicly funded higher education institutions as well as alternative providers located anywhere in the UK. Please see the Annex for more information on our methodological approach.
    ${ }^{2}$ i.e. Level 6 on the Regulated Qualifications Framework that is in use in England, Wales, and Northern Ireland.
    ${ }^{3}$ We exclude students studying for undergraduate-level institutional credits only (i.e. no formal qualifications), as these students are typically not eligible for public funding.
     information.
    
     4 continuing students) in 2030-31 under each scenario.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ CAGRs capture growth rates on an annually compounded basis-i.e. the annualised average rate of growth between two (non-consecutive) years.
    
     information for academic years impacted by the Covid-19 pandemic, and it is likely that the inclusion of additional years of historical years of data would impact the forecasting results.
    
     rolling CAGRs are negative (so that, for some of these student groups, the Baseline scenario forecasts a decline in the relevant number of students over time).
    $5{ }^{4}$ We assume that the increased demand for Level 4/5 qualifications starts in 2025-26, and then assume constant annual growth rates (separately for full-time vs. part-time students) in each year from 2025-26 to 2030-31.

[^2]:    
     6 contributions associated with these students.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ As outlined above, the number of students in 2023-24 itself is estimated, due to the absence of available data for the current academic year. 2021-22 is the most recent academic year for which student data are currently available, and we used that information (alongside historical information for 2020-21 and 2019-20) to estimate student numbers in 2022-23 and 2023-24.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ The increase in these years is driven entirely by large recent growth in the number of (full-time) students starting 'other' undergraduate qualifications (other than first degrees, HNCs/HNDs, or Foundation Degrees). Based on the forecasting method under the Baseline, this increase is estimated to outweigh a decline in all other groups of students starting qualifications at FE colleges in England throughout those years.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Again, please refer to the Annex for more detail.

[^6]:    Note: All monetary values are presented in cash terms in 2030-31 prices and rounded to the nearest
    £1m.

[^7]:    Note: All monetary values are presented in cash terms in 2030-31 prices and rounded to the nearest $£ 1 \mathrm{~m}$.

[^8]:    Note: All monetary values are presented in cash terms in current prices (i.e. in nominal terms), and rounded to the nearest $£ 0.1$ bn.
    ${ }^{1}$ Again, see Department for Education (2023). 'Student loan forecasts for England'. Financial year 2022-23' (here). ${ }^{2}$ Again, note that our estimated Baseline loan outlay in 2030-31 mirrors the DfE's

[^9]:     home; here), combined with HESA data on the number of first-year English domiciled full-time undergraduate students living in London vs. elsewhere in the UK, in 2021-22 (here)
    home,
    
     similar calculation for these students here; therefore, we instead assume that the part-time maintenance loan take-up rate is the same as the above-discussed part-time fee loan take-up rate (44\%).

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ See this slide for more information on our assume ages at graduation by qualification level and mode among the 2023-24 student cohort.
    We use a 2-year annualised change to determine these new rates of decline (to provide a smoother evolution).

