

Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

BOT Report(s)

- 02 New Specialty Organizations Representation in the House of Delegates
- 13 Use of Psychiatric Advance Directives
- 14 Amendment to Truth and Transparency in Pregnancy Counseling Centers, H-420.954
- 21 Opposition to Requirements for Gender-Based Treatments for Athletes

CC&B Report(s)

- 01 Clarification to the Bylaws: Delegate Representation

CEJA Report(s)

- 01 Short-Term Medical Service Trips
- 02 Amendment to Opinion 10.8, Collaborative Care
- 03 Amendment to E-9.3.2, Physician Responsibilities to Colleagues with Illness, Disability or Impairment
- 04 CEJA's Sunset Review of 2012 House Policies

Resolution(s)

- 001 Increasing Public Umbilical Cord Blood-Donations in Transplant Centers
- 002 Opposition to Discriminatory Treatment of Haitian Asylum Seekers
- 003 Gender Equity and Female Physician Work Patterns During the Pandemic
- 004 Recognizing LGBTQ+ Individuals as Underrepresented in Medicine
- 005 Supporting the Study of Reparations as a Means to Reduce Racial Inequalities
- 006 Combating Natural Hair and Cultural Headwear Discrimination in Medicine and Medical Professionalism
- 007 Equal Access for Adoption in the LGBTQ Community
- 008 Student-Centered Approaches for Reforming School Disciplinary Policies
- 009 Privacy Protection and Prevention of Further Trauma for Victims of Distribution of Intimate Videos and Images Without Consent
- 010 Improving the Health and Safety of Sex Workers
- 011 Evaluating Scientific Journal Articles for Racial and Ethnic Bias
- 012 Expanding the Definition of Iatrogenic Infertility to Include Gender Affirming Interventions
- 013 Recognition of National Anti-Lynching Legislation as a Public Health Initiative
- 014* Healthcare Equity Through Informed Consent and a Collaborative Healthcare Model for the Gender Diverse Population
- 015* Increasing Mental Health Screenings by Refugee Resettlement Agencies and Improving Mental Health Outcomes for Refugee Women
- 016* Addressing and Banning Unjust and Invasive Medical Procedures Among Migrant Women at the Border
- 017* Humanitarian and Medical Aid Support to Ukraine
- 018* Hardship for International Medical Graduates from Russia and Belarus
- 019* Hardship for International Medical Graduates from Ukraine
- 020* Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs Guidelines for Treating Unvaccinated Individuals
- 021* National Cancer Research Patient Identifier
- 022* Organ Transplant Equity for Persons with Disabilities
- 023* Promoting and Ensuring Safe, High Quality, and Affordable Elder Care Through Examining and Advocating for Better Regulation of and Alternatives to the Current, Growing For-Profit Long Term Care Options
- 024* Pharmaceutical Equity for Pediatric Populations
- 025* Use of Social Media for Product Promotion and Compensation

* contained in the Handbook Addendum

REPORT OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

B of T Report 2-A-22

Subject: New Specialty Organizations Representation in the House of Delegates

Presented by: Bobby Mukkamala, MD, Chair

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

1 The Board of Trustees (BOT) and the Specialty and Service Society (SSS) considered the
2 applications of the American Contact Dermatitis Society, American Society of Regional
3 Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, Americas Hernia Society, and the Outpatient Endovascular and
4 Interventional Society for national medical specialty organization representation in the American
5 Medical Association (AMA) House of Delegates (HOD). The applications were first reviewed by
6 the AMA SSS Rules Committee and presented to the SSS Assembly for consideration.

7
8 The applications were considered using criteria developed by the Council on Long Range Planning
9 and Development and adopted by the HOD (Policy G-600.020). (Exhibit A)

10
11 Organizations seeking admission were asked to provide appropriate membership information to the
12 AMA. That information was analyzed to determine AMA membership, as required under
13 criterion 3. A summary of this information is attached to this report as Exhibit B.

14
15 In addition, organizations must submit a letter of application in a designated format. This format
16 lists the above-mentioned guidelines followed by each organization's explanation of how it meets
17 each of the criteria.

18
19 Before a society is eligible for admission to the HOD, it must participate in the SSS for three years.
20 These four organizations have actively participated in the SSS for more than three years.

21
22 Review of the materials and discussion during the SSS meeting at the 2021 June and November
23 Special Meetings indicated that the American Contact Dermatitis Society, American Society of
24 Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, Americas Hernia Society, and the Outpatient
25 Endovascular and Interventional Society meet the criteria for representation in the HOD.

26 27 RECOMMENDATION

28
29 Therefore, the Board of Trustees recommends that the American Contact Dermatitis Society,
30 American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, Americas Hernia Society, and the
31 Outpatient Endovascular and Interventional Society be granted representation in the AMA House
32 of Delegates and that the remainder of the report be filed. (Directive to Take Action)

Fiscal Note: Less than \$500

APPENDIX
Exhibit A

**GUIDELINES FOR REPRESENTATION IN & ADMISSION TO
THE HOUSE OF DELEGATES:**

National Medical Specialty Societies

- 1) The organization must not be in conflict with the constitution and bylaws of the American Medical Association by discriminating in membership on the basis of race, religion, national origin, sex, or handicap.
- 2) The organization must (a) represent a field of medicine that has recognized scientific validity; and (b) not have board certification as its primary focus, and (c) not require membership in the specialty organization as a requisite for board certification.
- 3) The organization must meet one of the following criteria:
 - 1,000 or more AMA members;
 - At least 100 AMA members and that twenty percent (20%) of its physician members who are eligible for AMA membership are members of the AMA; or
 - Have been represented in the House of Delegates at the 1990 Annual Meeting and that twenty percent (20%) of its physician members who are eligible for AMA membership are members of the AMA.
- 4) The organization must be established and stable; therefore, it must have been in existence for at least 5 years prior to submitting its application.
- 5) Physicians should comprise the majority of the voting membership of the organization.
- 6) The organization must have a voluntary membership and must report as members only those who are current in payment of applicable dues are eligible to participate on committees and the governing body.
- 7) The organization must be active within its field of medicine and hold at least one meeting of its members per year.
- 8) The organization must be national in scope. It must not restrict its membership geographically and must have members from a majority of the states.
- 9) The organization must submit a resolution or other official statement to show that the request is approved by the governing body of the organization.
- 10) If international, the organization must have a US branch or chapter, and this chapter must be reviewed in terms of all of the above guidelines.

RESPONSIBILITIES OF NATIONAL MEDICAL SPECIALTY ORGANIZATIONS

1. To cooperate with the AMA in increasing its AMA membership.
2. To keep its delegate to the House of Delegates fully informed on the policy positions of the organizations so that the delegate can properly represent the organization in the House of Delegates.
3. To require its delegate to report to the organization on the actions taken by the House of Delegates at each meeting.
4. To disseminate to its membership information to the actions taken by the House of Delegates at each meeting.
5. To provide information and data to the AMA when requested.

Exhibit B - Summary Membership Information

Organization	AMA Membership of Organization's Total Eligible Membership
American Contact Dermatitis Society	313 of 930 (34%)
American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine	955 of 3,603 (27%)
Americas Hernia Society	217 of 1,006 (22%)
Outpatient Endovascular and Interventional Society	101 of 250 (40%)

REPORT OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

B of T Report 13-A-22

Subject: “Use of Psychiatric Advance Directives”
(Resolution 1-I-19)

Presented by: Bobby Mukkamala, MD, Chair

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution & Bylaws

At the 2019 Interim Meeting, the American Medical Association (AMA) House of Delegates referred to the Board of Trustees Resolution 1-I-19, “Support for the Use of Psychiatric Advances Directives,” which was introduced by the Medical Student Section. Resolution 1-I-19 asked:

That our American Medical Association support efforts to increase awareness and appropriate utilization of psychiatric advance directives.

Testimony supported referral of the resolution. Speakers noted that the use of psychiatric advance directives (PAD) is a complex issue that requires study of situations where PADs may be overridden, such as directives that are not aligned with standards of care or patients who pose a risk to public safety. This report reviews evidence currently available in this area from governmental agencies, academic institutions, and scholarly and popular publications.

DEFINITION & BACKGROUND

Psychiatric advance directives (PADs) are legally binding documents (with certain exceptions as noted below) that allow psychiatric patients to direct, while they are well, future decisions about mental health care should they lose the ability to do so due to their psychiatric illness [1]. Such directives may specify but are not limited to, patient preferences regarding types of medications, seclusion and/or restraints, and electroconvulsive therapy. PADs also include the designation of a surrogate decision maker or health care proxy [2]; who ideally works with the patient and physician to complete the PAD.

Studies suggest that “if given the choice and necessary assistance, one-half to one-third of patients with severe mental illness would complete a psychiatric advance directive” [3]. Use of PADs is supported by several mental health and patient advocacy organizations, including the [National Alliance on Mental Illness](#) (NAMI), [Mental Health of America](#), and the [National Coalition for Mental Health Recovery](#). These organizations emphasize the value of PADs for patient autonomy and self-determination. As NAMI explains, “PADs help an individual with mental illness preserve their autonomy while ensuring the right care at the right time,” while also helping to prevent “involuntary treatment.”

Nonetheless, only between 4% and 13% of patients who receive public sector mental health benefits have executed a PAD. Individual barriers to completing a PAD include difficulty understanding advance directives and challenges in completing them, such as the complexity of

1 legal forms and challenges of obtaining witnesses and having documents notarized and
 2 appropriately filed [3]. There are also system-level barriers, such as lack of staff awareness or
 3 communication among staff across complex mental health systems, and lack of access to the
 4 documents during a crisis [3].

5
 6 The goal of PADs is to provide patients with the opportunity for increased autonomy regarding
 7 their mental health care, and, ideally to increase collaboration and alliance between the patient and
 8 their physician [2]. Studies suggest that this is the case [2], and that PADs can increase treatment
 9 adherence after discharge [3,4], and lower the likelihood of coerced treatment [5]. Patients with
 10 PADs also report that their “need for mental health treatment had been met” [3].

11
 12 However, these studies do not identify whether it was the process of discussing treatment options
 13 and creating a PAD or the use of the directive to make treatment decisions that most influenced
 14 these outcomes. For example, research on facilitated advance directives [3] did not identify
 15 whether it was the conversation necessary to complete a PAD that provided the most benefit, or the
 16 actual execution of the PAD. Further, it is unknown if the physician’s familiarity with a patient had
 17 any influence on outcomes. To best promote the goals of PADs, it would be valuable to know the
 18 relative contribution of (1) the process of creating a PAD through in-depth conversation and
 19 consideration of treatment options, (2) familiarity with the patient’s history, and (3) the use of a
 20 PAD in making actual treatment decisions for patients in crisis. Further research in this area seems
 21 warranted.

22
 23 Studies have shown that facilitated PADs virtually always align with standards of care. For patients
 24 deemed to pose a danger to themselves or others, a PAD does not “supersede the legal authority
 25 established by state civil commitment statutes or the authority of the court” [2].

26 27 ETHICALLY SALIENT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PSYCHIATRIC & MEDICAL ADVANCE 28 DIRECTIVES

29
 30 Both psychiatric and medical advance directives promote patient autonomy by allowing an
 31 individual with decision-making capacity to make known their preferences for future care in the
 32 event they become unable to participate in care decisions. However, medical and psychiatric
 33 advance directives differ in ethically significant ways.

34
 35 Medical advance directives (MADs) govern decisions about life-sustaining interventions in
 36 contexts of terminal illness or catastrophic injury. To this extent, they address the timing and
 37 circumstances of a foreseeable death. PADs, however, govern treatment decisions during episodes
 38 of care in relapsing mental health conditions [6], the expected outcome of which is a return to
 39 baseline behavior, baseline function, or some other stable end point [6]. Importantly, patients who
 40 execute PADs have firsthand experience and knowledge of interventions that most patients who
 41 execute MADs do not. They have been able to form clear preferences that can be expressed in a
 42 PAD.

43
 44 The patient’s ability to communicate also distinguishes MADs from psychiatric directives. In
 45 situations of terminal illness or catastrophic injury, patients often experience significant
 46 impairment or total loss of the ability to communicate [6]. If a patient with a MAD regains the
 47 ability to communicate, their stated wishes in the moment supersede the instructions in their
 48 advance directive. Interpreting a psychiatric patient’s coherently articulated wishes when they
 49 conflict with the instructions in a PAD is more challenging. Contemporaneously expressed wishes
 50 may reflect the patient’s relapsing mental illness, not the wishes expressed when the patient was
 51 not in crisis and do not automatically supersede the directive. In such situations, physicians must

1 evaluate the patient's immediate versus overall best interest and the consequences of overriding the
2 PAD, including the effect any decision may have on trust in the patient-physician relationship.

3 4 DECISION-MAKING CAPACITY, AUTONOMY & FUTURE SELVES

5
6 Concepts of decision-making capacity and autonomy are central to the process of advance care
7 planning and the use of both medical and psychiatric advance directives. But while they pose
8 fundamentally similar challenges in both contexts, there are important nuances.

9
10 The process of advance care planning and use of advance directives is intended to guide treatment
11 for patients should they become unable to make care decisions themselves. To participate
12 meaningfully in the process of advance care planning and to execute a valid advance directive,
13 patients must have decision-making capacity. That is, the patient must be able to understand and
14 reason about future treatment choices and to articulate preferences for future care in light of their
15 values, goals, and life experiences, including prior health care experiences.

16
17 Decision-making capacity also plays a role in determining when an advance directive will govern
18 treatment decisions. Directives take effect when the patient has lost decision-making capacity and
19 is not able to make or express contemporaneous choices among treatment options. Decision-
20 making capacity in the moment is assessed relative to the specific decision to be made—a patient
21 may have capacity to make some decisions, but not others. Moreover, capacity can fluctuate over
22 the course of an illness or episode of care. While for patients, for example, those who have
23 experienced extensive brain damage for whom there is no reasonable expectation of regaining
24 cognitive function, physicians can make a global assessment; for others, capacity must be assessed
25 over time and in relation to the decision at hand.

26
27 For patients with mental health conditions, the question of capacity can be particularly challenging,
28 since mental function itself is affected by psychiatric illness [6]. The baseline function of a
29 psychiatric patient may or may not be similar to that of a patient who does not have a psychiatric
30 illness. In addition, mental disorders often include impairment of certain isolated functions, while
31 other functions are unaffected [6]. Assessing change in a psychiatric patient's decision-making
32 capacity relative to their individual baseline may be difficult, especially when patient and physician
33 have no previous relationship. Loss of capacity for a patient with a psychiatric illness may be both
34 "less obvious and more likely to reflect a socially constructed understanding of good decision
35 making" [6].

36
37 In cases where decision-making capacity may fluctuate, such as bipolar disorder—whether
38 "objectively" or merely from the perspective of the patient—there is evidence that patients support
39 advance directives out of fear that in the future they may be in mental states where their thinking is
40 distorted [7]. Offering such patients this option may ameliorate those fears somewhat, though this
41 does give privileged control to the prior self that is making the decision at a given time.

42
43 Advance directives are intended to be binding when a patient loses decision-making capacity. The
44 use of such directives as a tool to promote patient autonomy presumes that a patient's future
45 incapacitated self will agree with the choices made by their earlier self. Unfortunately, we know
46 that people do not always accurately predict their future reactions in a given situation. Dresser
47 notes that, "a growing body of research reveals that these sorts of mistakes occur whenever people
48 make choices about what would be good and bad for them in the future. Empirical data suggest that
49 people generally underestimate the extent to which their preferences and values will change in the
50 future. People also tend to predict that 'bad events will be worse than they turn out to be'" [8], and

that preferences may change over time. Patients may turn out to be more accepting of outcomes they previously shunned or find burdens more onerous or insupportable than they once anticipated.

By definition, advance directives favor the autonomy of a prior self over the current self. However, whether the prior expression of a patient's autonomy should always prevail remains a debated question. As Dresser observes, such "precedent autonomy" is an important, but not the *only* consideration in making treatment choices for patients who cannot participate in the process themselves [9].

The question may become particularly acute in the context of psychiatric illness. To what extent is the self who is suffering from a psychiatric relapse or crisis truly autonomous, even when the individual communicates coherently? There can be considerable benefit in adhering to preferences stated by the mentally stable self, which were intended precisely to address circumstances of relapse or crisis. By executing a PAD, the patient obligates themselves to what proponents have called a Ulysses contract: "just as [Ulysses] instructed his crew to bind him to the mast before they sailed past the irresistible Sirens and to ignore his requests for release, such patients should be able to contract with their physicians to disregard certain specified instructions they might issue during relapse (such as refusing needed treatment) for a limited period of time" [10].

RELEVANT AMA POLICY

Currently, the AMA does not have specific policy regarding psychiatric advance directives. However, AMA policy is strongly supportive of the philosophy, goals, and use of advance directives in general. Guidance in the *AMA Code of Medical Ethics* in Opinions 5.1, "[Advance Care Planning](#)," particularly underscores the ethical values of patient autonomy and self-determination and sets out physicians' responsibilities to encourage and assist advance care planning. Opinion 5.2, "[Advance Directives](#)," addresses the conditions for sound application of advance directives in making clinical decisions for patients who lack capacity.

House policies similarly support advance directives and encourage their use:

- H-140.845, "[Encouraging the Use of Advance Directives and Health Care Powers of Attorney](#)"
- H-85.956, "[Educating Physicians About Advance Care Planning](#)"
- H-85.957, "[Encouraging Standardized Advance Directives Forms within States](#)"
- H-85.952, "[Advance Directives During Pregnancy](#)"

STATE LAW

Nearly every state in the U.S. allows for PAD in some form [2], either directly in statutes that specifically permit PADs, or indirectly in advance directive laws that allow directives that address mental health care [11-21].

Particularly noteworthy is Virginia, which is the "first state to purposefully commit itself to systematically incorporating psychiatric advance directives into routine mental health care practice" [1]. Virginia's "Health Care Decisions Act" authorizes advance directives for all medical decisions and allows patients to give instructions on "any aspect" of their psychiatric care. A PAD takes effect under the law when the treating physician and a second independent physician or clinical psychologist determine that the patient has lost decision-making capacity [22-24]. Nevada and New Hampshire, in contrast, do not permit free-standing directives explicitly for psychiatric care, but do allow patients to appoint a Durable Power of Attorney for Health Care and encourage patients to convey their specific wishes regarding psychiatric treatment to their health care agent [25,26].

1 A majority of state statutes allow mental health providers “to petition a court to have a PAD
2 overridden when the patient’s PAD runs contrary to the patient’s best interest” [2]. However, in
3 *Hargrave v. Vermont* the U.S. 2nd Circuit Court of Appeals found “legal precedent precluding the
4 ability to override a patient’s expressed preferences in a PAD” [2]. While this precedent is not
5 binding nationally, it holds persuasive influence and “could be cited in a challenge to any PAD
6 statutes that allow for overriding stated preferences that are not consistent with standard of care or
7 safety needs” [2].

8 9 CONCLUSION

10
11 Advance care planning and the use of advance directives can help support shared decision making
12 and promote patient autonomy and interests. In the context of psychiatric care, whether patients
13 benefit more from engaging with physicians in the planning process or from the implementation of
14 directives in episodes of relapse or crisis offers opportunity for further study. The deeper question
15 under what conditions the “precedent autonomy” reflected in a PAD should prevail over the
16 patient’s contemporaneously expressed wishes remains a matter of philosophical debate.

17 18 RECOMMENDATION

19
20 Your Board of Trustees recommends that the following be adopted in lieu of Resolution 1-I-19 and
21 the remainder of this report be filed:

22
23 That our AMA:

- 24
- 25 1. Recognizes the potential for advance care planning to promote the autonomy of patients with
26 mental illness; (New HOD Policy) and
 - 27 2. Urges the mental health community to continue to study the role of advance care planning in
28 therapeutic relationships and the use of psychiatric advance directives to promote the interests
29 and well-being of patients. (New HOD Policy)
- 30

Fiscal note: Less than \$500

REFERENCES

1. Kemp K, Zelle H, Bonnie RJ. Embedding advance directives in routine care for persons with serious mental illness: implementation challenges. *Psych Services*. 2015;66(1):10-14.
2. Murray H, Wortzel HS. Psychiatric advance directives: origins, benefits, challenges, and future directions. *Law and Psychiatry*. 2019;25(4):303-307.
3. Swanson JW, et al. Facilitated psychiatric advance directives: a randomized trial of an intervention to foster advance treatment planning among persons with severe mental illness. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2006;163(11):1943-1951.
4. Wilder CM, et al. Medication preferences and adherence among individuals with severe mental illness who completed psychiatric advance directives. *Psychiatr Serv*. 2010;61(4):380-385.
5. Swanson J, et al. Psychiatric advance directives and reduction of coercive crisis interventions. *J Mental Health*. 2008;17:255-267.
6. Olsen DP. Ethically Relevant Differences in Advance Directives for Psychiatric and end-of-life care. *J Am Psych Nurses Assoc*. 2016;22(1):52-59.
7. Gergel T, Das P, Owen G, et al. Reasons for endorsing or rejecting self-binding directives in bipolar disorder: A qualitative study of survey responses from UK Service Users. *The Lancet Psychiatry*. 2021;8(7):599-609. doi:10.1016/s2215-0366(21)00115-2a
8. Dresser R. Treatment decisions and changing selves. *J. Med Ethics*. 2015;41(12):975-976.
9. Dresser R. Pre-emptive suicide, precedent autonomy and preclinical Alzheimer disease. *J. Med Ethics*. 2014;40(8):550-551.
10. Dresser R. Bound to treatment: The Ulysses contract. *The Hastings Center*. 1984;June:13-16.
11. MN ST § 253B.03, subd. 6d(a)
12. National Resource Center on Psychiatric Advance Directives. Minnesota Q and A. Available at: <https://www.nrc-pad.org/states/minnesota-faq/>. Published 2018. Accessed February 8, 2020.
13. KY Rev. Stat. § 202a.422.
14. National Resource Center on Psychiatric Advance Directives. Kentucky Q and A. Available at: <https://www.nrc-pad.org/states/kentucky-faq/>. Published 2018. Accessed February 8, 2020
15. 755 ILCS 43/10.
16. National Resource Center on Psychiatric Advance Directives. Illinois Q and A. Available at: <https://www.nrc-pad.org/states/illinois-faq/>. Published 2018. Accessed February 8, 2020.
17. Del. Code Ann title. 16 § 2503.
18. National Resource Center on Psychiatric Advance Directives. Delaware Q and A. Available at: <https://www.nrc-pad.org/states/delaware-faq/>. Published 2018. Accessed February 8, 2020.
19. Fla. Stat. § 465.101.
20. Fla. Stat. § 765.202.
21. National Resource Center on Psychiatric Advance Directives. Florida Q and A. Available at: <https://www.nrc-pad.org/states/florida-faq/>. Published 2018. Accessed February 8, 2020.
22. Va Code Ann §54.1-2982.
23. Va Code Ann §54.1-2983.
24. National Resource Center on Psychiatric Advance Directives. Virginia Q and A. Available at: <https://www.nrc-pad.org/states/virginia-faq/>. Published 2018. Accessed February 13, 2020.
25. National Resource Center on Psychiatric Advance Directives. New Hampshire Q and A. <https://www.nrc-pad.org/states/new-hampshire-faq/>. Published 2018. Accessed February 8, 2020.
26. National Resource Center on Psychiatric Advance Directives. Nevada Q and A. Available at: <https://www.nrc-pad.org/states/nevada/>. Published 2018. Accessed February 8, 2020.

REPORT OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

B of T Report 14-A-22

Subject: Amendment to Truth and Transparency in Pregnancy Counseling Centers,
Policy H-420.954 (Resolution 8-N-21)

Presented by: Bobby Mukkamala, MD, Chair

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

1 Resolution 8-N-21, “Amendment to Truth and Transparency in Pregnancy Counseling Centers,
2 H-420.954,” submitted by the Medical Student Section, calls on our AMA to amend existing policy
3 “to further strengthen our AMA policy against the dissemination of purposely incomplete or
4 deceptive information intended to mislead patients and the utilization of state and federal funds for
5 potentially biased services provided by pregnancy counseling centers,” as follows:

6
7 H-420.954, Truth and Transparency in Pregnancy Counseling Centers

8
9 1. Our AMA ~~supports~~ advocates that any entity offering crisis pregnancy services disclose
10 information on site, in its advertising; and before any services are provided concerning medical
11 services, contraception, termination of pregnancy or referral for such services, adoption options
12 or referral for such services that it does and does not provides, as well as fully disclose any
13 financial, political, or religious associations which such entities may have;

14
15 2. Our AMA discourages the use of marketing, counseling, or coercion (by physical,
16 emotional, or financial means) by any agency offering crisis pregnancy services that aim to
17 discourage or interfere with a pregnant woman’s pursuit of any medical services for the care of
18 her unplanned pregnancy;

19
20 3. Our AMA advocates that any entity providing medical or health services to pregnant women
21 that markets medical or any clinical services abide by licensing and have the appropriate
22 qualified licensed personnel to do so and abide by federal health information privacy laws, and
23 additionally disclose their level of compliance to such requirements and laws to patients
24 receiving services;

25
26 4. Our AMA opposes the utilization of state and federal funding to finance such entities
27 offering crisis pregnancy services, which do not provide statistically validated evidence-based
28 medical information and care to pregnant women.

29
30 Testimony at the November 2021 Special Meeting of the House of Delegates generally supported
31 the intent of the resolution, noting the predatory actions taken by many nonclinical pregnancy
32 counseling centers. However, testimony also expressed concern with the specific amendments as
33 proposed, including concern about the feasibility of monitoring or enforcing compliance with
34 disclosure requirements.

BACKGROUND

On the best current estimate, there are nearly 5,000 pregnancy counseling centers (also known as “crisis pregnancy centers” and “limited services pregnancy centers”) in the U.S. that provide health-related services and counseling to women who are or believe they may be pregnant, with the goal of dissuading women from seeking or receiving abortion [1,2]. Opposition to abortion is legally permitted and ethically recognized, and such centers do offer benefit to their clients, including social and other support, for those who choose to continue their pregnancies. Because pregnancy counseling centers do not charge for their services, they may be particularly attractive to women who otherwise have limited or no access to clinical care.

However, centers are also known to mislead prospective clients, implying that they offer or provide referral for abortion or contraceptive services [3], and to engage in practices that inhibit timely decision making for pregnant women who are seeking abortion [1,2,3]. Although increasingly such centers employ licensed medical personnel and are recognized as licensed medical facilities [1], the majority are not subject to regulatory oversight [3].

Since the 1980s, there have been multiple legal efforts to curb centers’ false or misleading advertising of their services and their misleading presentation of medical information [1,2,3]. Most recently Connecticut enacted [Public Act No. 21-17](#), “Act Concerning Deceptive Advertising Practices of Limited Services Pregnancy Centers,” which went into effect in July 2021. The act prohibits centers from making “any statement concerning any pregnancy-related service or the provision of any pregnancy-related service that is deceptive, whether by statement or omission” that the center “knows or reasonably should know to be deceptive.” Whether the law will survive possible legal challenge or prove effective remains to be seen.

California’s Reproductive FACT (Freedom, Accountability, Comprehensive Care, and Transparency) Act, passed in 2015, called for clinics to provide specific disclosures regarding services. Medically licensed centers would have been required to post specific notice that public programs “provide immediate free or low-cost access to comprehensive family planning services ... prenatal care, and abortion for eligible women,” with the telephone number for county social services. Unlicensed centers would have been required to post notice that the center was “not licensed as a medical facility by the State of California and has no licensed medical provider who provides or directly supervises the provision of services” [1]. The act was immediately challenged on grounds of free speech and free exercise of religion but was upheld by district courts and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. However, in June 2018 the U.S. Supreme Court reversed the Ninth Circuit and “remanded the case for further proceedings consistent with the conclusion that the free speech challenge was likely to succeed” [1].

POLICIES OF PROFESSIONAL MEDICAL ORGANIZATIONS

In 2019, the Society for Adolescent Health and Medicine (SAHM) and the North American Society for Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology (NASPAG) published a joint position statement opposing crisis pregnancy centers. The statement encourages government entities “to only support programs that provide medically accurate, unbiased, and complete health care information,” including information about FDA-approved contraceptives and “the full range of pregnancy options” [4]. The statement further urges regulatory and accrediting bodies to ensure that health care professionals and services provided at crisis pregnancy centers “adhere to established standards of care,” as well as discouraging school boards from “outsourcing sexuality education” to such centers and urging companies that own digital platforms and search engines to monitor how centers represent their services and taking steps to prevent misrepresentation [4].

The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) opposes legislative, financial, and other barriers that restrict access to abortion, including the “nonlegislative” barrier posed by crisis pregnancy centers [5]. ACOG has criticized crisis pregnancy centers for providing inaccurate medical information linking abortion with breast cancer, infertility, and mental health on Twitter (#FactsAreImportant, September 3, 2020).

AMENDING POLICY H-420.954

Given the failure of efforts to regulate crisis pregnancy centers, and the fact that the Supreme Court’s 2018 decision suggests notifications of the sort proposed by California would likely amount to “compelled speech impermissible under the First Amendment” [1], it is not clear that amending H-420.954 as Resolution 8-N-21 urges would materially strengthen policy or enhance AMA’s ability to oppose crisis pregnancy centers in further legal action. The more prescriptive the policy statement, the less room for action it may offer.

Nonetheless, it is not unreasonable to argue that any entity that represents itself as offering health-related services or counseling, including crisis pregnancy centers, should be expected to adhere to standards of truthfulness and transparency expected of licensed health care facilities and licensed personnel. Many policies of the House of Delegates touch on issues of truth in advertising analogous to those posed by crisis pregnancy centers. Most closely related is Policy [H-150.946](#), “Herbal Supplements,” which holds that “that the naming, packaging, and advertising of dietary supplement products be such that they cannot be confused with pharmaceutical products.”

Other policies similarly touch on the fundamental issue of truthful representation, including:

- [H-160.921](#), “Retail Clinics”
- [H-175.992](#), Deceptive Health Care Advertising
- [H-180.945](#), Health Plans’ Medical Advice
- [H-225.994](#), Hospital Advertising in Printed and Broadcast Media
- [H-270.982](#), Truth in Advertising Standards for Managed Health Care Plans
- [H-405.968](#), Clarification of the Term “Provider” in Advertising, Contracts, and other Communication
- [E-9.6.1](#), Advertising and Publicity
- [E-9.6.7](#), Direct-to-Consumer Advertising of Prescription Drugs and Medical Devices
- [E-9.6.8](#), Direct-to-Consumer Diagnostic Imaging Tests

Still further policies address truth and advertising with respect to nonclinical products, e.g.:

- [H-495.981](#), Light and Low-Tar Cigarettes
- [H-495.985](#), Smokeless Tobacco

AMA likewise has strong policy on the obligation to provide scientifically accurate information and support informed decision making, including:

- [E-8.12](#), Ethical Physician Conduct in the Media
- [H-140.989](#), Informed Consent and Decision-Making in Health Care
- [E-2.1.1](#), Informed Consent
- [E-2.1.3](#), Withholding Information from Patients

Taken together, existing AMA policies provide ample foundation to argue for oversight of crisis pregnancy centers. Moreover, the recent SAHM-NASPAG position statement discussed above

1 offers more circumspect language than that proposed by Resolution 8-N-21. That is, to focus on
2 what oversight bodies can and should do rather than dictate specific practice to crisis pregnancy
3 centers.

4
5 RECOMMENDATION

6
7 For the reasons discussed above, your Board of Trustees recommends that Policy H-420.954 be
8 amended by insertion and deletion to read as follows in lieu of Resolution 8-N-21 and that the
9 remainder of this report be filed:

10
11 H-420.954, “Truth and Transparency in Pregnancy Counseling Centers”

- 12
13 1. It is AMA’s position that any entity that represents itself as offering health-related services
14 should uphold the standards of truthfulness, transparency, and confidentiality that govern
15 health care professionals.
16
17 2. Our AMA urges the development of effective oversight for entities offering pregnancy-
18 related health services and counseling.
19
20 3. Our AMA supports advocates that any entity offering crisis pregnancy services
21 ~~disclose information~~
22
23 a. truthfully describe the services they offer or for which they refer—including prenatal
24 care, family planning, termination, or adoption services—in communications on site,
25 and in its their advertising, and before any services are provided to an individual
26 patient; and concerning medical services, contraception, termination of pregnancy or
27 referral for such services, adoption options or referral for such services that it provides,
28
29 b. be transparent with respect to their funding and sponsorship relationships.
30
31 4. Our AMA advocates that any entity licensed to provide ~~providing~~ medical or health services
32 to pregnant women ~~that markets medical or any clinical services abide by licensing and~~
33 ~~have the~~
34
35 a. ensure that care is provided by appropriately qualified, licensed personnel; ~~to do so and~~
36
37 b. abide by federal health information privacy laws.
38
39 5. Our AMA urges that public funding only support programs that provide complete,
40 medically accurate, health information to support patients’ informed, voluntary decisions.
41 (Modify Current HOD Policy)

Fiscal note: less than \$500.

REFERENCES

1. Malcolm HE. Pregnancy centers and the limits of mandated discourse. *Columbia L. Rev.* 2019;119:1133-1167.
2. Bryant AG, Swartz JL. Why crisis pregnancy centers are legal but unethical. *AMA J. Ethics* 2018;20(3):269-277. Available at <https://journalofethics.ama-assn.org/article/why-crisis-pregnancy-centers-are-legal-unethical/2018-03>. Accessed March 20, 2022.
3. Rosen JD. *The Public Health Risks of Crisis Pregnancy Centers*. Guttmacher Institute, 2012. Available at <https://www.guttmacher.org/journals/psrh/2012/09/public-health-risks-crisis-pregnancy-centers>. Accessed March 20, 2022.
4. Society for Adolescent Health and Medicine, North American Society for Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology. Crisis pregnancy centers in the U.S.: lack of adherence to medical and ethical practice standards. *J Adolescent Health* 2019;65:821-824.
5. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, Committee on Health Care for Underserved Women. Increasing access to abortion (Opinion 815). *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2020;136(6):e107-e115.

REPORT OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

B of T Report 21-A-22

Subject: Opposition to Requirements for Gender-Based Treatments for Athletes
(Resolution 19-A-19)

Presented by: Bobby Mukkamala, MD, Chair

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

1 Resolution 19-A-19, “Opposition to Requirements for Gender-Based Treatment for Athletes,”
2 sponsored by the Medical Student Section, was referred to the Board of Trustees. The resolution
3 asked:

- 4
5 1. That our American Medical Association (AMA) oppose any regulations requiring
6 mandatory medical treatment or surgery for athletes with Differences of Sex Development
7 (DSD) to be allowed to compete in alignment with their identity; and
8
- 9 2. That our AMA oppose the creation of distinct hormonal guidelines to determine gender
10 classification for athletic competitions.
11

12 BACKGROUND

13
14 Resolution 19 reacts to guidelines issued in 2018 by the International Association of Athletics
15 Federations (IAAF)—now World Athletics—updating eligibility criteria for athletes with
16 differences of sex development (DSD) who wish to compete as women in certain international
17 track and field events. Under these guidelines, to be eligible to compete in the 400 meters, hurdles
18 races, 800m, 1500m, one-mile races and combined events over the same distances, women with
19 DSD who have serum testosterone levels above 5 nmol/L and who are androgen sensitive must:

- 20
21 • be legally recognized as female or intersex
- 22 • reduce their circulating serum testosterone levels to below 5 nmol/L for a continuous
23 period of 6 months, and
- 24 • maintain their serum testosterone level below 5 nmol/L continuously for as long as they
25 wish to remain eligible to compete (regardless of whether they are in competition) [1]
26

27 Female athletes with DSD who choose not to reduce their serum testosterone levels will be eligible
28 to compete in all events that are not international competitions and in events in international
29 competitions other than those specifically prohibited [1].
30

31 These guidelines represent the most recent in a series of efforts by the international athletic
32 community to ensure fairness in women’s competitions that began with “gender verification”
33 policies in the 1960s. In 1968, following the extraordinary successes of Tamara and Irina Press,
34 who were suspected of being male, in the 1960 and 1964 Olympics, female athletes were required
35 to prove their sex to be eligible to compete as women in international events [2].

1 Over time, procedures to determine sex evolved from having female athletes parade naked before a
2 panel of judges, through gynecological examination of external genitalia, to the use of sex
3 chromatin tests, and ultimately DNA-based testing [2]. In 2000, the International Olympic
4 Committee (IOC) and IAAF discontinued routine gender verification in favor of “suspicion-based
5 testing,” reserving the right to test if officials or competitors raised questions about a female
6 athlete’s sex.

7
8 In 2011, in the wake of controversy over South African runner Caster Semenya, the IOC’s Medical
9 Commission recommended hormone-based testing, that is, that individuals recognized in law as
10 female be eligible to compete in women’s competitions so long as their serum testosterone levels
11 were “below the male range” or if they had an androgen resistance and derived no competitive
12 advantage from testosterone levels in the male range [2]. The IAAF adopted hormonal testing and
13 implemented new policy that routinely tested all female athletes and required those who tested
14 outside the normal range to undergo treatment to normalize their androgen levels to be eligible to
15 compete.

16
17 In March 2019 the United Nations Council on Human Rights adopted Resolution 40/5,
18 “Elimination of discrimination against women and girls in sport,” noting concern that the
19 IAAF/World Athletics eligibility criteria

20
21 are not compatible with international human rights norms and standards, including the rights of
22 women with differences of sex development, and concerned at the absence of legitimate and
23 justifiable evidence for the regulations to the extent that they may not be reasonable and
24 objective, and that there is no clear relationship of proportionality between the aim of the
25 regulations and the proposed measures and their impact [3].

26
27 The resolution further expressed concern that

28
29 discriminatory regulations, rules and practices that may require women and girl athletes with
30 differences of sex development, androgen sensitivity and levels of testosterone to medically
31 reduce their blood testosterone levels contravene international human rights norms and
32 standards ... [3]

33
34 In 2021, following ongoing controversy, the IOC amended its stance and issued a new “Framework
35 on Fairness, Inclusion and Non-Discrimination on the Basis of Gender Identity and Sex Variations”
36 that eliminated specific instructions on eligibility to compete [4]. Rather, the framework sought to
37 offer general guidance to sports governing bodies

38
39 to promote a safe and welcoming environment for everyone, consistent with the principles
40 enshrined in the Olympic Charter,” and “acknowledges the central role that eligibility criteria
41 play in ensuring fairness, particularly in high-level organized sport in the women’s category”
42 [4].

43
44 With the framework, the IOC recognized “that it is not in a position to issue regulations that define
45 eligibility for every sport” and explicitly left it “to each sport and its governing body to determine
46 how an athlete may be at disproportionate advantage to their peers” [4].

47
48 Also in 2021, the authors of a 2017 study on which World Athletics relied heavily in developing its
49 eligibility criteria published a correction in response to ongoing critique from independent
50 statisticians. The correction acknowledged that “there is no confirmatory evidence for causality in
51 the observed relationships reported” [5]. The authors further noted that the initial research was

“exploratory and not intend[ed] to prove a causal influence” and that “some statements in the original publication “could have been misleading” [5].

World Athletics has not modified its criteria [5], however, and controversy regarding participation by female athletes with DSD continues.¹

FAIRNESS IN SPORT

Regulations intended to promote fairness in sport by restricting the participation of individuals whose genetic characteristics are deemed to give them unfair advantage over competitors raise a series of questions about what the goals of sport are, what counts as an “unfair” advantage, and what should be done to “level the playing field.”

Biological Advantage

Policy restricting competition by female athletes who have serum testosterone levels above a designated “normal” range rests on (at least) two problematic assumptions. The first of those assumptions is that there is a straightforward relationship between testosterone and athletic performance that unequivocally gives these athletes significant advantage over female competitors whose bodies do not produce “excess” endogenous testosterone. The second is that serum testosterone levels can meaningfully be measured, and that prescribed levels can be safely and effectively maintained. The specific contribution of testosterone to overall athletic performance continues to be a subject of debate. Notably, critics of the research on which the IAAF based its regulations on endogenous testosterone have argued that a key study concluding that women with the highest testosterone levels significantly and consistently outperformed other female competitors rests on flawed data [6]. Concerns have also been raised about the rigor of its statistical analysis [7]. The main author, moreover, was the director for the IAAF Science and Health Department, raising questions about possible conflict of interest [8]. More important, however, demonstrating a correlation between testosterone and athletic performance in female athletes falls short of establishing the unfairness of such advantage [8].

However, even if the effect of testosterone on athletic performance was conclusively established specific to the restricted events identified by the IAAF, single point-in-time tests for overall level of serum testosterone cannot provide conclusive evidence that the individual has or will benefit. It is known that women with androgen insensitivity disorder physiologically cannot gain benefit from excess endogenous testosterone. Multiple factors affect serum concentrations of testosterone, including time of day; age- and gender-corrected normal ranges using a standard assay have not been established; and there is no universally recognized standard for calibrating testosterone [9].

Further, “the relevance of free testosterone vs [sic] the fraction actually available to tissues (the “bio-testosterone”) is not well understood” [10]. Nor do the IAAF regulations take into account the existing lack of consensus about “how to use medications safely to lower testosterone levels when used off-label, the side effects of the medications, [or] the difficulties of maintaining the testosterone levels below the levels requested by IAAF owing to natural fluctuations” [8].

¹ Analogous controversy continues with respect to sports participation by transgender women at all levels of competition. For an exploration of issues in this context in relation to the IOC framework, see Harper J. Transgender athletics and international sports policy. *Law and Contemporary Problems* 2022;85:151-165. Available at <https://scholarship.law.duke.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=5035&context=lcp>. Accessed March 23, 2022.

1 *Leveling the Playing Field*

2
3 Assuming, for purposes of analysis, that testosterone does confer a significant competitive
4 advantage in sport, knowing that does not in itself determine what steps should be taken to “level
5 the playing field.” The latter decision is a normative matter, not an empirical one.

6
7 To be defensible, rules and practices intended to ensure that no individual athlete enjoys an unfair
8 advantage over competitors require that rules treat all relevantly similar advantage-conferring
9 attributes in a like manner for all prospective competitors. Testosterone testing for female athletes
10 who have been singled out on the basis of their appearance or performance for all practical
11 purposes subjects these individuals to genetic testing not imposed on their competitors.

12
13 Fairness would thus require that sports organizations test for any “performance enhancing genes
14 that predispose [individual athletes] to be athletically superior” [11]. In the present state of
15 knowledge, this is no more realistic an approach than are current testosterone assays. The influence
16 of genetic factors on athletic performance is multifactorial and sport specific [12]. Organizations
17 would further have to regulate all such advantage-conferring attributes consistently.

18
19 One way to categorize fair versus unfair advantages is by conceptualizing advantages as stable or
20 dynamic [13]. Fair advantages are those the athlete largely cannot affect (such as chronological
21 age, height, genetics, etc.). Unfair advantages are those the athlete can affect (such as speed,
22 strength, endurance, etc.). On this account, genetic differences in testosterone would be stable
23 advantages that could be subject to leveling or more fine-grained classification.

24
25 Thinking specifically about leveling the playing field with respect to genetically based inequalities
26 in endogenous testosterone, three approaches present themselves [8]. First, sports organizations
27 could require athletes to lower testosterone levels that exceed a defined threshold. Sports
28 organizations could require that athletes with testosterone levels that exceed a defined threshold
29 lower them to below a predetermined level.

30
31 As a second approach, organizations could create separate categories for competition based on the
32 level of biological variations, allowing all athletes with serum testosterone within a certain range to
33 compete against one another, regardless of sex or gender identification [8]. Or, third, they could
34 create categories based on modifying the external conditions of competition instead of intervening
35 in athletes’ bodies. Handicapped horse racing offers a model [8].

36 37 THE ROLE OF PHYSICIANS

38
39 World Athletics eligibility criteria take the first of these approaches: intervening in the bodies of
40 athletes. In doing so, they virtually require the participation of physicians helping athletes achieve
41 and maintain the stipulated levels of serum testosterone. To the extent that medical interventions to
42 lower testosterone are not *clinically* indicated, is physician participation appropriate? Overall,
43 existing policies of the American Medical Association and the World Medical Association (WMA)
44 argue against physicians implementing these regulations.

45
46 Existing AMA policy in H-470.978, “[Blood Doping](#),” and H-470.976, “[Abuse of Anabolic](#)
47 [Steroids](#),” prohibit physician participation in blood doping or prescribing anabolic steroids.
48 H-470.994, “[Non-Therapeutic Use of Pharmacological Agents by Athletes](#),” opposes the use of
49 interventions to enhance athletic performance but is silent with respect to physicians’ specific
50 responsibilities.

1 [Principle VIII](#) of the AMA *Principles of Medical Ethics* states that “A physician shall, while caring
2 for a patient, regard responsibility to the patient as paramount.” Opinion 1.2.5, “[Sports Medicine](#),”
3 in the AMA *Code of Medical Ethics* limits its focus to physicians present during athletic events. It
4 directs those who “serve in a medical capacity at athletic, sporting, or other physically demanding
5 events should protect the health and safety of participants.” Opinion 5.5, “[Medically Ineffective](#)
6 [Interventions](#),” which specifically addresses the use of life-sustaining interventions in contexts of
7 terminal illness, provides that physicians “should only recommend and provide interventions that
8 are medically appropriate.” It notes further that patients should not receive specific interventions
9 simply because they request them.

10
11 In a press release in April 2019, the [World Medical Association](#) demanded that the IAAF
12 “immediately withdraw” its new eligibility regulations for classifying female athletes and urged
13 physicians to “take no part” in implementing them. In October 2021 WMA updated “[Declaration](#)
14 [on Principles of Health Care in Sports Medicine](#)” to oppose World Athletics eligibility regulations
15 and condemn “medical treatment solely to alter athletic performance,” as “unethical.”

16
17 These provide several strong arguments, that, as professionals committed to promoting first and
18 foremost the well-being of their patients, it is not appropriate for physicians to provide medical
19 interventions for athletes required to fulfill the World Athletics regulations on endogenous
20 testosterone for female athletes with differences of sexual development.

21 22 RECOMMENDATION

23
24 In view of these considerations, your Board of Trustees recommends that the following
25 recommendations be adopted in lieu of Resolution 19-A-19 and the remainder of this report be
26 filed:

- 27
28 1. That our American Medical Association (AMA) oppose mandatory medical treatment or
29 surgery for athletes with Differences of Sex Development (DSD) to be allowed to compete in
30 alignment with their identity; (New HOD Policy)
- 31
32 2. That our AMA oppose use of specific hormonal guidelines to determine gender classification
33 for athletic competitions. (New HOD Policy)

Fiscal note: Less than \$500.

REFERENCES

1. International Amateur Athletics Federation. *Eligibility Regulations for the Female Classification (Athletes with Differences of Sex Development)*. May 2019. Available at <https://www.sportsintegrityinitiative.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/IAAF-Eligibility-Regulations-for-the-Female-Classi-2-compressed.pdf>. Accessed February 28, 2020.
2. Sullivan CF. Gender verification and gender policies in elite sport: eligibility and “fair play.” *Journal of Sport and Social Issues* 2011;35(4) 400–419.
3. United Nations Human Rights Council. *Resolution 40/5 Elimination of discrimination against women and girls in sport*, 2021. Available at <https://www.right-docs.org/doc/a-hrc-res-40-5/>. Accessed March 23, 2022.
4. International Olympic Committee. *IOC Framework on Fairness, Inclusion and Non-Discrimination on the Basis of Gender Identity and Sex Variations*, 2021. Available at https://stillmed.olympics.com/media/Documents/News/2021/11/IOC-Framework-Fairness-Inclusion-Non-discrimination-2021.pdf?_ga=2.22929395.911782377.1648130991-1468664224.1648130991. Accessed March 23, 2022.
5. Berman S, Garnier P-Y. Correction: Serum androgen levels and their relation to performance in track and field: mass spectrometry results from 2127 observations in male and female elite athletes. *Br J Sports Med* 2021;55:e7.
6. Harper J. Transgender athletics and international sports policy. *Law and Contemporary Problems* 2022;85:151-165. Available at <https://scholarship.law.duke.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=5035&context=lcp>. Accessed March 23, 2022.
7. Pielke R, Tucker R, Boye E. Scientific integrity and the IAAF testosterone regulations. *International Sports Law Journal* 2019; 19:18-26. Available at <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s40318-019-00143-w.pdf>. Accessed February 28, 2020.
8. Franklin S, Betancurt JO, Camporesi S. What statistical data of observational performance can tell us and what they cannot: the case of *Dutee Chand v. AFI & IAAF*. *Br J Sports Med* 2018;52(7):420-421.
9. Camporesi S. When does an advantage become unfair? Empirical and normative concerns in Semenya’s case. *J. Med Ethics* 2019;0:1–5.
10. Wylie K, Rees M, Hackett G, et al. Androgens, health and sexuality in women and men. *Maturitas* 2010;67:275-289.
11. Bostwick JM, Joyner MJ. The limits of acceptable biological variation in elite athletes: should sex ambiguity be treated differently from other advantageous genetic traits? *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2012;87(6):508–513.
12. Cooky C, Dworkin SL. Policing the boundaries of sex: a critical examination of gender verification and the Caster Semenya controversy. *J Sex Research* 2013;50(2):103–111.
13. Guth LM, Roth SM. Genetic influence on athletic performance. *Curr Opin Pediatr* 2013;25:653–658.
14. Loland S. Caster Semenya, athlete classification, and fair equality of opportunity in sport *Journal of Medical Ethics* 2020;46:584-590.

REPORT OF THE COUNCIL ON CONSTITUTION AND BYLAWS

CCB Report 1-A-22

Subject: Clarification to the Bylaws: Delegate Representation

Presented by: Pino Colone, MD, Chair

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

At the 2019 Annual Meeting, the House referred CCB Report 1, “Clarifications to the Bylaws – Delegate Representation, Registration and Credentialing,” to the Council for report back. At the 2019 meeting, the House adopted two Council reports that included elements of referred CCB Report 1-A-19. This third report focuses on the general issue of representation in our AMA House of Delegates (HOD), with clarifying language regarding the medical student regional delegates and the delegates from the Resident and Fellow Section.

DELEGATE REPRESENTATION

Our AMA HOD, per Article IV of the AMA Constitution, is the legislative and policymaking body of the Association. Article III establishes that the AMA is comprised of individual members who are represented through constituent associations, national medical specialty societies and other entities, as specified in the Bylaws. Since delegates and alternate delegates can only achieve HOD representation via one of the aforementioned entities, which includes the sections, the Council opines that an underlying premise of the various AMA bylaws is that a delegate can only represent an organization of which he/she is a member. Bylaw 2.0.1.2 speaks to the multi-dimensional role of delegates, including representation of the perspectives of the delegate’s sponsoring organization, and Bylaw 2.10.3, “Lack of Credentials” alludes to the need for “proper identification as the delegate or alternate delegate selected by the respective organization.”

There was limited discussion of the Council’s recommendation in CCB Report 1-A-19 mandating delegate membership in the entity one is representing. Thus, the Council reintroduces amendments to address the representation requirement of delegates to our AMA House of Delegates.

Other more controversial issues touching on regional medical student representation and RFS sectional delegates from CCB 1-A-19 are discussed below.

REGIONAL MEDICAL STUDENT REPRESENTATION

Similar to the other AMA sections, the Medical Student Section (MSS) elects a delegate and an alternate delegate. In addition, there are medical student regional delegates and alternate delegates. There are seven medical student regions defined for the purposes of electing regional delegates to the AMA House of Delegates. Per Bylaw 2.3.2, each medical student region, as defined by the Medical Student Section, is entitled to “one delegate and one alternate delegate for each 2,000 active medical student members of the AMA in an educational program located within the jurisdiction of the medical student region.” The regions are as follows:

Region 1: Washington, Oregon, California, Nevada, Utah, Colorado, Arizona, New Mexico,
 Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Idaho, Wyoming, Alaska, Hawaii.
 Region 2: Minnesota, Wisconsin, Nebraska, Iowa, Missouri, Illinois.
 Region 3: Kansas, Texas, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi.
 Region 4: Florida, Georgia, Alabama, South Carolina, North Carolina, Tennessee, Puerto Rico.
 Region 5: Michigan, Indiana, Ohio, Kentucky, West Virginia.
 Region 6: Virginia, Maryland, District of Columbia, Delaware, New Jersey, Pennsylvania.
 Region 7: Maine, Vermont, New Hampshire, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New
 York.

Per Bylaw 2.3.3, “Each elected medical student section delegate must receive written endorsement from the constituent association representing the jurisdiction within which the medical student’s educational program is located, in accordance with procedures adopted by the MSS and approved by the Board of Trustees.” The medical student regional delegate and alternate delegate positions are typically funded by the endorsing constituent association, although there is no requirement to do so. Each regional medical student delegate is seated with his/her endorsing constituent association, again per AMA bylaws, with any student who subsequently substitutes for that regional medical student delegate seated with that same constituent association.

At the A-19 Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws, there was divergent testimony as to what entity a medical student regional delegate represents in the House of Delegates. A candidate standing for election to a medical student regional delegate or alternate delegate position must be endorsed by a constituent association; at the MSS meeting, medical students from the same region vote to elect one or more candidates from the region. Yet a medical student regional delegate has some obligation to the constituent association that endorsed their candidacy and that often funds their participation. The Council acknowledges that the medical student regional delegates to the House of Delegates have competing loyalties to their endorsing constituent association, the MSS, their medical student region and their educational program, and that the positions of each may differ on important items of business. Furthermore, AMA Bylaw 2.0.1.2. acknowledges this multi-dimensional role of all AMA delegates. The Council, however, continues to believe that membership in the endorsing constituent association is essential for any medical student regional delegate and thus should be articulated in our AMA Bylaws.

In proposing amendments to Bylaw 2.3, the Council has included language that parallels that in the Medical Student Section’s Internal Operating Procedures approved by the Board of Trustees to address the qualifications of the medical student regional delegate and the regional delegate substitution process. The Council believes that the House of Delegates, endorsing constituent associations, and AMA delegations should be familiar with the Board-approved process when there are vacancies. Again, the Council stands by its language regarding membership in the endorsing constituent association for the medical student regional delegates and alternate delegates.

The Council also has expanded existing bylaw language in 2.10.8 to provide clarity regarding the seating of substitute medical student regional delegates in the House of Delegates. The new language is consistent with current practice as approved by the Board and addressed in the Internal Operating Procedures.

Lastly, the Council also heard some concerns in 2019 from medical students who train full time in a state different than the state where their educational program is located. The Council has learned that the Medical Student Section is seeking to revise the language in its Internal Operating Procedures that speaks to this issue specifically as well as other issues associated with multiple medical student campuses, so in this report the Council also is proposing amendments to Bylaws

2.3.3 and 2.10.8 to eliminate language referring to the jurisdiction of the medical student's educational program.

RFS REPRESENTATION

Similar to other AMA Sections, the Resident and Fellow Section (RFS) has a single delegate and alternate delegate. Additional RFS delegates and alternate delegates to the House of Delegates are elected at the RFS Assembly meeting based on the apportionment of one delegate for every 2,000 active resident and fellow members of the AMA. These sectional RFS delegates must be endorsed by a constituent association, a national medical specialty society, a professional interest association, or a federal service. These positions are typically funded by the endorsing association, society, or federal service and each RFS delegate is seated with the endorsing entity per AMA bylaws, with any resident who substitutes for an RFS delegate being seated with that same entity.

AMA Bylaw 2.0.1.2.1 states "In considering business, delegates should take into consideration the perspectives of their patients, their sponsoring organizations, and their physician constituents." Most delegations caucus prior to and during the House meeting and develop a delegation position on pending items of business. The Council acknowledges that the sectional RFS delegates, like the medical student regional delegates, have competing loyalties as they represent not only their endorsing/sponsoring/funding entity but the RFS in the House of Delegates, and that the positions of the sponsoring entity and the AMA section may differ on important items of business. As with the medical student regional delegates, the Council believes that membership in the endorsing entity is essential for the sectional RFS delegates and alternate delegates and thus should be articulated in our AMA Bylaws.

The Council notes that RFS delegate substitutions are more flexible as these individuals are elected at-large and not regionally as are the regional medical student delegates or even by specialty. The RFS procedures adopted by the RFS Assembly and the Board of Trustees state that "Sectional Delegate vacancies shall be filled by a temporary appointment from the available Sectional Alternate Delegates at the discretion of the RFS Delegate and Alternate Delegate. Sectional Alternate Delegate vacancies shall be filled by a temporary appointment of RFS members present at the current House of Delegates meeting at the discretion of the RFS Delegate and Alternate Delegate... Consideration in temporary appointments shall be given to members who maintain or increase diversity of RFS representation in the House of Delegates with regards to sponsoring state and specialty societies."

The Council has proposed changes to several bylaws to clarify that AMA membership and membership in the endorsing entity is required of each RFS sectional delegate and alternate delegate. RFS delegates may be endorsed by entities represented in the AMA House of Delegates other than constituent associations or national medical specialty societies, namely professional interest medical associations or federal services, a practice allowed under procedures adopted by the RFS Assembly and approved by the Board of Trustees.

The Council has also expanded the language in Bylaw 2.10.9 to address the seating of substitute RFS delegates in the House of Delegates.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The Council on Constitution and Bylaws recommends that the following amendments to the AMA Bylaws be adopted and that the remainder of this report be filed. Adoption requires the affirmative vote of two-thirds of the members of the House of Delegates present and voting.

2.0.1 Composition and Representation. The House of Delegates is composed of delegates selected by recognized constituent associations and specialty societies, and other delegates as provided in this bylaw.

2.0.1.1 Qualification of Members of the House of Delegates. Members of the House of Delegates must be active members of the AMA and of the entity they represent.

2.8 Alternate Delegates. Each organization represented in the House of Delegates may select an alternate delegate for each of its delegates entitled to be seated in the House of Delegates.

2.8.1 Qualifications. Alternate delegates must be active members of the AMA and of the entity they represent.

2.3 Medical Student Regional Delegates. In addition to the delegate and alternate delegate representing the Medical Student Section, ~~regional~~ medical student regional delegates and regional alternate delegates shall be apportioned and elected as provided in this bylaw.

2.3.1 Qualifications. Medical ~~S~~student ~~R~~regional delegates and alternate delegates must be active medical student members of the AMA. In addition, medical student regional delegates and alternate delegates must be members of their endorsing constituent association. The region in which the endorsing society is located determines the student's region, and a medical student may serve as a regional delegate, alternate delegate or any form of substitute (pursuant to Bylaws 2.8.5 or 2.10.4) only for that region.

2.3.3 Medical ~~S~~student ~~R~~regional delegates and alternates shall be elected by the Medical Student Section in accordance with procedures adopted by the Section. Each elected delegate and alternate delegate must receive written endorsement from ~~the~~ their constituent association ~~representing the jurisdiction within which the medical student's educational program is located,~~ in accordance with procedures adopted by the Medical Student Section and approved by the Board of Trustees. Delegates and alternate delegates shall be elected at the Business Meeting of the Medical Student Section prior to the Interim Meeting of the House of Delegates. Delegates and alternate delegates shall be seated at the next Annual Meeting of the House of Delegates.

2.4 Delegates from the Resident and Fellow Section. In addition to the delegate and alternate delegate representing the Resident and Fellow Section, resident and fellow physician delegates and alternate delegates shall be apportioned and elected in a manner as provided in this bylaw.

1 **2.4.1 Qualifications.** Delegates ~~and alternate delegates~~ from the Resident and Fellow
2 Section must be active members of the Resident and Fellow Section of the AMA.
3 In addition, resident and fellow physician delegates and alternate delegates must be
4 members of their endorsing society or organization currently seated in the HOD.
5

6 **2.4.2 Apportionment.** The apportionment of delegates from the Resident and Fellow
7 Section is one delegate for each 2,000 active resident and fellow physician
8 members of the AMA, as recorded by the AMA on December 31 of each year.
9

10 ***

11
12 **2.4.3 Election.** Delegates and alternate delegates shall be elected by the Resident and
13 Fellow Section in accordance with procedures adopted by the Section. Each
14 delegate and alternate delegate must receive written endorsement from ~~his or her a~~
15 society or organization currently seated in the House of Delegates and a constituent
16 ~~association or national medical specialty society~~, in accordance with procedures
17 adopted by the Resident and Fellow Section and approved by the Board of
18 Trustees.
19

20 ***

21
22 **2.10.8 Medical Student Seating.** Each ~~M~~medical Sstudent ~~R~~regional delegate shall be
23 seated with the student's endorsing constituent association representing the
24 ~~jurisdiction within which such delegate's educational program is located.~~ Alternate
25 or substitute delegates shall be assigned to the original regional delegate's seat
26 location during the time they are seated for the original delegate.
27

28 **2.10.9 Resident and Fellow Seating.** Each delegate from the Resident and Fellow
29 Section shall be seated with the physician's endorsing society or organization
30 ~~constituent association or specialty society~~. In the case where a delegate has been
31 endorsed by multiple entities ~~both a constituent association and specialty society~~,
32 the delegate must choose, prior to the election, with which delegation the delegate
33 wishes to be seated. Alternate or substitute delegates shall be assigned to the
34 original delegate's seat location during the time they are seated for the original
35 delegate.
36

37
38 (Modify Bylaws)

REPORT OF THE COUNCIL ON ETHICAL AND JUDICIAL AFFAIRS*

CEJA Report 1-A-22

Subject: Short-Term Medical Service Trips

Presented by: Alexander M. Rosenau, DO, Chair

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

Short-term medical service trips, which send physicians and physicians in training from wealthier countries to provide care in resource-limited settings abroad for a period of days or weeks, have been promoted, in part, as a strategy for addressing global health inequities. Without question, such trips have benefitted thousands of individual patients. At the same time, short-term medical service trips have a problematic history and run the risk of causing harm to the patients and communities they intend to serve [1]. To minimize harm and maximize benefit volunteers, sponsors, and hosts must jointly prioritize activities to meet mutually agreed-on goals; navigate day-to-day collaboration across differences of culture, language, and history; and fairly allocate host and team resources in the local setting.

Ethics guidance alone can neither redress historical wrongs nor solve the underlying structural issues that drive medical need in resource-limited settings of course. But by making explicit the conditions under which short-term medical service trips are ethically sound and by articulating the fundamental ethical responsibilities of those who participate in or sponsor such trips, ethics guidance can promote immediate benefit to individuals *and* sustainable benefit for their communities. This report by the Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs (CEJA) explores the challenges of short-term medical service trips and offers guidance for physicians, physicians in training, and sponsors to help them address ethical challenges of providing clinical care in resource-limited settings abroad.

THE APPEAL OF SHORT-TERM MEDICAL SERVICE TRIPS

Just how many clinicians volunteer to provide medical care in resource-limited settings abroad is difficult to estimate, but the number is large. By one estimate, in the U.S. some 21% of the nearly 3 billion dollars' worth of volunteer hours spent in international efforts in 2007 were medically related [2]. For trainees, in January 2015 the Consortium of Universities for Global Health identified more than 180 websites relating to global health opportunities [3]. The Association of American Medical Colleges found that among students who graduated in 2017–2018 between 25% and 31% reported having had some “global health experience” during medical school [4].

A variety of reasons motivate physicians and trainees to volunteer for service trips. For many, compelling motivations include the opportunities such trips offer to help address health inequities, to improve their diagnostic and technical skills as clinicians, or to explore global health as a topic of study [2]. Service trips can also serve less lofty goals of building one's resume and improving

*Reports of the Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs are assigned to the Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws. They may be adopted, not adopted, or referred. A report may not be amended, except to clarify the meaning of the report and only with the concurrence of the Council.

one's professional prospects, gaining the esteem of peers and family, or simply enjoying international travel [2].

A NOTE ON TERMINOLOGY

The literature is replete with different terms for the activity of traveling abroad to provide medical care on a volunteer basis, including "short-term medical volunteerism" [5], "short-term medical missions" [6], "short-term medical service trips" [7,8], "short-term experience in global health" [9,10], "global health field experience" [11], "global health experience," and "international health experience" [2]. Each has merit as a term of art.

The Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs prefers "short-term medical service trips." In the council's view, this term is clear, concrete, concise, and does not lend itself to multiple interpretations and possible misunderstanding. Importantly, it succinctly captures the features of these activities that are most salient from the perspective of professional ethics in medicine: their limited duration and their orientation toward service.

MEDICAL SERVICE IN RESOURCE-LIMITED SETTINGS

Traditionally, short-term medical service trips focused on providing clinical care as a charitable activity, not infrequently under the auspices of faith-based institutions, whose primary goal was to address unmet medical needs [10]. Increasingly, such trips focus on the broader goal of improving the health and well-being of host communities [9]. Many now also offer training opportunities for medical students and residents [9,10,11]. Ideally, short-term medical service trips are part of larger, long-term efforts to build capacity in health care systems being visited, and ultimately to reduce global health disparities [9,10].

The medical needs of host communities differ from those of volunteers' home countries—volunteers may encounter patients with medical conditions volunteers have not seen before, or who present at more advanced stages of disease, or are complicated by "conditions, such as severe malnutrition, for which medical volunteers may have limited experience" [7]. At the same time, available treatment options may include medications or tools with which volunteers are not familiar.

By definition, short-term medical service trips take place in contexts of scarce resources. The communities they serve are "victims of social, economic, or environmental factors" who have limited access to health care [7], and often lack access to food, and economic and political power as well and "may feel unable to say no to charity in any form offered" [10]. Moreover, short-term medical service trips take place under the long shadow of colonialism, including medicine's role [12], and have been critiqued as perpetuating the colonial legacy of racism, exploitation, and dependency [1,10,13].

ETHICAL RESPONSIBILITIES IN SHORT-TERM MEDICAL SERVICE TRIPS

These realities define fundamental ethical responsibilities not only for those who volunteer, but equally for the individuals and organizations that sponsor short-term medical service trips. Emerging guidelines identify duties to maximize and enhance good clinical outcomes, to promote justice and sustainability, to minimize burdens on host communities, and to respect persons and local cultures [2,9,10,11].

Promoting Justice & Sustainability

If short-term medical service trips are to achieve their primary goal of improving the health of local host communities, they must commit not simply to addressing immediate, concrete needs, but to helping the community build its own capacity to provide health care. To that end, the near and longer-term goals of trips should be set in collaboration with the host community, not determined in advance solely by the interests or intent of trip sponsors and participants [7,9]. Trips should seek to balance community priorities with the training interests and abilities of participants [10], but in the first instance benefits should be those desired by the host community [9]. Likewise, interventions must be acceptable to the community [9].

Volunteers and sponsors involved with short-term medical service trips have a responsibility to ask how they can best use a trip's limited time and material resources to promote the long-term goal of developing local capacity. Will the trip train local health care providers? Build local infrastructure? Empower the community [7]? Ideally, a short-term medical service trip will be part of a collaboratively planned longer-term and evolving engagement with the host community [7,10].

Minimizing Potential for Harms & Burdens in Host Communities

Just as focusing on the overarching goal of promoting justice and sustainability is foundational to ethically sound short-term medical service trips, so too is identifying and minimizing the burdens such trips could place on the intended beneficiaries.

Beyond lodging, food, and other direct costs of short-term medical service trips, which are usually reimbursed to host communities [9], such trips can place indirect, less material burdens on local communities. Physicians, trainees, and others who organize or participate in short-term medical service trips should be alert to possible unintended consequences that can undermine the value of a trip to both hosts and participants. Trips should not detract from or place significant burdens on local clinicians and resources, particularly in ways that negatively affect patients, jeopardize sustainability, or disrupt relationships between trainees and their home institutions [9,11]. For example, donations of medical supplies can address immediate need, but at the same time create burdens for the local health care system and jeopardize development by the local community of effective solutions to long-term supply problems [7].

Negotiating beforehand how visiting health care professionals will be expected to interact with the host community and the boundaries of the team's mission, skill, and training can surface possible impacts and allow them to be addressed before the team is in the field. Likewise, selecting team members whose skills and experience map to the needs and expectations of the host community can help minimize disruptive effects on local practice [11]. Advance preparation should include developing a plan to monitor and address ongoing costs and benefits to patients and host communities and institutions, including local trainees (when the trip includes providing training for the host community), once the team is in the field [11].

Respecting Persons & Cultures

Physicians and trainees who participate in short-term medical service trips face a host of challenges. Some of them are practical—resource limitations, unfamiliar medical needs, living conditions outside their experience, among many others. Some challenges are more philosophical, especially the challenge of navigating language(s) and norms they may never have encountered before, or not encountered with the same immediacy [1,2,9]. Striking a balance between Western medicine's understanding of the professional commitment to respect for persons and the

expectations of host communities rooted in other histories, traditions, and social structures calls for a level of discernment, sensitivity, and humility that may more often be seen as the skill set of an ethnographer than a clinician.

Individuals who travel abroad to provide medical care in resource-limited settings should be aware that the interactions they will have in the field will inevitably be cross-cultural. They should seek to become broadly knowledgeable about the communities in which they will work, such as the primary language(s) in which encounters will occur; predominant local “explanatory models” of health and illness; local expectations for how health care professionals behave toward patients and toward one another; and salient economic, political, and social dynamics. Volunteers should take advantage of resources that can help them begin to cultivate the “cultural sensitivity” they will need to provide safe, respectful, patient-centered care in the context of the specific host community [7,10,11].

Individuals do not bear this responsibility alone, of course. Organizations and institutions that sponsor short-term medical service trips have a responsibility to make appropriate orientation and training available to volunteers before they depart [11], in addition to working with host communities to put in place appropriate services, such as interpreters or local mentors, to support volunteers in the field.

The ethical obligation to respect the individual patients they serve and their host communities’ cultural and social traditions does *not* obligate physicians and trainees “to violate fundamental personal values, standards of medical care or ethical practice, or the law” [9]. Volunteers will be challenged, rather, to negotiate compromises that preserve in some reasonable measure the values of both parties whenever possible [14]. Volunteers should be allowed to decline to participate in activities that violate deeply held personal beliefs, but they should reflect long and carefully before reaching such a decision [15].

GETTING INTO THE FIELD

To fulfill these fundamental ethical responsibilities, moreover, requires meeting other obligations with respect to organizing and carrying out short-term medical service trips. Specifically, sponsoring organizations and institutions have an obligation to ensure thoughtful, diligent preparation to promote a trip’s overall goals, including appropriately preparing volunteers for the field experience. Physicians and trainees, for their part, have an obligation to choose thoughtfully those programs with which they affiliate themselves [1,2, 9,11].

Prepare Diligently

Guidelines from the American College of Physicians recognize that “predeparture preparation is itself an ethical obligation” [9, cf. 2]. Defining the goal(s) of a short-term medical service trip in collaboration with the host community helps to clarify what material resources will be needed in the field, and thus anticipate and minimize logistic burdens the trip may pose. Collaborative planning can similarly identify what clinical skills volunteers should be expected to bring to the effort, for example, and what activities they should be assigned, or whether local mentors are needed or desirable and how such relationships will be coordinated [11].

Importantly, thoughtful preparation includes determining what nonclinical skills and experience volunteers should have to contribute to the overall success of the service opportunity. For example, a primary goal of supporting capacity building in the local community calls for participants who

1 have “training and/or familiarity with principles of international development, social determinants
2 of health, and public health systems” [10].

3
4 Adequately preparing physicians and trainees for short-term medical service trips encompasses
5 planning with respect to issues of personal safety, vaccinations, unique personal health needs,
6 travel, malpractice insurance, and local credentialing requirements [7]. Equally important, to
7 contribute effectively and minimize “culture shock” and distress, volunteers need a basic
8 understanding of the context in which they will be working [1,2,7]. Without expecting them to
9 become experts in local culture, volunteers should have access to resources that will orient them to
10 the language(s), traditions, norms, and expectations of the host community, not simply to the
11 resource and clinical challenges they are likely to face. Volunteers should have sufficient
12 knowledge to conduct themselves appropriately in the field setting, whether that is in how they
13 dress, how they address or interact with different members of the community, or how they carry
14 out their clinical responsibilities [7]. And they need to know whom they can turn to for guidance in
15 the moment.

16
17 Preparation should also include explicit attention to the possibility that volunteers will encounter
18 ethical dilemmas. Working in unfamiliar cultural settings and health care systems poses the real
19 possibility for physicians and trainees that they will encounter situations in which they “are unable
20 to act in ways that are consistent with ethics and their professional values” or “feel complicit in a
21 moral wrong” [9]. Having strategies in place to address dilemmas when they arise and to debrief
22 after the fact can help mitigate the impact of such experiences. In cases of irreducible conflict with
23 local norms, volunteers may withdraw from care of an individual patient or from the mission after
24 careful consideration of the effect withdrawing will have on the patient, the medical team, and the
25 mission overall, in keeping with ethics guidance on the exercise of conscience.

26 27 *Choose Thoughtfully*

28
29 Individual physicians and trainees who volunteer for short-term medical service trips are not in a
30 position to directly influence how such programs are organized or carried out. They can, however,
31 by preference choose to participate in activities carried out by organizations that fulfill the ethical
32 responsibilities discussed above [9,10,11]. Volunteers can select organizations and programs that
33 demonstrate commitment to long-term, community-led efforts to build and sustain local health care
34 resources over programs that provide episodic, stop-gap medical interventions, which can promote
35 dependence on the cycle of foreign charitable assistance rather than development of local
36 infrastructure [10].

37 38 *Measure & Share Meaningful Outcomes*

39
40 Organizations that sponsor short-term medical service trips have a responsibility to monitor and
41 evaluate the effectiveness of their programs, [7,9,10]. The measures used to evaluate program
42 outcomes should be appropriate to the program’s goals as defined proactively in collaboration with
43 the host community [9]; for example, some have suggested quality-adjusted life years (QALYs)
44 [16]. Prospective participants should affiliate themselves with programs that demonstrate
45 effectiveness in providing outcomes meaningful to the population they serve, rather than simple
46 measures of process such as number of procedures performed [7]. Developing meaningful outcome
47 measures will require thoughtful reflection on the knowledge and skills needed to address the
48 specific situation of the community or communities being served and on what preparations are
49 essential to maximize health benefits and avoid undue harm.

RECOMMENDATION

In light of these deliberations, the Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs recommends that the following be adopted and the remainder of this report be filed:

Short-term medical service trips, which send physicians and physicians in training from wealthier countries to provide care in resource-limited settings for a period of days or weeks, have been promoted as a strategy to provide needed care to individual patients and, increasingly, as a means to address global health inequities. To the extent that such service trips also provide training and educational opportunities, they may offer benefit both to the communities that host them and the medical professionals and trainees who volunteer their time and clinical skills.

By definition, short-term medical service trips take place in contexts of scarce resources and in the shadow of colonial histories. These realities define fundamental ethical responsibilities for volunteers, sponsors, and hosts to jointly prioritize activities to meet mutually agreed-on goals; navigate day-to-day collaboration across differences of culture, language, and history; and fairly allocate host and team resources in the local setting. Participants and sponsors must focus not only on enabling good health outcomes for individual patients, but on promoting justice and sustainability, minimizing burdens on host communities, and respecting persons and local cultures. Responsibly carrying out short-term medical service trips requires diligent preparation on the part of participants and sponsors in collaboration with host communities.

Physicians and trainees who are involved with short-term medical service trips should ensure that the trips with which they are associated:

- (a) Focus prominently on promoting justice and sustainability by collaborating with the host community to define mission parameters, including identifying community needs, mission goals, and how the volunteer medical team will integrate with local health care professionals and the local health care system. In collaboration with the host community, short-term medical service trips should identify opportunities for and priority of efforts to support the community in building health care capacity. Trips that also serve secondary goals, such as providing educational opportunities for trainees, should prioritize benefits as defined by the host community over benefits to members of the volunteer medical team.
- (b) Seek to proactively identify and minimize burdens the trip may place on the host community, including not only direct, material costs of hosting volunteers, but on possible disruptive effects the presence of volunteers could have for local practice and practitioners as well. Sponsors and participants should ensure that team members practice only within their skill sets and experience, and that resources are available to support the success of the trip, including arranging for appropriate supervision of trainees, local mentors, translation services, and volunteers' personal health needs as appropriate.
- (c) Seek to become broadly knowledgeable about the communities in which they will work and take advantage of resources to begin to cultivate the "cultural sensitivity" they will need to provide safe, respectful, patient-centered care in the context of the specific host community. Members of the volunteer medical team are expected to uphold the ethics standards of their profession and volunteers should insist that strategies are in place to address ethical dilemmas as they arise. In cases of irreducible conflict with local norms, volunteers may withdraw from care of an individual patient or from the mission after

1 careful consideration of the effect that will have on the patient, the medical team, and the
2 mission overall, in keeping with ethics guidance on the exercise of conscience.

3
4 Sponsors of short-term medical service trips should:

5
6 (d) Ensure that resources needed to meet the defined goals of the trip will be in place,
7 particularly resources that cannot be assured locally.

8
9 (e) Proactively define appropriate roles and permissible range of practice for members of the
10 volunteer team, including the training, experience, and oversight of team members required
11 to provide acceptable safe, high-quality care in the host setting. Team members should
12 practice only within the limits of their training and skills in keeping with the professional
13 standards of the sponsor's country.

14
15 (f) Put in place a mechanism to collect data on success in meeting collaboratively defined
16 goals for the trip in keeping with recognized standards for the conduct of health services
17 research and quality improvement activities in the sponsor's country.

18
19 (New HOD/CEJA Policy)

Fiscal Note: Less than \$500

REFERENCES

1. Bauer I. More harm than good? The questionable ethics of medical volunteering and student placements. *Tropical Diseases, Travel Medicine and Vaccines* 2017;3:5St. Clair NE, Pitt MB, Bakeera-Kitaka S, et al. Global health: preparation for working in resource-limited settings. *Pediatrics*. 2017;140(5):e20163783.
2. Consortium of Universities for Global Health. Cough.org. Accessed January 10, 2020.
3. Association of American Medical Colleges. *Medical School Graduation Questionnaire 2018 All Schools Summary Report*. Available at <https://www.aamc.org/system/files/reports/1/2018gqallschoolssummaryreport.pdf>. Accessed October 29, 2019.
4. DeCamp M. Ethical review of global short-term medical volunteerism. *HEC Forum*. 2011;23:91–103.
5. Roche SD, Ketheeswaran P, Wirtz VJ. International short-term medical missions: a systematic review of recommended practices. *Int J Public Health*. 2017;62:31–42.
6. Stone GS, Olson KR. The ethics of medical volunteerism. *Med Clin N Am*. 2016;100:237–246.
7. Sykes KJ. Short-term medical service trips: a systematic review of the evidence. *Am J Public Health*. 2014;104:e38–e48.
8. DeCamp M, Soleymani Lehmann L, Jaell P, et al. Ethical obligations regarding short-term global health clinical experiences: an American College of Physicians position paper. *Ann Intern Med*. 2018;160:651–657.
9. Melby MK, Loh LC, Evert J, et al. Beyond medical “missions” to impact-driven short-term experiences in global health (STEGHs): ethical principles to optimize community benefit and learner experience. *Acad Med*. 2016;91(5):633–638.
10. Keller RC. Geographies of power, legacies of mistrust: colonial medicine in the global present. *Historical Geography* 2006;34:26–48.
11. Snyder J, Dharamsi S, Crook VA. Fly-by medical care: conceptualizing the global social responsibilities of medical tourisms and physician voluntourists. *Globalization and Health* 2011;7:6.
13. Crump JA, Sugarman J. Global health training: ethics and best practice guidelines for training experiences in global health. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2010;83(6):1178–1182.
14. Freedman B. Offering truth: one ethical approach to the uninformed cancer patient. *Arch Intern Med*. 1983;153:572–576.
15. American Medical Association. *Code of Medical Ethics*, Opinion 1.1.7, Physician exercise of conscience. Available at <https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-care/ethics/physician-exercise-conscience>. Accessed October 29, 2019.
16. MacAskill W. *Doing Good Better*. New York: Avery, 2015.

REPORT OF THE COUNCIL ON ETHICAL AND JUDICIAL AFFAIRS*

CEJA Report 2-A-22

Subject: Amendment to Opinion 10.8, Collaborative Care

Presented by: Alexander M. Rosenau, DO, Chair

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

Recent years have seen the rise of nonphysician practitioners (e.g., nurse practitioners, physician assistants, midwives) as a growing share of health care providers in the United States. Moreover, nonphysician practitioners have gained increasing autonomy, authorized by state governments (e.g., legislatures and licensing boards) in response to the lobbying from professional associations, to ameliorate provider shortages, and in response to rising health care costs. Expanded autonomy has increased the interactions of independent nonphysician practitioners and physicians in care of patients. Increasingly nonphysician practitioners are seeking advanced training that results in a doctorate degree, such as “Doctor of Nursing.” Such terminology sometimes results in misconception or confusion for both patients and physicians about the practitioner’s skillset, training, and experience.

The following is an analysis of the ethical concerns centering on issues of transparency and misconception. In recognition of the growing relevance of the issue, the Council brings this analysis on its own initiative, offering an amendment to the AMA *Code of Medical Ethics* Opinion 10.8 Collaborative Care.

DESCRIPTION OF NONPHYSICIAN PRACTITIONERS

The term “nonphysician practitioners” denotes a broad range of professionals including nurse practitioners, physician assistants, midwives, doulas, pharmacists, and physical therapists. There are “multiple pathways” for one to become a nonphysician practitioner, the most common is a nurse earning a “master’s degree or doctoral degree in nursing” after initial completion of a bachelor’s degree [1]. However, the skillsets and experience of nonphysician practitioners are not the same as those of physicians. Hence, when a nonphysician practitioner identifies themselves as “Doctor” consistent with the degree they received, it may create confusion and be misleading to patients and other practitioners.

PATIENT CONFUSION AND MISCONCEPTION

Patient confusion and misconception about provider credentials is a significant concern. Data suggests that many patients are not sure who is and who is not a physician. For example, 47% of respondents in one survey indicated they believed optometrists were physicians (10% were unsure), while some 15% believed ophthalmologists are *not* (with 12% being unsure) [2]. Nineteen percent

* Reports of the Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs are assigned to the Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws. They may be adopted, not adopted, or referred. A report may not be amended, except to clarify the meaning of the report and only with the concurrence of the Council.

of respondents to the same survey believed nurse practitioners (NPs) to be physicians, although 74% identified them as nonphysicians.

Meanwhile, the range of professional titles of various NPs is wide and the issue is compounded by the fact that many NPs hold doctorate degrees [3]. While the PhD in nursing degree is the oldest and most traditional doctorate in the nursing profession, having its roots in the 1960s and 70s [4], Al-Agba and Bernard note how in “recent years, an explosion of doctorates in various medical professions has made the label of ‘doctor’ far less clear”, a common example being that of the “Doctor of Nursing Practice” (DNP) [3]. The DNP, a professional practice doctorate (distinct from the research-oriented PhD), was first granted in the U.S. in 2001. As of 2020, there are now 348 DNP programs in the U.S. [3]. Critics argue that the rise of DNP programs is not about providing better patient care, but is rather a “political maneuver, designed to appropriate the title of ‘doctor’ and create a false sense of equivalence between nurse practitioners and physicians in the minds of the public” [3].

The problem of identification has been recognized by some states where NPs with a doctorate are only allowed to be “addressed as ‘doctor’ if the DNP clarifies that he or she is actually an NP” and some jurisdictions require NPs without a doctorate to have special identification that “unambiguously identifies them” [5]. From an ethical standpoint, NPs have a duty as do all health care practitioners, including physicians to be forthright with patients about their skill sets, education, or training, and to not allow any situation where a misconception is possible. Ambiguous representation of credentials is unethical, because it interferes with the patient’s autonomy, as the patient is not able to execute valid informed consent if they misconstrue the provider. For example, a patient may only want a certain procedure done by a physician and then assent to an NP performing the procedure, under the mistaken belief that the NP is a physician. However, such an assent to the medical procedure is neither a valid *consent* nor an adequately informed *assent*, as the patient’s decision is founded on a flawed basis of key information, i.e., the nature and extent of the practitioner’s skill set, education, and experience.

GUIDANCE IN AMA POLICY AND CODE OF MEDICAL ETHICS

AMA House Policy and the AMA *Code of Medical Ethics* respond to and recognize issues of transparency of credentials and professional identification. However, the *Code* could be modestly amended to offer specific guidance regarding transparency in the context of team-based care involving nonphysician practitioners.

House Policy

[H-405.992](#) – “Doctor as Title,” states:

The AMA encourages state medical societies to oppose any state legislation or regulation that might alter or limit the title ““Doctor,” which persons holding the academic degrees of Doctor of Medicine or Doctor of Osteopathy are entitled to employ.

[D-405.991](#) – “Clarification of the Title “Doctor” in the Hospital Environment,” states:

Our AMA Commissioners will, for the purpose of patient safety, request that The Joint Commission develop and implement standards for an identification system for all hospital facility staff who have direct contact with patients which would require that an identification badge be worn which indicates the individual's name and credentials as appropriate (i.e., MD,

DO, RN, LPN, DC, DPM, DDS, etc), to differentiate between those who have achieved a Doctorate, and those with other types of credentials.

[H-405.969](#) – “Definition of a Physician”, states:

... a physician is an individual who has received a “Doctor of Medicine” or a “Doctor of Osteopathic Medicine” degree or an equivalent degree following successful completion of a prescribed course of study from a school of medicine or osteopathic medicine.

AMA policy requires anyone in a hospital environment who has direct contact with a patient who presents himself or herself to the patient as a “doctor,” and who is not a “physician” according to the AMA definition above, must specifically and simultaneously declare themselves a “non-physician” and define the nature of their doctorate degree.

Code of Medical Ethics

The Code already addresses transparency in context of residents and fellows. [Opinion 9.2.2](#), “Resident & Fellow Physicians’ Involvement in Patient Care,” possesses some language regarding transparency and identification where it states:

When they are involved in patient care, residents and fellows should:

(a) Interact honestly with patients, including clearly identifying themselves as members of a team that is supervised by the attending physician and clarifying the role they will play in patient care.

In the context of a team-based collaborative care involving nonphysician practitioners, [Opinion 10.8](#), “Collaborative Care” is the most relevant *Code* opinion. It gives guidance on the collaborative team-based setting, where a mix of health professionals provide care. However, Opinion 10.8 lacks guidance on the transparency of identification and credentials, ultimately leaving the *Code* silent on the issue of transparency in the context of team-based collaborative care. Hence, amendment to Opinion 10.8 is warranted.

RECOMMENDATION

In light of the foregoing, the Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs recommends that Opinion 10.8, Collaborative Care be amended as follows and the remainder of this report be filed:

In health care, teams that collaborate effectively can enhance the quality of care for individual patients. By being prudent stewards and delivering care efficiently, teams also have the potential to expand access to care for populations of patients. Such teams are defined by their dedication to providing patient-centered care, protecting and promoting the integrity of the patient-professional ~~physician~~ relationship, sharing mutual respect and trust, communicating effectively, sharing accountability and responsibility, and upholding common ethical values as team members.

Health care teams often include members of multiple health professions, including physicians, nurse practitioners, physician assistants, pharmacists, physical therapists, and care managers among others. To foster the trust essential to patient-professional relationships, all members of the team should be candid about their professional credentials, their experience, and the role they will play in the patient’s care.

An effective team requires the vision and direction of an effective leader. In medicine, this means having a clinical leader who will ensure that the team as a whole functions effectively and facilitates decision-making. Physicians are uniquely situated to serve as clinical leaders. By virtue of their thorough and diverse training, experience, and knowledge, physicians have a distinctive appreciation of the breadth of health issues and treatments that enables them to synthesize the diverse professional perspectives and recommendations of the team into an appropriate, coherent plan of care for the patient.

As clinical leaders within health care teams, physicians individually should:

(a) Model ethical leadership by:

- (i) Understanding the range of their own and other team members' skills and expertise and roles in the patient's care
- (ii) Clearly articulating individual responsibilities and accountability
- (iii) Encouraging insights from other members and being open to adopting them and
- (iv) Mastering broad teamwork skills

(b) Promote core team values of honesty, discipline, creativity, humility and curiosity and commitment to continuous improvement.

(c) Help clarify expectations to support systematic, transparent decision making.

(d) Encourage open discussion of ethical and clinical concerns and foster a team culture in which each member's opinion is heard and considered and team members share accountability for decisions and outcomes.

(e) Communicate appropriately with the patient and family, including being forthright when describing their profession and role, and respecting the unique relationship of patient and family as members of the team.

As leaders within health care institutions, physicians individually and collectively should:

(f) Advocate for the resources and support health care teams need to collaborate effectively in providing high-quality care for the patients they serve, including education about the principles of effective teamwork and training to build teamwork skills.

(g) Encourage their institutions to identify and constructively address barriers to effective collaboration.

(h) Promote the development and use of institutional policies and procedures, such as an institutional ethics committee or similar resource, to address constructively conflicts within teams that adversely affect patient care.

(i) Promote a culture of respect, collegiality and transparency among all health care personnel.

(Modify HOD/CEJA Policy)

Fiscal Note: Less than \$500

REFERENCES

1. Government Accountability Office. *Healthcare Workforce: Views on Expanding Medicare Graduate Medical Education Funding to Nurse Practitioners and Physician Assistants*, 2019. Available at: <https://www.gao.gov/assets/710/703372.pdf?fbclid=IwAR1-3D5tOArzcS6lLRkMDT6wIksFyX3-yaw75SXOSP55YgOIuN4SyBhZhEQ>. Accessed March 5, 2022.
2. American Medical Association. *Truth in Advertising Survey*, 2018. Available at https://www.ama-assn.org/sites/ama-assn.org/files/corp/media-browser/premium/arc/tia-survey_0.pdf. Accessed March 5, 2022.
3. Al-Agba N & Bernard R. *Patients at Risk: The Rise of the Nurse Practitioner and Physician Assistant in Healthcare*. Irvine, CA: Universal Publishers, 2020.
4. Lindell – need citation
5. Zand MB. Nursing the primary care shortage back to health: how expanding nurse practitioners autonomy can safely and economically meet the growing demand for basic health care. *J. Law & Health*. 2011;24(2):261-284.

REPORT OF THE COUNCIL ON ETHICAL AND JUDICIAL AFFAIRS*

CEJA Report 3-A-22

Subject: Amendment to E-9.3.2, “Physician Responsibilities to Colleagues with Illness, Disability or Impairment”

Presented by: Alexander M. Rosenau, DO, Chair

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

INTRODUCTION

At the November 2021 Special Meeting, the American Medical Association House of Delegates adopted Policy D-140.952, “AMA Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs Report on Physician Responsibilities to Impaired Colleagues,” asking the Council to consider specific amendments to guidance adopted by the House at its June 2021 Special Meeting as follows:

- (i) Advocating for supportive services, including physician health programs, and accommodations to enable physicians and physicians-in-training who require assistance to provide safe, effective care.

with additional guidance

- (k) Advocating for fair, objective, external, and independent evaluations for physicians when a review is requested or required to assess a potential impairment and its duration by an employer, academic medical center, or hospital/health system where said physician has clinical privileges or where said physician-in training is placed for a clinical rotations.

The Council thanks the House for offering these clarifications and fully concurs with the importance of ensuring fair assessment of any potential impairment.

RECOMMENDATION

The Council believes that a more general formulation that did not delineate specific actors would better emphasize the importance of fairness whenever and by whomever such assessment is sought and would help ensure that guidance remains evergreen. The Council therefore proposes to amend Opinion 9.3.2 by insertion as follows:

E-9.3.2 – Physician Responsibilities to Colleagues with Illness, Disability or Impairment

Providing safe, high-quality care is fundamental to physicians’ fiduciary obligation to promote patient welfare. Yet a variety of physical and mental health conditions—including physical disability, medical illness, and substance use—can undermine physicians’ ability to fulfill that

* Reports of the Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs are assigned to the Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws. They may be adopted, not adopted, or referred. A report may not be amended, except to clarify the meaning of the report and only with the concurrence of the Council.

obligation. These conditions in turn can put patients at risk, compromise physicians' relationships with patients, as well as colleagues, and undermine public trust in the profession.

While some conditions may render it impossible for a physician to provide care safely, with appropriate accommodations or treatment many can responsibly continue to practice, or resume practice once those needs have been met. In carrying out their responsibilities to colleagues, patients, and the public, physicians should strive to employ a process that distinguishes conditions that are permanently incompatible with the safe practice of medicine from those that are not and respond accordingly.

As individuals, physicians should:

- (a) Maintain their own physical and mental health, strive for self-awareness, and promote recognition of and resources to address conditions that may cause impairment.
- (b) Seek assistance as needed when continuing to practice is unsafe for patients, in keeping with ethics guidance on physician health and competence.
- (c) Intervene with respect and compassion when a colleague is not able to practice safely. Such intervention should strive to ensure that the colleague is no longer endangering patients and that the individual receive appropriate evaluation and care to treat any impairing conditions.
- (d) Protect the interests of patients by promoting appropriate interventions when a colleague continues to provide unsafe care despite efforts to dissuade them from practice.
- (e) Seek assistance when intervening, in keeping with institutional policies, regulatory requirements, or applicable law.

Collectively, physicians should nurture a respectful, supportive professional culture by:

- (f) Encouraging the development of practice environments that promote collegial mutual support in the interest of patient safety.
- (g) Encouraging development of inclusive training standards that enable individuals with disabilities to enter the profession and have safe, successful careers.
- (h) Eliminating stigma within the profession regarding illness and disability.
- (i) Advocating for supportive services, including physician health programs, and accommodations to enable physicians and physicians-in-training who require assistance to provide safe, effective care.
- (j) Advocating for respectful and supportive, evidence-based peer review policies and practices to ensure fair, objective, and independent assessment of potential impairment whenever and by whomever assessment is deemed appropriate to that will ensure patient safety and practice competency. (II)

(Modify HOD/CEJA Policy)

Fiscal Note: Less than \$500

REPORT OF THE COUNCIL ON ETHICAL AND JUDICIAL AFFAIRS*

CEJA Report 4-A-22

Subject: CEJA's Sunset Review of 2012 House Policies

Presented by: Alexander M. Rosenau, DO, Chair

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

1 Policy G-600.110, "Sunset Mechanism for AMA Policy," calls for the decennial review of
2 American Medical Association policies to ensure that our AMA's policy database is current,
3 coherent, and relevant. This policy reads as follows, laying out the parameters for review and
4 specifying the needed procedures:
5

- 6 1. As the House of Delegates adopts policies, a maximum ten-year time horizon shall exist. A
7 policy will typically sunset after ten years unless action is taken by the House of Delegates
8 to retain it. Any action of our AMA House that reaffirms or amends an existing policy
9 position shall reset the sunset "clock," making the reaffirmed or amended policy viable for
10 another 10 years.
11
- 12 2. In the implementation and ongoing operation of our AMA policy sunset mechanism, the
13 following procedures shall be followed: (a) Each year, the Speakers shall provide a list of
14 policies that are subject to review under the policy sunset mechanism; (b) Such policies
15 shall be assigned to the appropriate AMA councils for review; (c) Each AMA council that
16 has been asked to review policies shall develop and submit a report to the House of
17 Delegates identifying policies that are scheduled to sunset; (d) For each policy under
18 review, the reviewing council can recommend one of the following actions: (i) retain the
19 policy; (ii) sunset the policy; (iii) retain part of the policy; or (iv) reconcile the policy with
20 more recent and like policy; (e) For each recommendation that it makes to retain a policy in
21 any fashion, the reviewing council shall provide a succinct, but cogent justification (f) The
22 Speakers shall determine the best way for the House of Delegates to handle the sunset
23 reports.
24
- 25 3. Nothing in this policy shall prohibit a report to the HOD or resolution to sunset a policy
26 earlier than its 10-year horizon if it is no longer relevant, has been superseded by a more
27 current policy, or has been accomplished.
28
- 29 4. The AMA councils and the House of Delegates should conform to the following guidelines
30 for sunset: (a) when a policy is no longer relevant or necessary; (b) when a policy or
31 directive has been accomplished; or (c) when the policy or directive is part of an
32 established AMA practice that is transparent to the House and codified elsewhere such as
33 the AMA Bylaws or the AMA House of Delegates Reference Manual: Procedures, Policies
34 and Practices.

*Reports of the Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs are assigned to the reference committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws. They may be adopted, not adopted, or referred. A report may not be amended, except to clarify the meaning of the report and only with the concurrence of the Council.

1 5. The most recent policy shall be deemed to supersede contradictory past AMA policies.

2

3 6. Sunset policies will be retained in the AMA historical archives.

4

5 RECOMMENDATION

6

7 The Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs recommends that the House of Delegates policies that
8 are listed in the Appendix to this report be acted upon in the manner indicated and the remainder of
9 this report be filed. (Directive to Take Action)

Fiscal Note: Less than \$500.

APPENDIX - RECOMMENDED ACTIONS

Policy Number	Title	Text	Recommendation
D-478.978	Electronic Health Record "Lemon Law"	Our AMA will pursue possibilities, consistent with our strategic direction and existing guidelines for working with third parties, to develop tools, accessible to all AMA members, which can help physicians in the selection and evaluation of electronic health records. (BOT Rep. 9, A-12)	Retain; remains relevant.
D-85.995	Medical Examiner Patient Postmortem: Cause of Death Transparency	Our AMA will: (1) convene a study group to examine strategies to implement a postmortem process or standard for ongoing communication between the medical examiner, physicians, health care providers, and family members; and (2) develop guidelines for hospital processes for communication between medical examiners, clinicians, families, medical staffs, and other key stakeholders to establish a postmortem management methodology that includes timely communication between all parties. (Res. 726, A-12)	Rescind; directive was fulfilled. A study group was convened and resultant guidelines can be found here .
H-235.977	Medical Staff Committees to Assist Impaired or Distressed Physicians	Our AMA recognizes the importance of early recognition of impaired or distressed physicians, and encourages hospital medical staffs to have provisions in their bylaws for a mechanism to address the physical and mental health of their medical staff and housestaff members. (Sub. Res. 67, A-89; Reaffirmed: BOT Rep. 17 and Sunset Report, A-00; Reaffirmed: CEJA Rep. 6, A-10; Reaffirmed: BOT action in response to referred for decision Res. 403, A-12)	Retain; remains relevant.
H-370.971	Increasing Organ Donation	Our AMA recognizes the importance of physician participation in the organ donation process and acknowledges organ donation as a specialized form of end-of-life care. (CSA Rep. 4, I-02; Reaffirmed: CSAPH Rep. 1, A-12)	Retain; remains relevant.
H-370.975	Ethical Issues in the Procurement of Organs Following Cardiac Death	The Pittsburgh Protocol: The following guidelines have been adopted: The Pittsburgh protocol, in which organs are removed for transplantation from patients who have had life-sustaining treatment withdrawn, may be ethically acceptable and should be pursued as a pilot project. The pilot project should (1) determine the protocol's acceptability to the public, and (2) identify the number and usability of organs that may be procured through this approach. The protocol currently has provisions for limiting conflicts of interest and ensuring voluntary consent. It is critical that the health care team's conflict of interest in caring for potential donors at the end of life be minimized, as the protocol currently provides, through maintaining the	Rescind; while the policy remains relevant, it has been superseded by formal ethics policy at Opinion 6.1.2 – “Organ Donation After Cardiac Death.”

		<p>separation of providers caring for the patient at the end of life and providers responsible for organ transplantation. In addition to the provisions currently contained in the protocol, the following additional safeguards are recommended:</p> <p>(a) To protect against undue conflicts of interest, the protocol should explicitly warn members of the health care team to be sensitive to the possibility that organ donation decisions may influence life-sustaining treatment decisions when the decisions are made by surrogates. Further, if there is some reason to suspect undue influence, then the health care team members should be required, not merely encouraged, to obtain a full ethics consultation.</p> <p>(b) The recipients of organs procured under the Pittsburgh protocol should be informed of the source of the organs as well as any potential defects in the quality of the organs, so that they may decide with their physicians whether to accept the organs or wait for more suitable ones.</p> <p>(c) Clear clinical criteria should be developed to ensure that only appropriate candidates, whose organs are reasonably likely to be suitable for transplantation, are considered eligible to donate organs under the Pittsburgh protocol. (CEJA Rep. 4 - I-94; Reaffirmed: CSA Rep. 4, I-02; Reaffirmed:CEJA Rep. 3, A-12)</p>	
H-370.982	Ethical Considerations in the Allocation of Organs and Other Scarce Medical Resources Among Patients	<p>Our AMA has adopted the following guidelines as policy: (1) Decisions regarding the allocation of scarce medical resources among patients should consider only ethically appropriate criteria relating to medical need. (a) These criteria include likelihood of benefit, urgency of need, change in quality of life, duration of benefit, and, in some cases, the amount of resources required for successful treatment. In general, only very substantial differences among patients are ethically relevant; the greater the disparities, the more justified the use of these criteria becomes. In making quality of life judgments, patients should first be prioritized so that death or extremely poor outcomes are avoided; then, patients should be prioritized according to change in quality of life, but only when there are very substantial differences among patients. (b) Research should be pursued to increase knowledge of outcomes and thereby improve the accuracy of these criteria. (c) Non-medical criteria, such as ability to pay, social worth, perceived obstacles to treatment, patient contribution to illness, or past use of resources should not be considered.</p> <p>(2) Allocation decisions should respect the individuality of patients and the particulars of individual cases as much as possible. (a) All</p>	<p>Retain; remains relevant. Policy is further complimented by ethics policy at Opinion 11.1.3 – “Allocating Limited Health Care Resources.”</p>

		<p>candidates for treatment must be fully considered according to ethically appropriate criteria relating to medical need, as defined in Guideline 1. (b) When very substantial differences do not exist among potential recipients of treatment on the basis of these criteria, a "first-come-first-served" approach or some other equal opportunity mechanism should be employed to make final allocation decisions. (c) Though there are several ethically acceptable strategies for implementing these criteria, no single strategy is ethically mandated. Acceptable approaches include a three-tiered system, a minimal threshold approach, and a weighted formula.</p> <p>(3) Decision making mechanisms should be objective, flexible, and consistent to ensure that all patients are treated equally. The nature of the physician-patient relationship entails that physicians of patients competing for a scarce resource must remain advocates for their patients, and therefore should not make the actual allocation decisions.</p> <p>(4) Patients must be informed by their physicians of allocation criteria and procedures, as well as their chances of receiving access to scarce resources. This information should be in addition to all the customary information regarding the risks, benefits, and alternatives to any medical procedure. Patients denied access to resources have the right to be informed of the reasoning behind the decision.</p> <p>(5) The allocation procedures of institutions controlling scarce resources should be disclosed to the public as well as subject to regular peer review from the medical profession.</p> <p>(6) Physicians should continue to look for innovative ways to increase the availability of and access to scarce medical resources so that, as much as possible, beneficial treatments can be provided to all who need them.</p> <p>(7) Physicians should accept their responsibility to promote awareness of the importance of an increase in the organ donor pool using all available means. (CEJA Rep. K, A-93; Reaffirmed: CSA Rep. 12, I-99; Reaffirmed: CSA Rep. 6, A-00; Appended: Res. 512, A-02; Reaffirmed: CEJA Rep. 3, A-12)</p>	
H-370.983	Tissue and Organ Donation	<p>Our AMA will assist the United Network for Organ Sharing in the implementation of their recommendations through broad-based physician and patient education. (Res. 533, A-92; Reaffirmed: CSA Rep. 12, I-99; Reaffirmed: CSA Rep. 6, A-00; Reaffirmed: CSA Rep. 4, I-02; Reaffirmed: CSAPH Rep. 1, A-12)</p>	Retain; remains relevant.

H-370.986	Donor Tissues and Organs for Transplantation	The AMA strongly urges physicians or their designees to routinely contact their hospital's designated tissue or organ procurement agency (as appropriate), at or near the time of each patient's death, to determine the feasibility of tissue and/or organ donation. (Res. 103, I-90; Reaffirmed: CSA Rep. 6, A-00; Reaffirmed: CSA Rep. 4, I-02; Reaffirmed: CSAPH Rep. 1, A-12)	Retain; remains relevant.
H-370.990	Transplantable Organs as a National Resource	Our AMA: (1) supports the United Network of Organ Sharing (UNOS) policy calling for regional allocation of livers to status 1 (most urgent medical need) patients as an effort to more equitably distribute a scarce resource; (2) opposes any legislation, regulations, protocols, or policies directing or allowing governmental agencies to favor residents of a particular geo-political jurisdiction as recipients of transplantable organs or tissues; (3) reaffirms its position that organs and tissues retrieved for transplantation should be treated as a national, rather than a regional, resource; and (4) supports the findings and recommendations of the Institute of Medicine Committee on Organ Procurement and Transplantation Policy. (Res. 94, I-87; Reaffirmed: Sunset Report, I-97; Appended and Reaffirmed CSA Rep. 12, I-99; Reaffirmed: CSA Rep. 4, I-02; Reaffirmed: CSAPH Rep. 1, A-12)	Retain; remains relevant.
H-370.995	Organ Donor Recruitment	Our AMA supports development of "state of the art" educational materials for the medical community and the public at large, demonstrating at least the following: (1) the need for organ donors; (2) the success rate for organ transplantation; (3) the medico-legal aspects of organ transplantation; (4) the integration of organ recruitment, preservation and transplantation; (5) cost/reimbursement mechanisms for organ transplantation; and (6) the ethical considerations of organ donor recruitment. (Res. 32, A-82; Reaffirmed: CLRPD Rep. A, I-92; Reaffirmed: CSA Rep. 6, A-00; Reaffirmed: CSA Rep. 4, I-02; Reaffirmed: CSAPH Rep. 1, A-12)	Retain; remains relevant.
H-370.998	Organ Donation and Honoring Organ Donor Wishes	Our AMA: (1) continues to urge the citizenry to sign donor cards and supports continued efforts to educate the public on the desirability of, and the need for, organ donations, as well as the importance of discussing personal wishes regarding organ donation with appropriate family members; and (2) when a good faith effort has been made to contact the family, actively	Retain; remains relevant.

		encourage Organ Procurement Organizations and physicians to adhere to provisions of the Uniform Anatomical Gift Act which allows for the procurement of organs when the family is absent and there is a signed organ donor card or advanced directive stating the decedent's desire to donate the organs. (CSA Rep. D, I-80; CLRPD Rep. B, I-90; Amended: Res. 504, I-99; Reaffirmed: CSA Rep. 6, A-00; Reaffirmed: CSA Rep. 4, I-02; Reaffirmed: CSAPH Rep. 1, A-12)	
--	--	---	--

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 001
(A-22)

Introduced by: Young Physicians Section

Subject: Increasing Public Umbilical Cord Blood Donations in Transplant Centers

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

1 Whereas, Allogeneic stem cell transplants continue to save lives, reaching over 20,000
2 procedures per year in the United States¹; and
3

4 Whereas, Allogeneic stem cell therapy can only save lives in patients matched with a
5 donor; and
6

7 Whereas, Umbilical cord blood stem cells offer clinical advantages over traditional stem cell
8 transplants in select scenarios²; and
9

10 Whereas, Umbilical cord blood transplants increase the ethnic diversity of patients eligible for
11 transplant³; and
12

13 Whereas, The American Society for Transplantation and Cellular Therapy⁴, the American
14 College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists⁵, and the American Academy of Pediatrics⁶ all
15 support public (altruistic) donation of cord blood when possible; and
16

17 Whereas, Public donation of cord blood is difficult if the birthing hospital does not support public
18 cord donation; and
19

20 Whereas, Very few hospitals support in-house public cord blood donation infrastructure - only
21 two hospitals in Ohio, and three each in New York and Massachusetts⁷; and
22

23 Whereas, Many hospitals which provide comprehensive care including both childbirths and stem
24 cell transplants are notably absent from these lists; therefore be it
25

26 RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association encourage all hospitals with obstetrics
27 programs to make available to patients and reduce barriers to public (altruistic) umbilical cord
28 blood donation (Directive to Take Action); and be it further
29

30 RESOLVED, That our AMA encourage the availability of altruistic cord blood donations in all
31 states. (Directive to Take Action)

Fiscal Note: Modest - between \$1,000 - \$5,000

Received: 03/17/22

References:

1. Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research, Health Resources & Services Administration, US Department of Health and Human Services. Transplant Activity Report Covering 2012-2016: Table 1. Total number of HCTs performed in the United States and reported to CIBMTR* 2012-2016. 26 Feb 2018. Accessed at https://bloodcell.transplant.hrsa.gov/research/transplant_data/transplant_activity_report/summary-total_tx_by_year.pdf
2. The Leukemia & Lymphoma Society. Cord Blood Stem Cell Transplantation. 2 Aug 2007. Available at https://www.lls.org/sites/default/files/file_assets/cordbloodstemcelltransplantation.pdf.
3. Be the Match. Cord blood donation frequently asked questions. Accessed 22 Apr 2019. Available at <https://bethematch.org/support-the-cause/donate-cord-blood/cord-blood-faqs/>.
4. American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. ASBMT POSITION STATEMENT Collection and Preservation of Cord Blood for Personal Use. Biology of Blood and Marrow Transplantation 14:364 (2008). Available at https://higherlogicdownload.s3.amazonaws.com/ASBMT/43a1f41f-55cb-4c97-9e78-c03e867db505/UploadedImages/ASBMT_Cord_Blood_Position_Statement.pdf.
5. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecology. ACOG Committee Opinion Number 771. 21 Feb 2019. Available at <https://www.acog.org/Clinical-Guidance-and-Publications/Committee-Opinions/Committee-on-Genetics/Umbilical-Cord-Blood-Banking>.
6. Section on Hematology/Oncology and Section on Allergy/Immunology, American Academy of Pediatrics. Cord Blood Banking for Potential Future Transplantation. Pediatrics Jan 2007; 119 (1) 161-164; DOI: 10.1542/peds.2006-2900. Available at <https://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/pediatrics/119/1/165.full.pdf>.
7. Be the Match. List of Participating Hospitals. Accessed 22 Apr 2019. Available at <https://bethematch.org/support-the-cause/donate-cord-blood/how-to-donate-cord-blood/participating-hospitals/>.

RELEVANT AMA POLICYCode of Medical Ethics. 6.1.5 Umbilical Cord Blood Banking

Transplants of umbilical cord blood have been recommended or performed to treat a variety of conditions. Cord blood is also a potential source of stem and progenitor cells with possible therapeutic applications. Nonetheless, collection and storage of cord blood raise ethical concerns with regard to patient safety, autonomy, and potential for conflict of interest. In addition, storage of umbilical cord blood in private as opposed to public banks can raise concerns about access to cord blood for transplantation.

Physicians who provide obstetrical care should be prepared to inform pregnant women of the various options regarding cord blood donation or storage and the potential uses of donated samples.

Physicians who participate in collecting umbilical cord blood for storage should:

- (a) Ensure that collection procedures do not interfere with standard delivery practices or the safety of a newborn or the mother.
- (b) Obtain informed consent for the collection of umbilical cord blood stem cells before the onset of labor whenever feasible. Physicians should disclose their ties to cord blood banks, public or private, as part of the informed consent process.
- (c) Decline financial or other inducements for providing samples to cord blood banks.
- (d) Encourage women who wish to donate umbilical cord blood to donate to a public bank if one is available when there is low risk of predisposition to a condition for which umbilical cord blood cells are therapeutically indicated:
 - (i) in view of the cost of private banking and limited likelihood of use;
 - (ii) to help increase availability of stem cells for transplantation.
- (e) Discuss the option of private banking of umbilical cord blood when there is a family predisposition to a condition for which umbilical cord stem cells are therapeutically indicated.
- (f) Continue to monitor ongoing research into the safety and effectiveness of various methods of cord blood collection and use.

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 002
(A-22)

Introduced by: New York

Subject: Opposition to Discriminatory Treatment of Haitian Asylum Seekers

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

1 Whereas, The United States has sought to provide asylum for individuals being persecuted in
2 other countries and has instituted laws and policies to achieve this goal equitably for all peoples
3 of the world; and
4

5 Whereas, Haitians seeking asylum have often experienced discrimination in seeking asylum
6 because of the inaccurate media narrative of an association of AIDS to Haitians; and
7

8 Whereas, The CDC in 1990 changed its policy on AIDS and Haitians thus removing the false
9 narrative on AIDS and Haitians; and
10

11 Whereas, Haitians seeking asylum in the United States continue to experience adverse
12 outcomes in their applications for asylum based on inaccurate narratives and media bias; and
13

14 Whereas, Recent activities at the US border with Mexico have focused heavily on denying entry
15 to Haitians seeking escape from the violence in their native country and returning them to Haiti;
16 and
17

18 Whereas, Our AMA has many policy statements on health disparities, racial discrimination and
19 equality but no policy specific to the matter adversely affecting Haitian asylum seekers;
20 therefore be it
21

22 RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association oppose discrimination against Haitian
23 asylum seekers which denies them the same opportunity to attain asylum status as individuals
24 from other nations. (New HOD Policy)

Fiscal Note: Minimal - less than \$1,000

Received: 03/22/22

RELEVANT AMA POLICY

Support of Human Rights and Freedom H-65.965

Our AMA: (1) continues to support the dignity of the individual, human rights and the sanctity of human life, (2) reaffirms its long-standing policy that there is no basis for the denial to any human being of equal rights, privileges, and responsibilities commensurate with his or her individual capabilities and ethical character because of an individual's sex, sexual orientation, gender, gender identity, or transgender status, race, religion, disability, ethnic origin, national origin, or age; (3) opposes any discrimination based on an individual's sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, race, religion, disability, ethnic origin, national origin or age and any other such reprehensible policies; (4) recognizes that hate crimes pose a significant threat to the public health and social welfare of the citizens of the United States, urges expedient passage of appropriate hate crimes prevention legislation in accordance with our AMA's policy through letters to members of Congress; and registers support for hate crimes prevention legislation, via letter, to the President of the United States.

Citation: CCB/CLRPD Rep. 3, A-14; Reaffirmed in lieu of: Res. 001, I-16; Reaffirmation: A-17

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 003
(A-22)

Introduced by: Women Physicians Section

Subject: Gender Equity and Female Physician Work Patterns During the Pandemic

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

1 Whereas, Studies show that women carry more responsibility than their male counterparts for
2 personal and family life^{1, 3}; and
3

4 Whereas, A study following a cohort of faculty from the 1995 National Faculty Survey through
5 17 years showed persistent gender disparities in rank, retention, and leadership positions;² and
6

7 Whereas, Prior to the pandemic, due to the culture of medicine, many female physicians made
8 adjustments in their professional roles, including “part-time status, despite the known limitations
9 on professional progression, career advancement, and economic potential. These adjustments
10 further propagate gender inequities and the persistent compensation gap female physicians
11 experience;”³ and
12

13 Whereas, The COVID-19 pandemic is requiring additional adjustments to the professional lives
14 of physicians and many of these adjustments will be made disproportionately by female
15 physicians due to childcare and pregnancy;⁴ and
16

17 Whereas, Since the pandemic, there has been a decrease in the percentage of physicians
18 working full-time, a rise in the percentage who were laid off, and an increase in changes in
19 physicians’ usual activities. The decline in the percentage of parents with preschool-aged
20 children among only female physicians may suggest a disproportionate uptake of childcare
21 responsibilities among female physicians;⁵ and
22

23 Whereas, In a recent survey, female scientists reported a decline in research time relative to
24 male colleagues during the COVID-19 pandemic, but the most significant factor was having a
25 young dependent less than 6 years of age;⁶ and
26

27 Whereas, One in five physicians experienced a financial cut or furlough because of the
28 pandemic, but there is limited data on how these cuts and furloughs have impacted female
29 physicians specifically⁷; therefore be it
30

31 RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association advocate for research on physician-
32 specific data analyzing changes in work patterns and employment outcomes among female
33 physicians during the pandemic including, but not limited to, understanding potential gaps in
34 equity, indications for terminations and/or furloughs, gender differences in those who had
35 unpaid additional work hours, and issues related to intersectionality (Directive to Take Action);
36 and be it further
37

38 RESOLVED, That our AMA collaborate with relevant organizations to evaluate obstacles
39 affecting female physicians and medical students during the pandemic. (Directive to Take
40 Action)

Fiscal Note: Minimal - less than \$1,000

Received: 03/31/22

References:

1. Jolly S, Griffith KA, DeCastro R, Stewart A, Ubel P, Jagsi R. Gender differences in time spent on parenting and domestic responsibilities by high-achieving young physician-researchers. *Ann Intern Med.* 2014;160(5):344-353.
2. Carr PL, Raj A, Kaplan SE, Terrin N, Breeze JL, Freund KM. Gender differences in academic medicine: Retention, rank, and leadership comparisons from the National Faculty Survey. *Acad Med.* 2018;93(11):1694-1699.
3. Jena AB, Olenski AR, Blumenthal DM. Sex differences in physician salary in US public medical schools. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2016;176(9):1294-1304. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2016.3284
4. Brubaker L. Women Physicians and the COVID-19 Pandemic. *JAMA.* 2020;324(9):835–836. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.14797
5. Hu X, Dill MJ. Changes in Physician Work Hours and Patterns During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *JAMA Netw Open.* 2021;4(6):e2114386.
6. Myers K.R., Tham W.Y., Yin Y. Unequal effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on scientists. *Nat Human Behav.* 2020;4:880–883.
7. Paavola, A., 1 in 5 physicians hit with high pay cuts or furlough due to COVID-19, survey says. Beck Hospital review. April 22, 2020. www.beckerhospitalreview.com

RELEVANT AMA POLICY

Principles for Advancing Gender Equity in Medicine H-65.961

Our AMA:

1. declares it is opposed to any exploitation and discrimination in the workplace based on personal characteristics (i.e., gender);
2. affirms the concept of equal rights for all physicians and that the concept of equality of rights under the law shall not be denied or abridged by the U.S. Government or by any state on account of gender;
3. endorses the principle of equal opportunity of employment and practice in the medical field;
4. affirms its commitment to the full involvement of women in leadership roles throughout the federation, and encourages all components of the federation to vigorously continue their efforts to recruit women members into organized medicine;
5. acknowledges that mentorship and sponsorship are integral components of one's career advancement, and encourages physicians to engage in such activities;
6. declares that compensation should be equitable and based on demonstrated competencies/expertise and not based on personal characteristics;
7. recognizes the importance of part-time work options, job sharing, flexible scheduling, re-entry, and contract negotiations as options for physicians to support work-life balance;
8. affirms that transparency in pay scale and promotion criteria is necessary to promote gender equity, and as such academic medical centers, medical schools, hospitals, group practices and other physician employers should conduct periodic reviews of compensation and promotion rates by gender and evaluate protocols for advancement to determine whether the criteria are discriminatory; and
9. affirms that medical schools, institutions and professional associations should provide training on leadership development, contract and salary negotiations and career advancement strategies that include an analysis of the influence of gender in these skill areas.

Our AMA encourages: (1) state and specialty societies, academic medical centers, medical schools, hospitals, group practices and other physician employers to adopt the AMA Principles for Advancing Gender Equity in Medicine; and (2) academic medical centers, medical schools, hospitals, group practices and other physician employers to: (a) adopt policies that prohibit harassment, discrimination and retaliation; (b) provide anti-harassment training; and (c) prescribe disciplinary and/or corrective action should violation of such policies occur.

Citation: BOT Rep. 27, A-19

AMA Principles for Physician Employment H-225.950**1. Addressing Conflicts of Interest**

a) A physician's paramount responsibility is to his or her patients. Additionally, given that an employed physician occupies a position of significant trust, he or she owes a duty of loyalty to his or her employer. This divided loyalty can create conflicts of interest, such as financial incentives to over- or under-treat patients, which employed physicians should strive to recognize and address.

b) Employed physicians should be free to exercise their personal and professional judgement in voting, speaking and advocating on any manner regarding patient care interests, the profession, health care in the community, and the independent exercise of medical judgment. Employed physicians should not be deemed in breach of their employment agreements, nor be retaliated against by their employers, for asserting these interests. Employed physicians also should enjoy academic freedom to pursue clinical research and other academic pursuits within the ethical principles of the medical profession and the guidelines of the organization.

c) In any situation where the economic or other interests of the employer are in conflict with patient welfare, patient welfare must take priority.

d) Physicians should always make treatment and referral decisions based on the best interests of their patients. Employers and the physicians they employ must assure that agreements or understandings (explicit or implicit) restricting, discouraging, or encouraging particular treatment or referral options are disclosed to patients.

(i) No physician should be required or coerced to perform or assist in any non-emergent procedure that would be contrary to his/her religious beliefs or moral convictions; and

(ii) No physician should be discriminated against in employment, promotion, or the extension of staff or other privileges because he/she either performed or assisted in a lawful, non-emergent procedure, or refused to do so on the grounds that it violates his/her religious beliefs or moral convictions.

e) Assuming a title or position that may remove a physician from direct patient-physician relationships--such as medical director, vice president for medical affairs, etc.--does not override professional ethical obligations. Physicians whose actions serve to override the individual patient care decisions of other physicians are themselves engaged in the practice of medicine and are subject to professional ethical obligations and may be legally responsible for such decisions. Physicians who hold administrative leadership positions should use whatever administrative and governance mechanisms exist within the organization to foster policies that enhance the quality of patient care and the patient care experience.

Refer to the AMA Code of Medical Ethics for further guidance on conflicts of interest.

2. Advocacy for Patients and the Profession

a) Patient advocacy is a fundamental element of the patient-physician relationship that should not be altered by the health care system or setting in which physicians practice, or the methods by which they are compensated.

b) Employed physicians should be free to engage in volunteer work outside of, and which does not interfere with, their duties as employees.

3. Contracting

a) Physicians should be free to enter into mutually satisfactory contractual arrangements, including employment, with hospitals, health care systems, medical groups, insurance plans, and other entities as permitted by law and in accordance with the ethical principles of the medical profession.

b) Physicians should never be coerced into employment with hospitals, health care systems, medical groups, insurance plans, or any other entities. Employment agreements between physicians and their employers should be negotiated in good faith. Both parties are urged to obtain the advice of legal counsel experienced in physician employment matters when negotiating employment contracts.

- c) When a physician's compensation is related to the revenue he or she generates, or to similar factors, the employer should make clear to the physician the factors upon which compensation is based.
- d) Termination of an employment or contractual relationship between a physician and an entity employing that physician does not necessarily end the patient-physician relationship between the employed physician and persons under his/her care. When a physician's employment status is unilaterally terminated by an employer, the physician and his or her employer should notify the physician's patients that the physician will no longer be working with the employer and should provide them with the physician's new contact information. Patients should be given the choice to continue to be seen by the physician in his or her new practice setting or to be treated by another physician still working with the employer. Records for the physician's patients should be retained for as long as they are necessary for the care of the patients or for addressing legal issues faced by the physician; records should not be destroyed without notice to the former employee. Where physician possession of all medical records of his or her patients is not already required by state law, the employment agreement should specify that the physician is entitled to copies of patient charts and records upon a specific request in writing from any patient, or when such records are necessary for the physician's defense in malpractice actions, administrative investigations, or other proceedings against the physician.
- (e) Physician employment agreements should contain provisions to protect a physician's right to due process before termination for cause. When such cause relates to quality, patient safety, or any other matter that could trigger the initiation of disciplinary action by the medical staff, the physician should be afforded full due process under the medical staff bylaws, and the agreement should not be terminated before the governing body has acted on the recommendation of the medical staff. Physician employment agreements should specify whether or not termination of employment is grounds for automatic termination of hospital medical staff membership or clinical privileges. When such cause is non-clinical or not otherwise a concern of the medical staff, the physician should be afforded whatever due process is outlined in the employer's human resources policies and procedures.
- (f) Physicians are encouraged to carefully consider the potential benefits and harms of entering into employment agreements containing without cause termination provisions. Employers should never terminate agreements without cause when the underlying reason for the termination relates to quality, patient safety, or any other matter that could trigger the initiation of disciplinary action by the medical staff.
- (g) Physicians are discouraged from entering into agreements that restrict the physician's right to practice medicine for a specified period of time or in a specified area upon termination of employment.
- (h) Physician employment agreements should contain dispute resolution provisions. If the parties desire an alternative to going to court, such as arbitration, the contract should specify the manner in which disputes will be resolved.

Refer to the AMA Annotated Model Physician-Hospital Employment Agreement and the AMA Annotated Model Physician-Group Practice Employment Agreement for further guidance on physician employment contracts.

4. Hospital Medical Staff Relations

- a) Employed physicians should be members of the organized medical staffs of the hospitals or health systems with which they have contractual or financial arrangements, should be subject to the bylaws of those medical staffs, and should conduct their professional activities according to the bylaws, standards, rules, and regulations and policies adopted by those medical staffs.
- b) Regardless of the employment status of its individual members, the organized medical staff remains responsible for the provision of quality care and must work collectively to improve patient care and outcomes.
- c) Employed physicians who are members of the organized medical staff should be free to exercise their personal and professional judgment in voting, speaking, and advocating on any

matter regarding medical staff matters and should not be deemed in breach of their employment agreements, nor be retaliated against by their employers, for asserting these interests.

d) Employers should seek the input of the medical staff prior to the initiation, renewal, or termination of exclusive employment contracts.

Refer to the AMA Conflict of Interest Guidelines for the Organized Medical Staff for further guidance on the relationship between employed physicians and the medical staff organization.

5. Peer Review and Performance Evaluations

a) All physicians should promote and be subject to an effective program of peer review to monitor and evaluate the quality, appropriateness, medical necessity, and efficiency of the patient care services provided within their practice settings.

b) Peer review should follow established procedures that are identical for all physicians practicing within a given health care organization, regardless of their employment status.

c) Peer review of employed physicians should be conducted independently of and without interference from any human resources activities of the employer. Physicians--not lay administrators--should be ultimately responsible for all peer review of medical services provided by employed physicians.

d) Employed physicians should be accorded due process protections, including a fair and objective hearing, in all peer review proceedings. The fundamental aspects of a fair hearing are a listing of specific charges, adequate notice of the right to a hearing, the opportunity to be present and to rebut evidence, and the opportunity to present a defense. Due process protections should extend to any disciplinary action sought by the employer that relates to the employed physician's independent exercise of medical judgment.

e) Employers should provide employed physicians with regular performance evaluations, which should be presented in writing and accompanied by an oral discussion with the employed physician. Physicians should be informed before the beginning of the evaluation period of the general criteria to be considered in their performance evaluations, for example: quality of medical services provided, nature and frequency of patient complaints, employee productivity, employee contribution to the administrative/operational activities of the employer, etc.

(f) Upon termination of employment with or without cause, an employed physician generally should not be required to resign his or her hospital medical staff membership or any of the clinical privileges held during the term of employment, unless an independent action of the medical staff calls for such action, and the physician has been afforded full due process under the medical staff bylaws. Automatic rescission of medical staff membership and/or clinical privileges following termination of an employment agreement is tolerable only if each of the following conditions is met:

i. The agreement is for the provision of services on an exclusive basis; and

ii. Prior to the termination of the exclusive contract, the medical staff holds a hearing, as defined by the medical staff and hospital, to permit interested parties to express their views on the matter, with the medical staff subsequently making a recommendation to the governing body as to whether the contract should be terminated, as outlined in AMA Policy H-225.985; and

iii. The agreement explicitly states that medical staff membership and/or clinical privileges must be resigned upon termination of the agreement.

Refer to the AMA Principles for Incident-Based Peer Review and Disciplining at Health Care Organizations (AMA Policy H-375.965) for further guidance on peer review.

6. Payment Agreements

a) Although they typically assign their billing privileges to their employers, employed physicians or their chosen representatives should be prospectively involved if the employer negotiates agreements for them for professional fees, capitation or global billing, or shared savings. Additionally, employed physicians should be informed about the actual payment amount allocated to the professional fee component of the total payment received by the contractual arrangement.

b) Employed physicians have a responsibility to assure that bills issued for services they provide are accurate and should therefore retain the right to review billing claims as may be necessary to verify that such bills are correct. Employers should indemnify and defend, and save harmless, employed physicians with respect to any violation of law or regulation or breach of contract in connection with the employer's billing for physician services, which violation is not the fault of the employee.

Our AMA will disseminate the AMA Principles for Physician Employment to graduating residents and fellows and will advocate for adoption of these Principles by organizations of physician employers such as, but not limited to, the American Hospital Association and Medical Group Management Association.

Citation: BOT Rep. 6, I-12; Reaffirmed: CMS Rep. 6, I-13; Modified in lieu of Res. 2, I-13; Modified: Res. 737, A-14; Reaffirmed: BOT Rep. 21, A-16; Reaffirmed: CMS Rep. 05, A-17; Reaffirmed: CMS Rep. 07, A-19; Reaffirmed: CMS Rep. 11, A-19; Modified: BOT Rep. 13, A-19

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 004
(A-22)

Introduced by: Medical Student Section

Subject: Recognizing LGBTQ+ Individuals as Underrepresented in Medicine

Referred to: Reference Committee Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

1 Whereas, The Association of American Medical Colleges (AAMC) has defined
2 underrepresented minorities (URMs) in medicine as "racial and ethnic populations that are
3 underrepresented in the medical profession relative to their numbers in the general population"
4 since 2003, with an overarching goal to advocate for population parity¹; and
5

6 Whereas, The AAMC 2016 Report on Diversity in Medical Education noted that considering
7 diversity as referring solely to race and ethnicity is too narrow and that broadening the definition
8 of diversity would help to encompass sexual orientation, religion, geography, disability, age,
9 language, and gender identity²; and
10

11 Whereas, The acronym LGBTQ+ is an umbrella term encompassing people who identify their
12 sexual orientation as lesbian, gay, bisexual and/or who identify their gender identify as
13 transgender; the last two components of the acronym can stand for queer or questioning and
14 are meant to encompass all identities that are not heterosexual or cisgender³; and
15

16 Whereas, Individuals can belong to the LGBTQ+ community by virtue of their sexual orientation,
17 gender identity, or both of these identity aspects³⁻⁵; and
18

19 Whereas, The National Institutes of Health (NIH) formally designated sexual and gender
20 minorities (SGMs) as a health disparity population for NIH research due to mounting evidence
21 that SGM populations have less access to healthcare and higher burdens of diseases such as
22 depression, cancer, and HIV/AIDS⁶; and
23

24 Whereas, In 2015, a study in *The American Journal of Public Health* showed the majority of
25 heterosexual healthcare providers reported moderate to strong implicit preference for
26 heterosexual patients over homosexual patients, while gay and lesbian providers showed more
27 implicit preference in favor of homosexual patients⁷; and
28

29 Whereas, In 2015, the American College of Physicians emphasized the need for "programs that
30 would help recruit LGBT[Q+] persons into the practice of medicine and programs that offer
31 support to LGBT medical students, residents, and practicing physicians"⁸; and
32

33 Whereas, Two-thirds of LGBT physicians have heard disparaging remarks about LGBTQ+
34 people at work, one-third have witnessed discriminatory care of a LGBT patient, and one-fifth
35 have experienced social ostracism because of their LGBTQ+ identity⁹; and

Whereas, Data on LGBTQ+ individuals in medicine are limited due to their self-reported nature and fear of disclosure, with the AAMC's 2018 *All Schools Summary Reports* including a caveat in the methodology that demographic data may not be generalizable¹⁰⁻¹²; and

Whereas, The AAMC's *Reports on Diversity and Inclusion* assert that "a nuanced diversity and inclusion data collection and analysis strategy will allow for a more accurate understanding of underrepresented groups in medicine"¹³; therefore be it

RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association advocate for the creation of targeted efforts to recruit sexual and gender minority students in efforts to increase medical student, resident, and provider diversity(Directive to Take Action); and be it further

RESOLVED, That our AMA encourage the inclusion of sexual orientation and gender identity data in all surveys as part of standard demographic variables, including but not limited to governmental, AMA, and the Association of American Medical Colleges surveys, given respondent confidentiality and response security can be ensured (New HOD Policy); and be it further

RESOLVED, That our AMA work with the Association of American Medical Colleges to disaggregate data of LGBTQ+ individuals in medicine to better understand the representation of the unique experiences within the LGBTQ+ communities and their overlap with other identities. (Directive to Take Action)

Fiscal note: Moderate - between \$5,000 - \$10,000

Date received: 04/08/22

References:

1. (2017) "Underrepresented in Medicine Definition." Association of American Medical Colleges.
2. Castillo-Page, L. Diversity in Medical Education: Facts & Figures 2016. Association of American Medical Colleges. 2016.
3. The Williams Institute on the Study of Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity. Comment On The Definition Of Sexual Orientation And Gender Identity Submitted To The Drafting Committee, Yogyakarta Principles On The Application Of International Human Rights Law To Sexual Orientation And Gender Identity; 2017. <https://williamsinstitute.law.ucla.edu/wp-content/uploads/Yogyakarta-Review-SOGI-Definition.pdf>. Accessed September 25, 2019.
4. American Psychological Association. Gender Identity. In: APA Dictionary Of Psychology. 2nd ed. Washington, D.C.: Author; 2015:450,970,974.
5. Young RK. Sex/gender. In: AMA Manual of Style: A Guide for Authors and Editors. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2007. <https://www.amamanualofstyle.com/view/10.1093/jama/9780195176339.001.0001/med-9780195176339-div2-350>. Accessed September 18, 2019.
6. (2017) "Director's Message." National Institutes of Health: National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities.
7. Sabin *et al.* Health Care Providers' Implicit and Explicit Attitudes Toward Lesbian Women and Gay Men. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2015 Sep;105(9):1831-1841.
8. Daniel, H. & Butkus, R. Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Health Disparities: Executive Summary of a Policy Position Paper from the American College of Physicians. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 2015 Jul;163(2):135-137
9. Sitkin, N. & Pachankia, J. Specialty Choice Among Sexual and Gender Minorities in Medicine: The Role of Specialty Prestige, Perceived Inclusion, and Medical School Climate. *LGBT Health*: 2016 Dec;3(6):451-460
10. Medical School Graduation Questionnaire. Association of American Medical Colleges; 2018:44. <https://www.aamc.org/download/490454/data/2018gqallschoolssummaryreport.pdf>. Accessed September 18, 2019.
11. Medical School Year Two Questionnaire. Association of American Medical Colleges; 2019:20. <https://www.aamc.org/download/496618/data/y2q2018report.pdf>. Accessed September 18, 2019
12. Matriculating Student Questionnaire. Association of American Medical Colleges; 2018:26. <https://www.aamc.org/download/494044/data/msq2018report.pdf>. Accessed September 18, 2019
13. Castillo-Page L. Diversity In Medical Education: Facts & Figures 2016. Association of American Medical Colleges; 2016. <http://www.aamcdiversityfactsandfigures2016.org/>. Accessed September 18, 2019.

RELEVANT AMA POLICY:**Increasing Demographically Diverse Representation in Liaison Committee on Medical Education Accredited Medical Schools D-295.322**

Our AMA will continue to study medical school implementation of the Liaison Committee on Medical Education (LCME) Standard IS-16 and share the results with appropriate accreditation organizations and all state medical associations for action on demographic diversity.

Citation: Res. 313, A-09; Modified: CME Rep. 6, A-11; Reaffirmed: CME Rep. 1, A-21

Strategies for Enhancing Diversity in the Physician Workforce H-200.951

Our AMA: (1) supports increased diversity across all specialties in the physician workforce in the categories of race, ethnicity, disability status, sexual orientation, gender identity, socioeconomic origin, and rurality; (2) commends the Institute of Medicine (now known as the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine) for its report, "In the Nation's Compelling Interest: Ensuring Diversity in the Health Care Workforce," and supports the concept that a racially and ethnically diverse educational experience results in better educational outcomes; (3) encourages the development of evidence-informed programs to build role models among academic leadership and faculty for the mentorship of students, residents, and fellows underrepresented in medicine and in specific specialties; (4) encourages physicians to engage in their communities to guide, support, and mentor high school and undergraduate students with a calling to medicine; (5) encourages medical schools, health care institutions, managed care and other appropriate groups to adopt and utilize activities that bolster efforts to include and support individuals who are underrepresented in medicine by developing policies that articulate the value and importance of diversity as a goal that benefits all participants, cultivating and funding programs that nurture a culture of diversity on campus, and recruiting faculty and staff who share this goal; and (6) continue to study and provide recommendations to improve the future of health equity and racial justice in medical education, the diversity of the health workforce, and the outcomes of marginalized patient populations.

Citation: CME Rep. 1, I-06; Reaffirmed: CME Rep. 7, A-08; Reaffirmed: CCB/CLRPD Rep. 4, A-13; Modified: CME Rep. 01, A-16; Reaffirmation A-16; Modified: Res. 009, A-21; Modified: CME Rep. 5, A-21

Medical Staff Development Plans H-225.961

All hospitals/health systems incorporate the following principles for the development of medical staff development plans: (a) The medical staff and hospital/health system leaders have a mutual responsibility to: cooperate and work together to meet the overall health and medical needs of the community and preserve quality patient care; acknowledge the constraints imposed on the two by limited financial resources; recognize the need to preserve the hospital/health system's economic viability; and respect the autonomy, practice prerogatives, and professional responsibilities of physicians. (b) The medical staff and its elected leaders must be involved in the hospital/health system's leadership function, including: the process to develop a mission that is reflected in the long-range, strategic, and operational plans; service design; resource allocation; and organizational policies. (c) Medical staffs must ensure that quality patient care is not harmed by economic motivations. (d) The medical staff should review and approve and make recommendations to the governing body prior to any decision being made to close the medical staff and/or a clinical department. (e) The best interests of patients should be the predominant consideration in granting staff membership and clinical privileges. (f) The medical staff must be responsible for professional/quality criteria related to appointment/reappointment

to the medical staff and granting/renewing clinical privileges. The professional/quality criteria should be based on objective standards and the standards should be disclosed. (g) The medical staff should be consulted in establishing and implementing institutional/community criteria. Institutional/community criteria should not be used .inappropriately to prevent a particular practitioner or group of practitioners from gaining access to staff membership. (h) Staff privileges for physicians should be based on training, experience, demonstrated competence, and adherence to medical staff bylaws. No aspect of medical staff membership or particular clinical privileges shall be denied on the basis of sex, race, age, creed, color, national origin, religion, disability, ethnic origin sexual orientation, gender identity or physical or mental impairment that does not pose a threat to the quality of patient care. (i) Physician profiling must be adjusted to recognize case mix, severity of illness, age of patients and other aspects of the physician's practice that may account for higher or lower than expected costs. Profiles of physicians must be made available to the physicians at regular intervals.
Citation: BOT Rep. 14, A-98; Modified: BOT Rep. 11, A-07; Reaffirmation A-10; Modified: CMS Rep. 01, A-20

Eliminating Health Disparities - Promoting Awareness and Education of Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity Health Issues in Medical Education H-295.878

Our AMA: (1) supports the right of medical students and residents to form groups and meet on-site to further their medical education or enhance patient care without regard to their gender, gender identity, sexual orientation, race, religion, disability, ethnic origin, national origin or age; (2) supports students and residents who wish to conduct on-site educational seminars and workshops on health issues related to sexual orientation and gender identity; and (3) encourages medical education accreditation bodies to both continue to encourage and periodically reassess education on health issues related to sexual orientation and gender identity in the basic science, clinical care, and cultural competency curricula in undergraduate and graduate medical education.

Citation: Res. 323, A-05; Modified in lieu of Res. 906, I-10; Reaffirmation A-11; Reaffirmation A-12; Reaffirmation A-16; Modified: Res. 16, A-18; Modified: Res. 302, I-19

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 005
(A-22)

Introduced by: Medical Student Section

Subject: Supporting the Study of Reparations as a Means to Reduce Racial Inequalities

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

1 Whereas, Many healthcare disparities that exist today can be attributed to exploitative structural
2 policies targeting minorities, especially the Black community, including disproportionate rates of
3 incarceration,⁵⁻⁷ residential segregation,⁸ and unfair labor and employment policies;¹⁻⁴ and
4

5 Whereas, Toxic stresses of racism, incarceration, community violence, and low socioeconomic
6 status are shown to increase the likelihood of social/emotional/cognitive impairment, high-risk
7 behavior¹⁰, disease, and early death in minority children^{9, 11,12}; and
8

9 Whereas, The racial wealth gap in the United States has increased dramatically, as households
10 with Black children hold just one cent for every dollar held by households with non-Hispanic
11 White children as of 2016^{13,14}; and
12

13 Whereas, Income has been shown to be positively correlated with life expectancy, increased
14 access to care, and improved health outcomes^{15,16}; and
15

16 Whereas, Effects of Jim Crow era policies throughout time have severely hindered access to
17 education and job opportunities, which are correlated with positive health outcomes, for the
18 African American community^{17,18,19,20}; and
19

20 Whereas, The United States has never created a commission to formally study the health,
21 economic or social impacts of slavery and the Jim Crow era on African Americans and the
22 resolution of those injustices through the context of reparations^{14,21}; and
23

24 Whereas, Reparations, encompassing a broad variety of public aid including but not limited to
25 direct compensation, special education and job training, and community support for
26 descendants of slaves, have been discussed as a means to support the marginalized Black
27 community and end multi-generational poverty and its associated racial inequities²¹; and
28

29 Whereas, In 2015, Chicago became the first city in the United States to propose reparations for
30 victims of police torture and brutality, in a measure including \$5.5 million in direct compensation,
31 free college education to survivors, a formal apology from the city, and education on police
32 torture in public schools²²; and
33

34 Whereas, Reparations are designed to promote intergenerational wealth amongst affected
35 communities, which in turn will increase the health outcomes of these communities; and

Whereas, Legislators have unsuccessfully introduced House Resolution 40: "Commission to Study Reparation Proposals for African Americans Act," which asked for a study of reparations, into Congress every year since 1989^{14,21,23}; and

Whereas, Individual cities and states including in California, Illinois, and North Carolina among others, are now beginning to adopt policies acknowledging a need for reparations to address racial disparities resulting in adverse health outcomes²³⁻²⁵; and

Whereas, Countries such as South Africa, which developed a Truth and Reconciliation Commission to address its history of apartheid, and France, which approved over \$60 million in 2014 to be allocated to Holocaust survivors and their descendants, have implemented reparations successfully in the past^{26,27}; and

Whereas, The United Nations and many of its member nations have created commissions repeatedly calling for reparations in the United States and for lawmakers to pass HR 40 or similar legislation²⁸⁻³⁰; and

Whereas, Reparations may serve as an avenue to alleviate some of the health, educational, and economic disparities faced by the US Black population^{14,30,31}; and

Whereas, The Black community is severely underrepresented in medicine, due to many societal barriers for success and the closure of all but two predominantly Black medical schools after the 1910 publication of the Flexner Report³¹; and

Whereas, The AMA historically refused to establish a policy of nondiscrimination or take action against AMA-affiliated state and local medical associations that openly practiced racial exclusion in their memberships^{32,33}; and

Whereas, AMA President-Emeritus Dr. Ronald Davis issued an apology on behalf of the AMA for its past wrongs and pushed the AMA towards continually addressing health disparities alongside all public health and health care stakeholders³³; therefore be it

RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association study potential mechanisms of national economic reparations that could improve inequities associated with institutionalized, systematic racism and report back to the House of Delegates (Directive to Take Action); and be it further

RESOLVED, That our AMA study the potential adoption of a policy of reparations by the AMA to support the African American community currently interfacing with, practicing within, and entering the medical field and report back to the House of Delegates (Directive to Take Action); and be it further

RESOLVED, That our AMA support federal legislation that facilitates the study of reparations. (New HOD Policy)

Fiscal Note: Estimated cost to implement resolution is \$110,000.

Date Received: 04/08/22

References:

1. Williams DR, Lawrence JA, Davis BA. Racism and Health: Evidence and Needed Research. *Annu Rev Public Health*. 2019;40:105-125. doi:10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040218-043750
2. Bailey ZD, Krieger N, Agénor M, Graves J, Linos N, Bassett MT. Structural racism and health inequities in the USA: evidence and interventions. *Lancet Lond Engl*. 2017;389(10077):1453-1463. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30569-X
3. Phojanakong P, Brown Weida E, Grimaldi G, Lê-Scherban F, Chilton M. Experiences of Racial and Ethnic Discrimination Are Associated with Food Insecurity and Poor Health. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2019;16(22). doi:10.3390/ijerph16224369
4. Kerr J, Jackson T. Stigma, sexual risks, and the war on drugs: Examining drug policy and HIV/AIDS inequities among African Americans using the Drug War HIV/AIDS Inequities Model. *Int J Drug Policy*. 2016;37:31-41. doi:10.1016/j.drugpo.2016.07.007
5. Dumont DM, Brockmann B, Dickman S, Alexander N, Rich JD. Public Health and the Epidemic of Incarceration. *Annu Rev Public Health*. 2012;33(1):325-339. doi:10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031811-124614
6. Wildeman C, Wang EA. Mass incarceration, public health, and widening inequality in the USA. *Lancet Lond Engl*. 2017;389(10077):1464-1474. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30259-3
7. Barnert ES, Perry R, Morris RE. Juvenile Incarceration and Health. *Acad Pediatr*. 2016;16(2):99-109. doi:10.1016/j.acap.2015.09.004
8. Mehra R, Boyd LM, Ickovics JR. Racial residential segregation and adverse birth outcomes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Soc Sci Med* 1982. 2017;191:237-250. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.09.018
9. Beck, Andrew F., et al. "The color of health: how racism, segregation, and inequality affect the health and well-being of preterm infants and their families." *Pediatric research* (2019): 1-8. doi: 10.1038/s41390-019-0513-6
10. Duru, O. Kenrik, et al. "Allostatic load burden and racial disparities in mortality." *Journal of the National Medical Association* 104.1-2 (2012): 89-95. doi: 10.1016/S0027-9684(15)30120-6
11. Mersky, J. P., J. Topitzes, and Arthur J. Reynolds. "Impacts of adverse childhood experiences on health, mental health, and substance use in early adulthood: A cohort study of an urban, minority sample in the US." *Child abuse & neglect* 37.11 (2013): 917-925. doi: 10.1016/j.chiabu.2013.07.011
12. Felitti, Vincent J., et al. "Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults: The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study." *American journal of preventive medicine* 14.4 (1998): 245-258. doi: 10.1016/S0749-3797(98)00017-8
13. Percheski C, Gibson-Davis C. A Penny on the Dollar: Racial Inequalities in Wealth among Households with Children: *Socius*. Published online June 1, 2020. doi:10.1177/2378023120916616
14. Hannah-Jones N. From the Magazine: 'It Is Time for Reparations.' *The New York Times*. <https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2020/06/24/magazine/reparations-slavery.html>. Published June 24, 2020. Accessed July 23, 2020.
15. Chetty R, Stepner M, Abraham S, et al. The Association Between Income and Life Expectancy in the United States, 2001-2014. *JAMA*. 2016;315(16):1750-1766. doi:10.1001/jama.2016.4226
16. Dubay LC, Lebrun LA. Health, Behavior, and Health Care Disparities: Disentangling the Effects of Income and Race in the United States: *Int J Health Serv*. Published online October 1, 2012. doi:10.2190/HS.42.4.c
17. Bleich SN, Findling MG, Casey LS, et al. Discrimination in the United States: Experiences of black Americans. *Health Serv Res*. 2019;54 Suppl 2:1399-1408. doi:10.1111/1475-6773.13220
18. Pager D, Shepherd H. The Sociology of Discrimination: Racial Discrimination in Employment, Housing, Credit, and Consumer Markets. *Annu Rev Sociol*. 2008;34:181-209. doi:10.1146/annurev.soc.33.040406.131740
19. Burke MP, Jones SJ, Frongillo EA, Fram MS, Blake CE, Freedman DA. Severity of household food insecurity and lifetime racial discrimination among African-American households in South Carolina. *Ethn Health*. 2018;23(3):276-292. doi:10.1080/13557858.2016.1263286
20. Alcalá HE, Cook DM. Racial Discrimination in Health Care and Utilization of Health Care: a Cross-sectional Study of California Adults. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2018;33(10):1760-1767. doi:10.1007/s11606-018-4614-4
21. Coates T-N. The Case for Reparations. *The Atlantic*. Accessed June 20, 2020. <https://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/2014/06/the-case-for-reparations/361631/>
22. History – Chicago Torture Justice Center. Accessed August 22, 2020. <https://chicagotorturejustice.org/about-us/history/>
23. Asheville, North Carolina, Approves Reparations for Black Residents - The New York Times. Accessed August 22, 2020. <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/07/16/us/reparations-asheville-nc.html>
24. Providence mayor signs order to pursue truth, reparations for Black, Indigenous people - News - providencejournal.com - Providence, RI. Accessed August 22, 2020. <https://www.providencejournal.com/news/20200716/providence-mayor-signs-order-to-pursue-truth-reparations-for-black-indigenous-people>
25. AB-3121 Task Force to Study and Develop Reparation Proposals for African Americans. California Legislative Information. Published August 20, 2020. Accessed August 22, 2020. https://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billHistoryClient.xhtml?bill_id=201920200AB3121
26. Holocaust Survivors And Victims' Families Receive Millions In Reparations From France. NPR.org. Accessed August 24, 2020. <https://www.npr.org/2019/02/07/692376994/holocaust-survivors-and-victims-families-receive-millions-in-reparations-from-fr>
27. Ibhawoh B. What Canada and South Africa can teach the U.S. about slavery reparations. *The Conversation*. Accessed August 24, 2020. <http://theconversation.com/what-canada-and-south-africa-can-teach-the-u-s-about-slavery-reparations-120318>
28. United Nations General Assembly. Report of the Working Group of Experts on People of African Descent on its mission to the United States of America, A/HRC/33/61/Add.2. Published online August 18, 2016.
29. Statement to the media by the United Nations' Working Group of Experts on People of African Descent, on the conclusion of its official visit to USA, 19-29 January 2016. United Nations Human Rights Office of the High Commissioner. Published January 29, 2016. Accessed June 20, 2020. <https://www.ohchr.org/EN/NewsEvents/Pages/DisplayNews.aspx?NewsID=17000&LangID=E>
30. Guzman J. UN human rights chief urges reparations for "centuries of violence and discrimination" beginning with slavery and colonization. *The Hill*. Published June 18, 2020. Accessed August 27, 2020.

31. Hood RG. The "slave health deficit:" the case for reparations to bring health parity to African Americans. *J Natl Med Assoc.* 2001;93(1):1-5.
32. Baker RB. The American Medical Association and Race. *AMA J Ethics.* 2014;16(6):479-488. doi:10.1001/virtualmentor.2014.16.6.mhst1-1406.
33. Davis RM. Achieving Racial Harmony for the Benefit of Patients and Communities: Contrition, Reconciliation, and Collaboration. *JAMA.* 2008;300(3):323-325. doi:10.1001/jama.300.3.323

RELEVANT AMA POLICY

Support of Human Rights and Freedom H-65.965

Our AMA: (1) continues to support the dignity of the individual, human rights and the sanctity of human life, (2) reaffirms its long-standing policy that there is no basis for the denial to any human being of equal rights, privileges, and responsibilities commensurate with his or her individual capabilities and ethical character because of an individual's sex, sexual orientation, gender, gender identity, or transgender status, race, religion, disability, ethnic origin, national origin, or age; (3) opposes any discrimination based on an individual's sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, race, religion, disability, ethnic origin, national origin or age and any other such reprehensible policies; (4) recognizes that hate crimes pose a significant threat to the public health and social welfare of the citizens of the United States, urges expedient passage of appropriate hate crimes prevention legislation in accordance with our AMA's policy through letters to members of Congress; and registers support for hate crimes prevention legislation, via letter, to the President of the United States.

CCB/CLRPD Rep. 3, A-14; Reaffirmed in lieu of: Res. 001, I-16; Reaffirmation: A-17

AMA Initiatives Regarding Minorities H-350.971

The House of Delegates commends the leaders of our AMA and the National Medical Association for having established a successful, mutually rewarding liaison and urges that this relationship be expanded in all areas of mutual interest and concern. Our AMA will develop publications, assessment tools, and a survey instrument to assist physicians and the federation with minority issues. The AMA will continue to strengthen relationships with minority physician organizations, will communicate its policies on the health care needs of minorities, and will monitor and report on progress being made to address racial and ethnic disparities in care. It is the policy of our AMA to establish a mechanism to facilitate the development and implementation of a comprehensive, long-range, coordinated strategy to address issues and concerns affecting minorities, including minority health, minority medical education, and minority membership in the AMA. Such an effort should include the following components: (1) Development, coordination, and strengthening of AMA resources devoted to minority health issues and recruitment of minorities into medicine; (2) Increased awareness and representation of minority physician perspectives in the Association's policy development, advocacy, and scientific activities; (3) Collection, dissemination, and analysis of data on minority physicians and medical students, including AMA membership status, and on the health status of minorities; (4) Response to inquiries and concerns of minority physicians and medical students; and (5) Outreach to minority physicians and minority medical students on issues involving minority health status, medical education, and participation in organized medicine.

CLRPD Rep. 3, I-98; CLRPD Rep. 1, A-08; Reaffirmed: CEJA Rep. 01, A-20

Improving the Health of Black and Minority Populations H-350.972

Our AMA supports: (1) A greater emphasis on minority access to health care and increased health promotion and disease prevention activities designed to reduce the occurrence of illnesses that are highly prevalent among disadvantaged minorities. (2) Authorization for the Office of Minority Health to coordinate federal efforts to better understand and reduce the incidence of illness among U.S. minority Americans as recommended in the 1985 Report to the Secretary's Task Force on Black and Minority Health. (3) Advising our AMA representatives to the LCME to request data collection on medical school curricula concerning the health needs of minorities. (4) The promotion of health education through schools and community organizations aimed at teaching skills of health care system access, health promotion, disease prevention, and early diagnosis.

CLRPD Rep. 3, I-98; Reaffirmation: A-01; Modified: CSAPH Rep. 1, A-11; Reaffirmed: CEJA Rep. 1, A-21

Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health Care H-350.974

1. Our AMA recognizes racial and ethnic health disparities as a major public health problem in the United States and as a barrier to effective medical diagnosis and treatment. The AMA maintains a position of zero tolerance toward racially or culturally based disparities in care; encourages individuals to report physicians to local medical societies where racial or ethnic discrimination is suspected; and will continue to support physician cultural awareness initiatives and related consumer education activities. The elimination of racial and ethnic disparities in health care an issue of highest priority for the American Medical Association.

2. The AMA emphasizes three approaches that it believes should be given high priority:

A. Greater access - the need for ensuring that black Americans without adequate health care insurance are given the means for access to necessary health care. In particular, it is urgent that Congress address the need for Medicaid reform.

B. Greater awareness - racial disparities may be occurring despite the lack of any intent or purposeful efforts to treat patients differently on the basis of race. The AMA encourages physicians to examine their own practices to ensure that inappropriate considerations do not affect their clinical judgment. In addition, the profession should help increase the awareness of its members of racial disparities in medical treatment decisions by engaging in open and broad discussions about the issue. Such discussions should take place in medical school curriculum, in medical journals, at professional conferences, and as part of professional peer review activities.

C. Practice parameters - the racial disparities in access to treatment indicate that inappropriate considerations may enter the decision making process. The efforts of the specialty societies, with the coordination and assistance of our AMA, to develop practice parameters, should include criteria that would preclude or diminish racial disparities

3. Our AMA encourages the development of evidence-based performance measures that adequately identify socioeconomic and racial/ethnic disparities in quality. Furthermore, our AMA supports the use of evidence-based guidelines to promote the consistency and equity of care for all persons.

4. Our AMA: (a) actively supports the development and implementation of training regarding implicit bias, diversity and inclusion in all medical schools and residency programs; (b) will identify and publicize effective strategies for educating residents in all specialties about disparities in their fields related to race, ethnicity, and all populations at increased risk, with particular regard to access to care and health outcomes, as well as effective strategies for educating residents about managing the implicit biases of patients and their caregivers; and (c) supports research to identify the most effective strategies for educating physicians on how to eliminate disparities in health outcomes in all at-risk populations.

Citation: CLRPD Rep. 3, I-98; Appended and Reaffirmed: CSA Rep. 1, I-02; Reaffirmed: BOT Rep. 4, A-03; Reaffirmed in lieu of Res. 106, A-12; Appended: Res. 952, I-17; Reaffirmed: CMS Rep. 10, A-19; Reaffirmed: CMS Rep. 3, A-21; Reaffirmed: Joint CMS/CSAPH Rep. 1, I-21

Reducing Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health Care D-350.995

Our AMA's initiative on reducing racial and ethnic disparities in health care will include the following recommendations:

(1) Studying health system opportunities and barriers to eliminating racial and ethnic disparities in health care.

(2) Working with public health and other appropriate agencies to increase medical student, resident physician, and practicing physician awareness of racial and ethnic disparities in health care and the role of professionalism and professional obligations in efforts to reduce health care disparities.

(3) Promoting diversity within the profession by encouraging publication of successful outreach programs that increase minority applicants to medical schools, and take appropriate action to support such programs, for example, by expanding the "Doctors Back to School" program into secondary schools in minority communities.

BOT Rep. 4, A-03; Reaffirmation: A-11; Reaffirmation: A-16; Reaffirmed: CMS Rep. 10, A-19

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 006
(A-22)

Introduced by: Medical Student Section

Subject: Combating Natural Hair and Cultural Headwear Discrimination in Medicine and Medical Professionalism

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

1 Whereas, Natural hair can be defined as a hair texture that is tightly coiled or tightly curled as
2 well as hairstyles that include locs, cornrows, twists, braids, Bantu knots, fades, Afros, and/or
3 the right to keep hair in an uncut or untrimmed manner ¹; and
4

5 Whereas, Cultural headwear refers to head or hair coverings (i.e. hijabs, turbans) worn for
6 cultural purposes and serves as a way to express values of a demographic group or particular
7 society for religious, spiritual, or gender identification ²; and
8

9 Whereas, Discrimination and/or restrictions targeting hairstyles and/or headwear are proxies for
10 racial, ethnic, and/or religious discrimination since hair textures and styles, along with cultural
11 headwear, are phenotypic features used in categorizing race, ethnicity, and/or religious
12 association ³⁻⁶; and
13

14 Whereas, Title VII of the 1964 Civil Rights Act states it is unlawful for employers to discriminate
15 against any individual based on an "... individual's race, color, religion, sex, or national origin,"
16 and section 703(a) of Title VII mentions prohibiting not only intentional discrimination, but also
17 unintentional discrimination on the enumerated proscribed ground ⁷; and
18

19 Whereas, Appearance guidelines, in the form of "race-neutral" grooming policies, used as part
20 of medical professionalism standards tend to be euro-centric and penalize those with non-euro-
21 centric phenotypical features and/or culture ^{8,9}; and
22

23 Whereas, In 2019, the State of California and New York City passed laws to address hair
24 discrimination within the workplace through the CROWN Act (SB 188) and the NYC
25 Commission on Human Rights Legal Enforcement Guidance on Race Discrimination on the
26 Basis of Hair ^{1,3}; and
27

28 Whereas, United States Armed Forces have repealed several bans on natural hair and cultural
29 headwear in the workplace (Army Regulation 670-1, Section 3-2) ¹⁰; and
30

31 Whereas, Qualitative analysis of minority resident physicians has revealed the additional
32 challenges to embracing their racial identities in a professional setting results in less job
33 satisfaction and more susceptibility to burnout ¹¹; and
34

35 Whereas, Studies show "a positive association between physician-patient racial/ethnic
36 concordance and patients' receiving preventive care, being satisfied with their care overall..." ¹²;
37 and

Whereas, The AMA has policies (H-295.955, H-310.919, H-310.923, D-350.984) focused on combating racial, ethnic, and religious discrimination in medicine, but fails to include discrimination against natural hair and cultural headwear as a form of racial, ethnic, and religious discrimination; therefore be it

RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association recognize that discrimination against natural hair/hairstyles and cultural headwear is a form of racial, ethnic and/or religious discrimination (New HOD Policy); and be it further

RESOLVED, That our AMA oppose discrimination against individuals based on their hair or cultural headwear in health care settings (New HOD Policy); and be it further

RESOLVED, That our AMA acknowledge the acceptance of natural hair/hairstyles and cultural headwear as crucial to professionalism in the standards for the health care workplace (New HOD Policy); and be it further

RESOLVED, That our AMA encourage medical schools, residency and fellowship programs, and medical employers to create policies to oppose discrimination based on hairstyle and cultural headwear in the interview process, medical education, and the workplace. (New HOD Policy)

Fiscal Note: Minimal - less than \$1,000

Date Received: 04/08/22

References:

1. Commission on Human Rights. *NYC Commission on Human Rights Legal Enforcement Guidance on Race Discrimination on the Basis of Hair*. New York City, NY; 2019.
2. Chico, B. Gender Headwear Traditions in Judaism and Islam. *Dress*. 2000; 27(1): 18-36.
3. State of California. Crown Act (Senate Bill No. 188). 2019; 58.
4. D. W. Greene. A Multidimensional Analysis of What Not to Wear in the Workplace: Hijabs and Natural Hair. *FIU L. Rev.* 2013; 333(8).
5. Barnhoorn PC, Youngson C. Refining a Definition of Medical Professionalism. *Academic Medicine*. 2014;89(12):1579.
6. Maddox, K. B. Perspectives on Racial Phenotypicity Bias. *Personality and Social Psych. Rev.* 2004;8(4): 383–401.
7. Congress of the United States of America. Civil Rights Act of 1964 (1964).
8. Yoshino K. *Covering: the Hidden Assault on Our Civil Rights*. New York, NY: Random House Trade Paperbacks; 2007.
9. Wynia MK, Papadakis MA, Sullivan WM, Hafferty FW. More Than a List of Values and Desired Behaviors. *Academic Medicine*. 2014;89(5):712-714.
10. Rhodan M. Military Rolls Back Restriction on Black Hairstyles. *Time*. <https://time.com/3107647/military-black-hairstyles/>. Published August 13, 2014. Accessed September 15, 2019.
11. Osseo-Asare A, Balasuriya L, Huot SJ, et al. Minority Resident Physicians' Views on the Role of Race/Ethnicity in Their Training Experiences in the Workplace. *JAMA Network Open*. 2018;1(5).
12. Reede JY. A Recurring Theme: The Need For Minority Physicians. *Health Affairs*. 2003;22(4):91-93.

RELEVANT AMA POLICY

Principles for Advancing Gender Equity in Medicine H-65.961

Our AMA:

1. declares it is opposed to any exploitation and discrimination in the workplace based on personal characteristics (i.e., gender);
2. affirms the concept of equal rights for all physicians and that the concept of equality of rights under the law shall not be denied or abridged by the U.S. Government or by any state on account of gender;
3. endorses the principle of equal opportunity of employment and practice in the medical field;
4. affirms its commitment to the full involvement of women in leadership roles throughout the federation, and encourages all components of the federation to vigorously continue their efforts to recruit women members into organized medicine;

5. acknowledges that mentorship and sponsorship are integral components of one's career advancement, and encourages physicians to engage in such activities;

6. declares that compensation should be equitable and based on demonstrated competencies/expertise and not based on personal characteristics;

7. recognizes the importance of part-time work options, job sharing, flexible scheduling, re-entry, and contract negotiations as options for physicians to support work-life balance;

8. affirms that transparency in pay scale and promotion criteria is necessary to promote gender equity, and as such academic medical centers, medical schools, hospitals, group practices and other physician employers should conduct periodic reviews of compensation and promotion rates by gender and evaluate protocols for advancement to determine whether the criteria are discriminatory; and

9. affirms that medical schools, institutions and professional associations should provide training on leadership development, contract and salary negotiations and career advancement strategies that include an analysis of the influence of gender in these skill areas.

Our AMA encourages: (1) state and specialty societies, academic medical centers, medical schools, hospitals, group practices and other physician employers to adopt the AMA Principles for Advancing Gender Equity in Medicine; and (2) academic medical centers, medical schools, hospitals, group practices and other physician employers to: (a) adopt policies that prohibit harassment, discrimination and retaliation; (b) provide anti-harassment training; and (c) prescribe disciplinary and/or corrective action should violation of such policies occur.

BOT Rep. 27, A-19

Support of Human Rights and Freedom H-65.965

Our AMA: (1) continues to support the dignity of the individual, human rights and the sanctity of human life, (2) reaffirms its long-standing policy that there is no basis for the denial to any human being of equal rights, privileges, and responsibilities commensurate with his or her individual capabilities and ethical character because of an individual's sex, sexual orientation, gender, gender identity, or transgender status, race, religion, disability, ethnic origin, national origin, or age; (3) opposes any discrimination based on an individual's sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, race, religion, disability, ethnic origin, national origin or age and any other such reprehensible policies; (4) recognizes that hate crimes pose a significant threat to the public health and social welfare of the citizens of the United States, urges expedient passage of appropriate hate crimes prevention legislation in accordance with our AMA's policy through letters to members of Congress; and registers support for hate crimes prevention legislation, via letter, to the President of the United States.

CCB/CLRPD Rep. 3, A-14, Reaffirmed in lieu of: Res. 001, I-16, Reaffirmation: A-17

Teacher-Learner Relationship In Medical Education H-295.955

The AMA recommends that each medical education institution have a widely disseminated policy that: (1) sets forth the expected standards of behavior of the teacher and the learner; (2) delineates procedures for dealing with breaches of that standard, including: (a) avenues for complaints, (b) procedures for investigation, (c) protection and confidentiality, (d) sanctions; and (3) outlines a mechanism for prevention and education. The AMA urges all medical education programs to regard the following Code of Behavior as a guide in developing standards of behavior for both teachers and learners in their own institutions, with appropriate provisions for grievance procedures, investigative methods, and maintenance of confidentiality.

CODE OF BEHAVIOR

The teacher-learner relationship should be based on mutual trust, respect, and responsibility. This relationship should be carried out in a professional manner, in a learning environment that places strong focus on education, high quality patient care, and ethical conduct.

A number of factors place demand on medical school faculty to devote a greater proportion of their time to revenue-generating activity. Greater severity of illness among inpatients also places heavy demands on residents and fellows. In the face of sometimes conflicting demands on their time, educators must work to preserve the priority of education and place appropriate emphasis on the critical role of teacher.

In the teacher-learner relationship, each party has certain legitimate expectations of the other. For example, the learner can expect that the teacher will provide instruction, guidance, inspiration, and leadership in learning. The teacher expects the learner to make an appropriate professional investment of energy and intellect to acquire the knowledge and skills necessary to become an effective physician. Both parties can expect the other to prepare appropriately for the educational interaction and to discharge their responsibilities in the educational relationship with unfailing honesty.

Certain behaviors are inherently destructive to the teacher-learner relationship. Behaviors such as violence, sexual harassment, inappropriate discrimination based on personal characteristics must never be tolerated. Other behavior can also be inappropriate if the effect interferes with professional development. Behavior patterns such as making habitual demeaning or derogatory remarks, belittling comments or destructive criticism fall into this category. On the behavioral level, abuse may be operationally defined as behavior by medical school faculty, residents, or students which is consensually disapproved by society and by the academic community as either exploitive or punishing. Examples of inappropriate behavior are: physical punishment or physical threats; sexual harassment; discrimination based on race, religion, ethnicity, sex, age, sexual orientation, gender identity, and physical disabilities; repeated episodes of psychological punishment of a student by a particular superior (e.g., public humiliation, threats and intimidation, removal of privileges); grading used to punish a student rather than to evaluate objective performance; assigning tasks for punishment rather than educational purposes; requiring the performance of personal services; taking credit for another individual's work; intentional neglect or intentional lack of communication.

On the institutional level, abuse may be defined as policies, regulations, or procedures that are socially disapproved as a violation of individuals' rights. Examples of institutional abuse are: policies, regulations, or procedures that are discriminatory based on race, religion, ethnicity, sex, age, sexual orientation, gender identity, and physical disabilities; and requiring individuals to perform unpleasant tasks that are entirely irrelevant to their education as physicians.

While criticism is part of the learning process, in order to be effective and constructive, it should be handled in a way to promote learning. Negative feedback is generally more useful when delivered in a private setting that fosters discussion and behavior modification. Feedback should focus on behavior rather than personal characteristics and should avoid pejorative labeling.

Because people's opinions will differ on whether specific behavior is acceptable, teaching programs should encourage discussion and exchange among teacher and learner to promote effective educational strategies. People in the teaching role (including faculty, residents, and students) need guidance to carry out their educational responsibilities effectively.

Medical schools are urged to develop innovative ways of preparing students for their roles as educators of other students as well as patients.

BOT Rep. ZZ, I-90, Reaffirmed by CME Rep. 9, A-98, Reaffirmed: CME Rep. 2, I-99, Modified: BOT Rep. 11, A-07, Reaffirmed: CME Rep. 9, A-13; Reaffirmed: BOT Rep. 9, I-20

Eliminating Questions Regarding Marital Status, Dependents, Plans for Marriage or Children, Sexual Orientation, Gender Identity, Age, Race, National Origin and Religion During the Residency and Fellowship Application Process H-310.919

Our AMA:

1. opposes questioning residency or fellowship applicants regarding marital status, dependents, plans for marriage or children, sexual orientation, gender identity, age, race, national origin, and religion;

2. will work with the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education, the National Residency Matching Program, and other interested parties to eliminate questioning about or discrimination based on marital and dependent status, future plans for marriage or children, sexual orientation, age, race, national origin, and religion during the residency and fellowship application process;
 3. will continue to support efforts to enhance racial and ethnic diversity in medicine. Information regarding race and ethnicity may be voluntarily provided by residency and fellowship applicants;
 4. encourages the Association of American Medical Colleges (AAMC) and its Electronic Residency Application Service (ERAS) Advisory Committee to develop steps to minimize bias in the ERAS and the residency training selection process; and
 5. will advocate that modifications in the ERAS Residency Application to minimize bias consider the effects these changes may have on efforts to increase diversity in residency programs.
- Res. 307, A-09, Appended: Res. 955, I-17

Eliminating Religious Discrimination from Residency Programs H-310.923

Our AMA encourages residency programs to: (1) make an effort to accommodate residents' religious holidays and observances, provided that patient care and the rights of other residents are not compromised; and (2) explicitly inform applicants and entrants about their policies and procedures related to accommodation for religious holidays and observances.

CME Rep. 10, A-06, Reaffirmed: CME Rep. 01, A-16

Reducing Discrimination in the Practice of Medicine and Health Care Education D-350.984

Our AMA will pursue avenues to collaborate with the American Public Health Association's National Campaign Against Racism in those areas where AMA's current activities align with the campaign.

BOT Action in response to referred for decision: Res. 602, I-15

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 007
(A-22)

Introduced by: Medical Student Section

Subject: Equal Access to Adoption for the LGBTQ Community

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

1 Whereas, Current federal qualifications for adoption, according to U.S. Citizenship and
2 Immigration Services (USCIS) are as follows:

- 3
- 4 1. You must be a U.S. Citizen.
- 5 2. If you are unmarried, you must be at least 25 years old.
- 6 3. If you are married, you must jointly adopt the child (even if you are separated but
7 not divorced), and your spouse must also be either a U.S. citizen or in legal
8 status in the United States.
- 9 4. You must meet certain requirements that will determine your suitability as a
10 prospective adoptive parent, including criminal background checks,
11 fingerprinting, and a home study¹; and
12

13 Whereas, The federal government currently allocates funding for adoption and foster care to
14 states, which independently manage federal funds and have differing statutes concerning
15 eligibility to adopt or place a child up for adoption²⁻⁴; and
16

17 Whereas, Independent state-licensed child welfare agencies are contracted by each state to
18 provide foster care or adoption services⁵; and
19

20 Whereas, The American Bar Association recently adopted a resolution in 2019 criticizing how
21 “state-sanctioned discrimination against LGBT individuals who wish to raise children has
22 dramatically increased in recent years”⁶⁻⁷; and
23

24 Whereas, Eleven states currently permit state-licensed welfare agencies to refuse placement of
25 children with LGBTQ individuals and same-sex couples and fourteen additional states lack
26 explicit protection for LGBTQ individuals concerning adoption rights⁵; and
27

28 Whereas, In fiscal year 2018 alone, the need for adoption was evident as there were 437,283
29 total children in the U.S. foster care system with 125,422 children waiting to be adopted⁸; and
30

31 Whereas, According to 2019 Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System
32 (AFCARS) data, 58% or 143,572 children spent over 12 months in foster care before leaving the
33 system⁸; and
34

35 Whereas, The longer a child is in foster care, the more likely that child is to move from one
36 foster placement to another, and the greater the risk that child experiences adverse childhood
37 events (ACEs), which may result in lasting negative social and emotional consequences⁹; and

Whereas, Per evaluation with the Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL), children who enter foster care with no known internal or external problems show an increase in “total problem behavior” in direct correlation with their number of placements¹⁰⁻¹²; and

Whereas, Frequent placement changes result in difficulty forming secure attachments with foster parents, low self-esteem, and a negative relationship with academic growth¹⁰⁻¹²; and

Whereas, Per the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, “Creating and sustaining safe, stable, nurturing relationships and environments for all children and families can prevent ACEs and help all children reach their full potential”¹³; and

Whereas, Recent social science literature supports that children living with same-sex parents have equivalent outcomes compared to children with different-sex parents¹⁴; and

Whereas, Estimates from the 2010 U.S. Census suggest there are nearly 650,000 same-sex couples living in the U.S., and same-sex couples are five times (10% vs 2%) more likely to adopt children under age 18 compared to different sex couples¹⁵⁻¹⁶; and

Whereas, Current AMA Policy H-60.959 calls for the “comprehensive and evidence-based care that addresses the specific health care needs of children in foster care” and supports the “best interest of the child” as the most important criterion determining custody, placement, and adoption of children;” and

Whereas, AMA policy H-60.940 supports the rights of a non-married partner to adopt the child of their co-parenting partner but does not adequately address adoption rights of LGBTQ individuals nor their limited eligibility or access to adoption, allowing for potential harm towards children by narrowing the pool of qualified foster and adoptive homes; therefore be it

RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association advocate for equal access to adoption services for LGBTQ individuals who meet federal criteria for adoption regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation (Directive to Take Action); and be it further

RESOLVED, That our AMA encourage allocation of government funding to licensed child welfare agencies that offer adoption services to all individuals or couples including those with LGBTQ identity. (New HOD Policy)

Fiscal Note: Minimal - less than \$1,000

Date Received: 04/08/22

References:

1. U.S Department of State- Bureau of Consular Affairs. Who can Adopt. 2018 Sept. Available from: <https://travel.state.gov/content/travel/en/Intercountry-Adoption/Adoption-Process/before-you-adopt/who-can-adopt.html>. Accessed March 3, 2020.
2. Adopt Help. Public vs. Private Adoption. 2020. Available from: <https://www.adopthelp.com/public-vs-private-adoption/> Accessed March 7, 2020.
3. Child Welfare Information Gateway. Laws & Policies. Available from: <https://www.childwelfare.gov/topics/systemwide/laws-policies/> Accessed March 7, 2020.
4. Children’s Bureau. Who May Adopt, Be Adopted, or Place a Child for Adoption. 2015 Dec. Available from: <https://www.childwelfare.gov/pubPDFs/parties.pdf>. Accessed March 3, 2020.
5. Movement Advancement Project (MAP). Equality Maps: Foster and Adoption Laws. 2020 Jan. Available from: https://www.lgbtmap.org/equality-maps/foster_and_adoption_laws Accessed March 10, 2020.
6. Cheikhameguyaz G. National LGBT Bar Association Commission on Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity Report to the House of Delegates, American Bar Association, Jan 2019. Available from: <https://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/images/news/2019mymhodres/113.pdf> Accessed March 7, 2020.

7. Moreau J. LGBTQ parents face 'state-sanctioned discrimination,' American Bar Association says. *NBC News*. Feb 6 2019. Available from: <https://www.nbcnews.com/feature/nbc-out/lgbtq-parents-face-state-sanctioned-discrimination-american-bar-association-says-n968456> Accessed March 7 2020.
8. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The AFCARS Report. 2019 Aug. Available from: <https://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/cb/afcarsreport26.pdf> Accessed March 11, 2020.
9. Williams-Mbengue N. The Social and Emotional Well-Being of Children in Foster Care. *National Conference of State Legislators*. 2015. Available from: https://www.ncsl.org/Portals/1/Documents/cyf/Social_Emoional_WellBeing_Newsletter.pdf Accessed March 14, 2020.
10. Hobbs S, Goodman G, Oglec C, et. al. Instability in the Lives of Foster and Nonfoster Youth: Mental Health Impediments and Attachment Insecurities. *Children and Youth Services Review*. 2018; 84: 159-167
11. Gypnen L, Van Holden F, et. al. Outcomes of Children who Grew up in Foster Care: Systematic-Review. *Children and Youth Services Review*. 2018; 75: 74-83
12. Gypnen L, Van Holden F, et. al. Outcomes of Children who Grew up in Foster Care: Systematic-Review. *Children and Youth Services Review*. 2018; 75: 74-83
13. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Preventing Adverse Childhood Experiences. 2019. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/childabuseandneglect/aces/fastfact.html>. Accessed Mar 31 2020.
14. Manning WD, Fetto MN, Lamidi E. Child Well-Being in Same-Sex Parent Families: Review of Research Prepared for American Sociological Association Amicus Brief. *Popul Res Policy Rev*. 2014;33(4):485-502. doi:10.1007/s11113-014-9329-6
15. United States - Census Snapshot 2010. *Williams Institute*. September 2011. <https://williamsinstitute.law.ucla.edu/research/census-lgbt-demographics-studies/us-census-snapshot-2010/> . Accessed March 12, 2020.
16. Gates GJ. LGBT Parenting in the United States. The Williams Institute. 2013 Feb.<http://williamsinstitute.law.ucla.edu/wp-content/uploads/LGBT-Parenting.pdf> .Accessed Mar 4 2020

RELEVANT AMA POLICY

Uniformity of State Adoption and Child Custody Laws H-60.959

The AMA urges: (1) state medical societies to support the adoption of a Uniform Adoption Act that places the best interest of the child as the most important criteria; (2) the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws to include mandatory pre-consent counseling for birth parents as part of its proposed Uniform Adoption Act; and (3) state medical societies to support adoption of child custody statutes that place the "best interest of the child" as the most important criterion determining custody, placement, and adoption of children.

Sub. Res. 219, I-93Reaffirmed: BOT Rep. 28, A-03Reaffirmed: BOT Rep. 28, A-13

Addressing Healthcare Needs of Children in Foster Care H-60.910

Our AMA advocates for comprehensive and evidence-based care that addresses the specific health care needs of children in foster care.

Res. 907, I-17

Partner Co-Adoption H-60.940

Our AMA will support legislative and other efforts to allow the adoption of a child by the non-married partner who functions as a second parent or co-parent to that child.

Res. 204, A-04; Modified: CSAPH Rep. 1, A-14

Health Care disparities in Same-Sex Partner Households H-65.973

Our American Medical Association: (1) recognizes that denying civil marriage based on sexual orientation is discriminatory and imposes harmful stigma on gay and lesbian individuals and couples and their families; (2) recognizes that exclusion from civil marriage contributes to health care disparities affecting same-sex households; (3) will work to reduce health care disparities among members of same-sex households including minor children; and (4) will support measures providing same-sex households with the same rights and privileges to health care, health insurance, and survivor benefits, as afforded opposite-sex households.

CSAPH Rep. 1, I-09; BOT Action in response to referred for decision; Res. 918, I-09;

Reaffirmed in lieu of Res. 918, I-09; BOT Rep. 15, A-11; Reaffirmed in lieu of Res. 209, A-12

Adoption H-420.973

It is the policy of the AMA to (1) support the provision of adoption information as an option to unintended pregnancies; and (2) support and encourage the counseling of women with unintended pregnancies as to the option of adoption.

Res. 146, A-90; Reaffirmed: Sunset Report, I-00; Reaffirmed: CSAPH Rep. 1, A-10; Reaffirmed: CSAPH Rep. 01, A-20

Support of Human Rights and Freedom H-65.965

Our AMA: (1) continues to support the dignity of the individual, human rights and the sanctity of human life, (2) reaffirms its long-standing policy that there is no basis for the denial to any human being of equal rights, privileges, and responsibilities commensurate with his or her individual capabilities and ethical character because of an individual's sex, sexual orientation, gender, gender identity, or transgender status, race, religion, disability, ethnic origin, national origin, or age; (3) opposes any discrimination based on an individual's sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, race, religion, disability, ethnic origin, national origin or age and any other such reprehensible policies; (4) recognizes that hate crimes pose a significant threat to the public health and social welfare of the citizens of the United States, urges expedient passage of appropriate hate crimes prevention legislation in accordance with our AMA's policy through letters to members of Congress; and registers support for hate crimes prevention legislation, via letter, to the President of the United States.

CCB/CLRPD Rep. 3, A-14; Reaffirmed in lieu of: Res. 001, I-16; Reaffirmation: A-17

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 008
(A-22)

Introduced by: Medical Student Section

Subject: Student-Centered Approaches for Reforming School Disciplinary Policies

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

1 Whereas, School-related arrests and juvenile justice referrals have been associated with school
2 disengagements, lower graduation rates, increased dropout rates, and increased involvement in
3 the school-to-prison pipeline^{1, 2}; and
4

5 Whereas, School-related arrests and juvenile justice referrals disproportionately target Black
6 students, Latinx students, male students, and students with physical or mental disabilities^{3, 4, 5};
7 and
8

9 Whereas, Research on the effectiveness of school resource officer programs is limited, and fails
10 to make a strong case for harsh discipline programs that include referral to law enforcement⁶;
11 and
12

13 Whereas, School-based mental health efforts have been successful in identifying those in need
14 of mental health services, bolstering academic functioning, and improving patterns of behavior⁷;
15 and
16

17 Whereas, Educators, nurses, and counselors can play a key role in fostering protective
18 environments for children and identifying students who may need additional support, in contrast
19 to school resource officers^{8, 9}; and
20

21 Whereas, School-based mental health professionals report ever-increasing workloads and
22 responsibilities that include disciplinary roles^{10, 11}; and
23

24 Whereas, Students report feeling hesitant to approach counselors to discuss academic, mental
25 health, or social issues because they do not feel that their disclosure will be kept private,
26 possibly affecting their academic or conduct standing¹²; and
27

28 Whereas, The American School Counselor Association urges that “school counselors maintain
29 non-threatening relationships with students to best promote student achievement and
30 development” and states that school counselors are neither “disciplinarians” or “enforcement
31 agent[s] for the school”¹³; and
32

33 Whereas, The National Association of School Nurses states that school nurses should facilitate
34 an “environment that values connecting students, families, and the community in positive
35 engagement” characterized by “safety and trust where students are aware that caring, trained
36 adults are present and equipped to take action on their behalf”¹⁴; and

Whereas, Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS) is an evidence-based implementation framework focusing on prevention and intervention strategies that support the academic, social, emotional, and behavioral competence of students at all levels of education¹⁵; and

Whereas, PBIS promotes prevention of student misbehavior by having students experience "predictable instructional consequences for problem behavior without inadvertent rewarding" while educators provide "clear and predictable consequences for problem behavior and following up with constructive support to reduce the probability of future problem behavior"¹⁵; and

Whereas, PBIS was shown in a group randomized controlled effectiveness trial of 12,344 elementary students to reduce concentration and behavioral problems, and increase social-emotional functioning and prosocial behavior¹⁶; and

Whereas, PBIS implementation has been linked to positive outcomes in attendance, behavior, and academics while decreasing office discipline referrals, in-school suspensions, and out-of-school suspensions^{17, 18}; and

Whereas, Mental Health America and the American Academy of Pediatrics have recognized the detrimental effects of "zero tolerance" policies and have advocated for school wide PBIS as an alternative^{19, 20}; and

Whereas, AMA policy H-60.919 includes support for "school discipline policies that permit reasonable discretion and consideration of mitigating circumstances when determining punishments," but is largely focused on determination of punishment rather than prevention of misbehavior; and

Whereas, AMA policy H-60.991 establishes the role of school-based health programs and AMA policy H-60.902 addresses the need for policy ensuring proper qualification and training for school resource officers, but do not delineate if or how school-based health professionals should participate in school disciplinary roles; therefore be it

RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association support evidence-based frameworks in K-12 schools that focus on school-wide prevention and intervention strategies for student misbehavior (New HOD Policy); and be it further

RESOLVED, That our AMA support the inclusion of school-based mental health professionals in the student discipline process. (New HOD Policy)

Fiscal Note: Minimal - less than \$1,000

Date Received: 04/08/22

References:

1. Wolf KC, Kupchik A. School suspensions and adverse experiences in adulthood. *Justice Quarterly*, 2017;34(3), 407-430.
2. Skiba RJ, Arredondo MI, Williams NT. More than a metaphor: The contribution of exclusionary discipline to a school-to-prison pipeline. *Equity & Excellence in Education*, 2014;47(4), 546-564.
3. Skiba RJ, Shure L, Williams N. Racial and ethnic disproportionality in suspension and expulsion. In A. L. Noltemeyer & C. S. McLoughlin (Eds.), *Disproportionality in education and special education*. 2012; Springfield, IL: Charles C. Thomas Publisher, Ltd.
4. *Texas: The State of School Discipline – A Look at the Data*. Texas Appleseed, 2019.
5. Morgan E et al. The School Discipline Consensus Report: Strategies from the Field to Keep Students Engaged in School and Out of the Juvenile Justice System. New York: The Council of State Governments Justice Center; 2014. Retrieved from <http://csjjusticecenter.org/youth/school-discipline-consensus-report/>

6. James N, McCallion, G. School resource officers: Law enforcement officers in schools. Washington, DC: Congressional Research Service. 2013. Retrieved from www.fas.org/sqp/crs/misc/R43126.pdf
7. Martin SL et al. "Incorporating Trauma-Informed Care Into School-Based Programs." *Journal of School Health*, 2017; 87(12): 958–967. doi: 10.1111/josh.12568.
8. Sciaraffa MA, Zeanah PD, Zeanah CH. "Understanding and Promoting Resilience in the Context of Adverse Childhood Experiences." *Early Childhood Education Journal*, 2018;46(3): 343-53. doi:10.1007/s10643-017-0869-3.
9. McLeod BD et al. Identifying Common Practice Elements to Improve Social, Emotional, and Behavioral Outcomes of Young Children in Early Childhood Classrooms. *Prevention Science*, 2017;18(2): 204-213. doi:10.1007/s11121-016-0703-y
10. Chandler JW et al. Assessing the Counseling and Non-Counseling Roles of School Counselors. *Journal of School Counseling*, 2018;16(7).
11. Carey JC, Martin I. A Review of the Major School Counseling Policy Studies in the United States: 2000-2014. Amherst, MA: The Ronald H. Frederickson Center for School Counseling Outcome Research and Evaluation; 2015.
12. Auger RW, Abel NR, Oliver BM. Spotlighting Stigma and Barriers: Examining Secondary Students' Attitudes Toward School Counseling Services. *Professional School Counseling*, 2018;22(1). doi:10.1177/2156759x18811275
13. The School Counselor and Discipline. Position statement from the American School Counselor Association. Adopted 1989; revised 1993, 1999, 2001, 2007, 2013, 2019. Retrieved from https://www.schoolcounselor.org/asca/media/asca/PositionStatements/PS_Discipline.pdf
14. School Violence – The Role of the School Nurse. Position statement from the National Association of School Nurses. Revised 2018. Retrieved from <https://www.nasn.org/nasn/advocacy/professional-practice-documents/position-statements/ps-violence>
15. Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports: Brief Introduction. 2018. Retrieved from <https://www.pbis.org/school/swpbis-for-beginners/pbis-faqs#briefintro>
16. Bradshaw CP, Waasdorp TE, Leaf PJ. Effects of School-Wide Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports on Child Behavior Problems. *Pediatrics*. 2012;130(5): e1136-e1145. doi:10.1542/peds.2012-0243
17. Freeman J et al. Relationship Between School-Wide Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports and Academic, Attendance, and Behavior Outcomes in High Schools. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*. 2016;18(1): 41-51. doi:10.1177/1098300715580992
18. Childs KE et al. The Relationship Between School-Wide Implementation of Positive Behavior Intervention and Supports and Student Discipline Outcomes. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*. 2016;18(2): 89-99. doi:10.1177/1098300715590398
19. Position Statement 45: Discipline and Positive Behavior Support in Schools. Position statement from Mental Health America. Published 2017. Retrieved from <http://www.mentalhealthamerica.net/positions/discipline-schools>.
20. Out-of-School Suspension and Expulsion. *Pediatrics*. 2013;131(3). doi:10.1542/peds.2012-3932.

RELEVANT AMA POLICY

Juvenile Justice System Reform, H-60.919

Our AMA:

1. Supports school discipline policies that permit reasonable discretion and consideration of mitigating circumstances when determining punishments rather than "zero tolerance" policies that mandate out-of-school suspension, expulsion, or the referral of students to the juvenile or criminal justice system.
2. Encourages continued research to identify programs and policies that are effective in reducing disproportionate minority contact across all decision points within the juvenile justice system.
3. Encourages states to increase the upper age of original juvenile court jurisdiction to at least 17 years of age.
4. Supports reforming laws and policies to reduce the number of youth transferred to adult criminal court.
5. Supports the re-authorization of federal programs for juvenile justice and delinquency prevention, which should include incentives for: (a) community-based alternatives for youth who pose little risk to public safety, (b) reentry and aftercare services to prevent recidivism, (c) policies that promote fairness to reduce disparities, and (d) the development and implementation of gender-responsive, trauma-informed programs and policies across juvenile justice systems.
6. Encourages juvenile justice facilities to adopt and implement policies to prohibit discrimination against youth on the basis of their sexual orientation, gender identity, or gender expression in order to advance the safety and well-being of youth and ensure equal access to treatment and services.
7. Encourages states to suspend rather than terminate Medicaid coverage following arrest and detention in order to facilitate faster reactivation and ensure continuity of health care services upon their return to the community.

8. Encourages Congress to enact legislation prohibiting evictions from public housing based solely on an individual's relationship to a wrongdoer, and encourages the Department of Housing and Urban Development and local public housing agencies to implement policies that support the use of discretion in making housing decisions, including consideration of the juvenile's rehabilitation efforts.

CSAPH Rep. 08, A-16; Reaffirmed: Res. 917, I-16

School-Based and School-Linked Health Centers, H-60.921

Our AMA supports the concept of adequately equipped and staffed school-based or school-linked health centers (SBHCs) for the comprehensive management of conditions of childhood and adolescence.

CSAPH Rep. 1, A-15

Adolescent Health, H-60.981

It is the policy of the AMA to work with other concerned health, education, and community groups in the promotion of adolescent health to: (1) develop policies that would guarantee access to needed family support services, psychosocial services and medical services; (2) promote the creation of community-based adolescent health councils to coordinate local solutions to local problems; (3) promote the creation of health and social service infrastructures in financially disadvantaged communities, if comprehensive continuing health care providers are not available; and (4) encourage members and medical societies to work with school administrators to facilitate the transformation of schools into health enhancing institutions by implementing comprehensive health education, creating within all schools a designated health coordinator and ensuring that schools maintain a healthy and safe environment.

Res. 252, A-90; Reaffirmed by BOT Rep. 24, A-97; Reaffirmed: CSAPH Rep. 3, A-07;

Reaffirmed CSAPH Rep. 01, A-17

Providing Medical Services Through School-Based Health Programs, H-60.991

(1) The AMA supports further objective research into the potential benefits and problems associated with school-based health services by credible organizations in the public and private sectors. (2) Where school-based services exist, the AMA recommends that they meet the following minimum standards: (a) Health services in schools must be supervised by a physician, preferably one who is experienced in the care of children and adolescents. Additionally, a physician should be accessible to administer care on a regular basis. (b) On-site services should be provided by a professionally prepared school nurse or similarly qualified health professional. Expertise in child and adolescent development, psychosocial and behavioral problems, and emergency care is desirable. Responsibilities of this professional would include coordinating the health care of students with the student, the parents, the school and the student's personal physician and assisting with the development and presentation of health education programs in the classroom. (c) There should be a written policy to govern provision of health services in the school. Such a policy should be developed by a school health council consisting of school and community-based physicians, nurses, school faculty and administrators, parents, and (as appropriate) students, community leaders and others. Health services and curricula should be carefully designed to reflect community standards and values, while emphasizing positive health practices in the school environment. (d) Before patient services begin, policies on confidentiality should be established with the advice of expert legal advisors and the school health council. (e) Policies for ongoing monitoring, quality assurance and evaluation should be established with the advice of expert legal advisors and the school health council. (f) Health care services should be available during school hours. During other hours, an appropriate referral system should be instituted. (g) School-based health programs should draw on outside resources for care, such as private practitioners, public health and mental health clinics, and mental health and neighborhood health programs. (h) Services should

be coordinated to ensure comprehensive care. Parents should be encouraged to be intimately involved in the health supervision and education of their children.

CSA Rep. D, A-88; Reaffirmed: Sunset Report, I-98; Reaffirmed: Res. 412, A-05; Reaffirmed in lieu of Res. 908, I-12

Improving Pediatric Mental Health Screening, H-345.977

Our AMA: (1) recognizes the importance of, and supports the inclusion of, mental health (including substance use, abuse, and addiction) screening in routine pediatric physicals; (2) will work with mental health organizations and relevant primary care organizations to disseminate recommended and validated tools for eliciting and addressing mental health (including substance use, abuse, and addiction) concerns in primary care settings; and (3) recognizes the importance of developing and implementing school-based mental health programs that ensure at-risk children/adolescents access to appropriate mental health screening and treatment services and supports efforts to accomplish these objectives.

Res. 414, A-11; Appended: BOT Rep. 12, A-14; Reaffirmed: Res. 403, A-18

Access to Mental Health Services, H-345.981

Our AMA advocates the following steps to remove barriers that keep Americans from seeking and obtaining treatment for mental illness:

- (1) reducing the stigma of mental illness by dispelling myths and providing accurate knowledge to ensure a more informed public;
- (2) improving public awareness of effective treatment for mental illness;
- (3) ensuring the supply of psychiatrists and other well trained mental health professionals, especially in rural areas and those serving children and adolescents;
- (4) tailoring diagnosis and treatment of mental illness to age, gender, race, culture and other characteristics that shape a person's identity;
- (5) facilitating entry into treatment by first-line contacts recognizing mental illness, and making proper referrals and/or to addressing problems effectively themselves; and
- (6) reducing financial barriers to treatment.

CMS Res. 9, A-01; Reaffirmation A-11; Reaffirmed: CMS Rep. 7, A-11, Reaffirmed: BOT action in response to referred for decision Res. 403, A-12; Reaffirmed in lieu of Res. 804, I-13; Reaffirmed in lieu of Res. 808, I-14; Reaffirmed: Res. 503, A-17; Reaffirmation: I-18

School Resource Officer Qualifications and Training, H-60.902

Our AMA encourages: (1) an evaluation of existing national standards (and legislation, if necessary) to have qualifications by virtue of training and certification that includes child psychology and development, restorative justice, conflict resolution, crime awareness, implicit/explicit biases, diversity inclusion, cultural humility, and individual and institutional safety and others deemed necessary for school resource officers; and (2) the development of policies that foster the best environment for learning through protecting the health and safety of those in school, including students, teachers, staff and visitors.

Res. 926, I-19

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 009
(A-22)

Introduced by: Illinois

Subject: Privacy Protection and Prevention of Further Trauma for Victims of
Distribution of Intimate Videos and Images Without Consent

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

1 Whereas, Nonconsensual pornography is a relatively new phenomenon that has grown
2 substantially in the past few years, and involves uploading nude or semi-nude images/videos of
3 a person online without their consent; and
4

5 Whereas, 80 to 93 percent of victims suffer significant emotional distress after the release of
6 their explicit photographs; and
7

8 Whereas, Victims are not only cyber harassed by their abuser, but also by online users who
9 have viewed their posted photographs; and
10

11 Whereas, The impact of nonconsensual pornography includes public shame and humiliation, an
12 inability to find new romantic partners, mental health effects such as depression and anxiety, job
13 loss or problems securing new employment, and offline harassment and stalking; and
14

15 Whereas, Cyberbullying violence is associated with a range of mental health issues, including
16 behavioral and emotional problems, reduced self-esteem and substance use; and
17

18 Whereas, Victims may suffer termination of employment or may have difficulty gaining future
19 employment and some victims resort to changing their names in an attempt to escape their
20 past; and
21

22 Whereas, Once a photo is posted online, it is challenging to completely remove from the
23 Internet, which means the harm is continuous and long lasting; and
24

25 Whereas, Many victims experience severe mental health effects and are diagnosed with post-
26 traumatic stress disorder, anxiety, and depression; and
27

28 Whereas, Post-traumatic stress disorder is associated with an increased risk of disease,
29 including chronic musculoskeletal pain, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease; and
30

31 Whereas, Exposure to stimuli that is triggering to the traumatic memory in post-traumatic stress
32 disorder leads to increased sensitization, and increases the severity of individual psychosomatic
33 sequelae over time; therefore be it

1 RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association amend policy H-515.967, "Protection of
2 the Privacy of Sexual Assault Victims," by addition to read as follows:

3
4 Protection of the Privacy of Sexual Assault Victims H-515.967

5 The AMA opposes the publication or broadcast of sexual assault victims' names,
6 addresses, images or likenesses without the explicit permission of the victim. The
7 AMA additionally opposes the publication (including posting) or broadcast of videos,
8 images, or recordings of any illicit activity of the assault. The AMA opposes the use
9 of such video, images, or recordings for financial gain and/or any form of benefit by
10 any entity. (Modify Current HOD Policy)

11
12 RESOLVED, That our AMA research issues related to the distribution of intimate videos and
13 images without consent to find ways to protect these victims to prevent further harm to their
14 mental health and overall well-being. (Directive to Take Action)

Fiscal Note: Minimal - less than \$1,000

Received: 04/08/22

References:

1. Bates S. Revenge Porn and Mental Health: A Qualitative Analysis of the Mental Health Effects of Revenge Porn on Female Survivors. SAGE Journal. DOI: 10.1177/1557085116654565.
2. Kamal M, Newman WJ. Revenge Pornography: Mental Health Implications and Related Legislation. J Am Acad Psychiatry Law. 2016;44(3):359-367.
3. Pingault JB, Schoeler T. Assessing the consequences of cyberbullying on mental health. Nat Hum Behav. 2017;1(11):775-777.
4. McFarlane AC. The long-term costs of traumatic stress: intertwined physical and psychological consequences. World Psychiatry. 2010;9(1):3-10

RELEVANT AMA POLICY:

Protection of the Privacy of Sexual Assault Victims H-515.967

The AMA opposes the publication or broadcast of sexual assault victims' names, addresses, or likenesses without the explicit permission of the victim.

Citation: Res. 406, A-98; Reaffirmed: BOT Rep. 23, A-09; Reaffirmed: CEJA Rep. 03, A-19

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 010
(A-22)

Introduced by: Medical Student Section

Subject: Improving the Health and Safety of Sex Workers

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

1 Whereas, Sex work entails the provision of sexual services for money or goods, while sex
2 trafficking is defined as the recruitment, harboring, transportation, provision, or obtaining of a
3 person for the purpose of a commercial sex act¹⁻³; and
4

5 Whereas, Survival sex is the exchange of sexual activity for basic necessities such as shelter,
6 food, or money; survival sex is considered a subset of “sex work” since it does not involve the
7 force, fraud, or explicit coercion defined in sex trafficking⁴; and
8

9 Whereas, Consent is defined by the federal government as a freely given agreement to the
10 conduct at issue by a competent person, and consent is not constituted by lack of verbal or
11 physical resistance⁵⁻⁷; and
12

13 Whereas, Coercive sex—in the setting of economic, substance-related, or social vulnerability—
14 often problematically falls under the term “consensual” sex work; thus, consent in the realm of
15 sex work falls on a spectrum, rather than a binary definition⁵⁻⁷; and
16

17 Whereas, Globally, the three major policy approaches to sex trade regulation are (1)
18 criminalization, (2) full and partial decriminalization, and (3) legalization, and the US primarily
19 uses criminalization; and
20

21 Whereas, Criminalization of the selling of sex is associated with higher prevalence of unsafe
22 practices such as not using condoms, higher rates of sexually transmitted infections (STIs),
23 lower likelihood of seeking healthcare for illness or injury related to sex work, and greater
24 likelihood of violence and rape of the individuals selling sex⁸⁻¹⁷; and
25

26 Whereas, Criminalization of the selling of sex is associated with higher rates of sexual
27 harassment, rape, and violence perpetrated by police against people selling sex¹⁷⁻²⁰; and
28

29 Whereas, In a study on the mental health of legal and illegal sex workers, illegal sex workers
30 were four times more likely to report mental health issues, possibly due to increased risks that
31 come with illegal sex work such as assault and arrest²¹; and
32

33 Whereas, Because sex work is criminalized in the United States, many sex workers struggle to
34 obtain health insurance, leading to the majority being uninsured and paying out of pocket for
35 healthcare²²; and
36

37 Whereas, In 2019, nearly 27,000 people, many of whom were parents, were arrested for
38 prostitution and commercial vices in the United States, putting their children at an increased risk
39 for depression, anxiety, antisocial behavior, drug use, and cognitive delays^{23,24}; and

1 Whereas, Many sex workers have criminal records from the criminalization of selling sex, which,
2 in conjunction with a high rate of mental health problems including increased rates of
3 depression, PTSD, suicidality, dissociation, and substance use disorders, poses a significant
4 barrier in attaining the economic stability needed to successfully exit the sex industry and attain
5 and maintain other employment²⁵⁻³¹; and
6

7 Whereas, A study of 854 sex workers' experiences found 89% of them reported wanting to
8 leave sex work, but named lack of safety, job training, and financial and psychological support
9 and other barriers as preventing their leaving, and other smaller studies have had similar
10 findings and shown that leaving the sex industry usually takes multiple attempts of exit-reentry-
11 exit cycles^{25,32-34}; and
12

13 Whereas, A systematic review of the literature estimates that 15-20% of men in the United
14 States have paid for sex at least once; and surveys show up to 37% of buyers believe that if
15 they pay for sex, the sex worker is obligated to do anything they ask, and 19% admit to having
16 committed rape³⁵⁻³⁹; and
17

18 Whereas, Individuals who sell sex for survival are often those from among the most vulnerable
19 communities, such as undocumented immigrants, minoritized racial and ethnic populations, the
20 economically marginalized, homeless or runaway youth, homeless populations in general and
21 especially homeless LGBTQ+ populations, and transgender people^{20,40-49}; and
22

23 Whereas, In a nationwide study, 12% of trans women reported earning income through sex
24 work, with higher rates among trans women of color, with 77% of these women reported
25 intimate partner violence, 72% reported sexual assault, and 86% reported police harassment²⁰;
26 and
27

28 Whereas, The World Health Organization, UNFPA, UNAIDS, the Global Network of Sex Work
29 Projects, Amnesty International, and Human Rights Watch all recommend decriminalizing
30 consensual sex work to improve access to health care for high-risk populations, with the WHO
31 specifying that decriminalization would help reduce HIV incidence^{17,50,51}; and
32

33 Whereas, The Equality Model, in which the selling of sex is decriminalized, while buying sex,
34 acting as a third-party profiteer, and brothel-owning are criminalized, is the most widely followed
35 system of partial decriminalization and is employed in Sweden, Norway, Iceland, France,
36 Ireland, Northern Ireland, Canada, and Israel⁵²; and
37

38 Whereas, In the Equality Model, people currently selling sex are offered voluntary participation
39 in social services, and people found to be buying sex are offered voluntary participation
40 programs to help them stop buying sex⁵²; and
41

42 Whereas, Partial decriminalization strategies such as the Equality Model are associated with a
43 markedly lower rate of human trafficking, while full decriminalization and legalization are
44 associated with (1) increases in human trafficking to meet the increased demand for commercial
45 sex, as well as (2) increases in organized crime⁵²⁻⁵⁴; and
46

47 Whereas, Transition from criminalization to the decriminalization of the sale of sex in the
48 Equality Model in Sweden was shown to lower demand and overall rates of prostitution, led to a
49 comparatively lower number of persons trafficked compared to surrounding nations using other
50 policy systems^{45,55,56}; and

Whereas, An article in the *AMA Journal of Ethics* suggested the Equality Model, to be the most effective and ethical approach to addressing the issue of sex work and human rights violations⁵⁷; and

Whereas, Among the various systems of prostitution policy, only the Equality Model has resulted in net decreases of human trafficking, violence against sex workers, and STI rates among the general population^{45,50-57}; and

Whereas, Although research has documented the effects of current involvement in the sex industry, research on long-term impacts remains scarce^{32,58}; therefore be it

RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association recognize the adverse health outcomes of criminalizing consensual sex work (New HOD Policy); and be it further

RESOLVED, That our AMA: 1) support legislation that decriminalizes individuals who offer sex in return for money or goods; 2) oppose legislation that decriminalizes sex buying and brothel keeping; and 3) support the expungement of criminal records of those previously convicted of sex work, including trafficking survivors (New HOD Policy); and be it further

RESOLVED, That our AMA support research on the long-term health, including mental health, impacts of decriminalization of the sex trade. (New HOD Policy)

Fiscal Note: Minimal - less than \$1,000

Received: 04/08/22

References:

1. Overs, C. Sex Workers: Part of the Solution. World Health Organization. Available at: https://www.who.int/hiv/topics/vct/sw_toolkit/115solution.pdf Published 2002. Accessed Sept 29, 2019.
2. Policy on state obligations to respect, protect, and fulfil the human rights of sex workers. Amnesty International. Available at: <https://www.amnesty.org/download/Documents/POL3040622016ENGLISH.PDF> Published May 26, 2016. Accessed Aug 29, 2019.
3. Gerassi L. From Exploitation to Industry: Definitions, Risks, and Consequences of Domestic Sexual Exploitation and Sex Work Among Women and Girls. *J Hum Behav Soc Environ*. 2015;25(6):591–605. doi:10.1080/10911359.2014.991055
4. Greenbaum, J. and Crawford-Jakubiak, J. Child Sex Trafficking and Commercial Sexual Exploitation: Health Care Needs of Victims. *Pediatrics*. 2015;135(3):566-574.
5. Cojocar C. Commodification of Sex on the Coercion Consent Spectrum. In: American Society Of Criminology. Washington D.C.: American Society of Criminology. Published November 2015. Accessed August 29, 2019.
6. Mathieson A, Branam E, Noble A. Prostitution Policy: Legalization, Decriminalization and the Nordic Model. *Seattle Journal for Social Justice*. 2016;14(2), article 10. Available at: <http://digitalcommons.law.seattleu.edu/sjsj/vol14/iss2/10>
7. Policy Department C: Citizens' Rights and Constitutional Affairs. Sexual Exploitation And Prostitution And Its Impact On Gender Equality. Brussels: European Parliament; 2014.
8. Prostitution. Department of Security and Justice, Canton of Fribourg, Switzerland. https://www.fr.ch/sites/default/files/contens/dsj/_www/files/pdf89/ang_faq_canton-fribourg_lprost_conseils-et-orientation1.pdf. Published 2016. Accessed September 2, 2018.
9. Ja'afar L, Ho V, Lee M, Sherqueshaa S. CEDAW 68th Session Stakeholders Report By Sex Workers In Singapore.; 2017. https://tbinternet.ohchr.org/Treaties/CEDAW/Shared%20Documents/SGP/INT_CEDAW_NGO_SGP_29070_E.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2018.
10. Nevada Revised Statute 201.354: Engaging in prostitution or solicitation for prostitution. <https://www.leg.state.nv.us/NRS/NRS-201.html#NRS201Sec354>.
11. Sullivan, B. (2010). When (Some) Prostitution is Legal: The Impact of Law Reform on Sex Work in Australia. *Journal of Law and Society*, [online] 37(1), pp.85-104. Available at: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1467-6478.2010.00496.x> [Accessed 19 Apr. 2019].
12. All Women, All Rights, Sex Workers Included. Center for Health and Gender Equity. 2016. http://www.genderhealth.org/files/uploads/All_Women_All_Rights_Sex_Workers_Included_Report.pdf
13. Kerrigan D, Kennedy CE, Morgan-Thomas R, et al. A community empowerment approach to the HIV response among sex workers: effectiveness, challenges, and considerations for implementation and scale-up. *Lancet (London, England)*. 2015;385(9963):172-185. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60973-9.
14. Cunningham S, Shah M. Decriminalizing Indoor Prostitution: Implications for Sexual Violence and Public Health. *Rev Econ Stud*. 2017;85(3):1683-1715. doi:10.1093/restud/rdx065.
15. Raphael J, Reichert J, Powers M. Pimp Control and Violence: Domestic Sex Trafficking of Chicago Women and Girls. *Women Crim Justice*. 2010;20(1-2):89-104. doi:10.1080/08974451003641065.
16. Shannon K. Violence, Condom Negotiation, and HIV/STI Risk Among Sex Workers. *JAMA*. 2010;304(5):573. doi:10.1001/jama.2010.1090.

17. Human Rights Watch. World Report 2014: Events Of 2013. USA: Human Rights Watch; 2014:41-53. https://www.hrw.org/sites/default/files/wr2014_web_0.pdf. Accessed September 23, 2018.
18. Deering K, Amin A, Shoveller J et al. A Systematic Review of the Correlates of Violence Against Sex Workers. *Am J Public Health*. 2014;104(5):e42-e54.
19. Sex Workers Project at the Urban Justice Center (SWP) Revolving Door: An Analysis of Street-Based Prostitution in New York City.. New York City, NY: Urban Justice Center; 2003:1-100. <http://sexworkersproject.org/downloads/RevolvingDoor.pdf>. Accessed September 2, 2018.
20. James, S. E., Herman, J. L., Rankin, S., Keisling, M., Mottet, L., & Anafi, M. (2016). The Report of the 2015 U.S. Transgender Survey. Washington, DC: National Center for Transgender Equality. Available at: <https://transequality.org/sites/default/files/docs/usts/USTS-Full-Report-Dec17.pdf> [Accessed 19 Apr. 2019].
21. Seib C, Fischer J, Najman J. The health of female sex workers from three industry sectors in Queensland, Australia. *Social Science & Medicine*. 2009;68(3):473-478. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2008.10.024.
22. Sunderland M. The Sex Workers Fighting for Better Health Care. *Broadly*. 2017. https://broadly.vice.com/en_us/article/j5q9q4/the-sex-workers-fighting-for-better-health-care. Accessed September 2, 2018.
23. Table 29 - Estimated Number of Arrests, United States, 2019. FBI. <https://ucr.fbi.gov/crime-in-the-u.s/2019/crime-in-the-u.s.-2019/tables/table-29>. Published 2021. Accessed September 28, 2021.
24. Davis L, Shlafer R. Mental health of adolescents with currently and formerly incarcerated parents. *J Adolesc*. 2017;54:120-134. doi:10.1016/j.adolescence.2016.10.006.
25. Hankel J, Dewey S, Martinez N. Women Exiting Street-Based Sex Work: Correlations between Ethno-Racial Identity, Number of Children, and Violent Experiences. *J Evid Inf Soc Work*. 2016 Jul-Aug;13(4):412-24.
26. Krumrei-Mancuso EJ. Sex Work and Mental Health: A Study of Women in the Netherlands. *Arch Sex Behav*. 2017 Aug;46(6):1843-1856.
27. Puri N, Shannon K, Nguyen P, Goldenberg SM. Burden and correlates of mental health diagnoses among sex workers in an urban setting. *BMC Womens Health*. 2017 Dec 19;17(1):133. doi: 10.1186/s12905-017-0491-y.
28. Brody, S. et al. Psychiatric and Characterological Factors Relevant to Excess Mortality in a Long-Term Cohort of Prostitute Women. *J Sex Marital Ther*. 2005;31(2):97-112.
29. Ling D, Wong W, Holroyd E, Gray S. Silent Killers of the Night: An Exploration of Psychological Health and Suicidality among Female Street Sex Workers. *J Sex Marital Ther*. 2007;33(4):281-299. doi:10.1080/00926230701385498
30. Pedersen P, Arnfred A, Algren M, Juel K. Comparison of health behaviors among women brothel workers to those of the general population of women in Denmark. *Women Health*. 2015;56(4):376-394. doi:10.1080/03630242.2015.1101734
31. Farley M. Risks of Prostitution: When the Person Is the Product. *J Assoc Consum Res*. 2018;3(1):97-108. doi:10.1086/695670
32. Farley, M., Cotton, A., Lynne, J., Zumbeck, S., Spiwak, F., Reyes, M., Alvarez, D. and Sezgin, U. Prostitution and Trafficking in Nine Countries. *Journal of Trauma Practice*. 2004;2(3-4):33-74.
33. Manopaiboon C, Bunnell RE, Kilmarx PH, Chaikummao S, Limpakarnjanarat K, Supawitkul S, St Louis ME, Mastro TD. Leaving sex work: Barriers, facilitating factors and consequences for female sex workers in northern Thailand. *AIDS Care*. 2003 Feb;15(1):39-52. doi: 10.1080/012021000039743.
34. Bowen EA, Canfield JP, Trostle A, Harley D. Predictors of Stable Housing for Homeless Women Leaving a Sex Work-Exiting Program. *Families in Society: The Journal of Contemporary Social Services*. 2015, 96(4), 268–276.
35. Shively M, Jalbert S, Kling R et al. Final Report On The Evaluation Of The First Offender Prostitution Program. Office of Research and Evaluation, National Institute of Justice, U.S. Department of Justice; 2008.
36. Farley M, Schuckman E, Golding J et al. Comparing Sex Buyers With Men Who Don't Buy Sex. Boston, Massachusetts: San Francisco: Prostitution Research & Education; 2011. <http://www.prostitutionresearch.com/pdfs/Farleyetal2011ComparingSexBuyers.pdf>. Accessed August 25, 2019.
37. Raphael J, Feifer K, Bigelsen J, Dempsey M, Rhodes S. What We Know About Sex Trafficking, Prostitution, And Sexual Exploitation In The U.S.. New York, NY: World Without Exploitation; 2017. https://uploads-ssl.webflow.com/5b7ed53e01bf9702b9df675b/5b7ed53e01bf97bdfad68b1_WorldWE%20Research%20report%20What%20we%20Know%202017%20FINAL.pdf. Accessed August 29, 2019.
38. Janson L. "Our Great Hobby:" An Analysis Of Online Network For Buyers Of Sex In Illinois.. Chicago: Chicago Alliance Against Sexual Exploitation; 2019. https://humantraffickinghotline.org/sites/default/files/Our%20Great%20Hobby%20-%20CAASE%20and%20Thorn_0.pdf. Accessed August 29, 2019.
39. Dragomirescu, Dan Alexandru, Carmen Necula, and Raluca Simion (2009), "Romania: Emerging Market for Trafficking? Clients and Trafficked Women in Romania," in *Prostitution and Human Trafficking: Focus on Clients*, ed. Andrea Di Nicola, Andrea Cuaduro, Marco Lombardi, and Paolo Ruspini, New York: Springer, 123–62.
40. McClanahan, S., McClelland, G., Abram, K. and Teplin, L. (1999). Pathways Into Prostitution Among Female Jail Detainees and Their Implications for Mental Health Services. *Psychiatric Services*, [online] 50(12), pp.1606-1613. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10577881>.
41. Kraus, I. The "German Model", 17 years after the liberalization of prostitution. *Trauma & Prostitution*. Published June 2018. Accessed September 29, 2019. Available at: <https://www.trauma-and-prostitution.eu/en/2018/06/19/the-german-model-17-years-after-the-legalization-of-prostitution/>.
42. MacKinnon, C. Trafficking, Prostitution, and Inequality. *Harvard civil rights-civil liberties Law Review*. 2011;46(2):271-309.
43. Greene J, Ennett S, Ringwalt C. Prevalence and correlates of survival sex among runaway and homeless youth. *Am J Public Health*. 1999;89(9):1406-1409. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1406
44. *Surviving The Streets Of New York Experiences Of LGBTQ Youth, YMSM, And YSWW Engaged In Survival Sex- Research Report*. New York City: The Urban Institute; 2015.
45. Tyler K, Hoyt D, Whitbeck L, Cauce A. The Effects of a High-Risk Environment on the Sexual Victimization of Homeless and Runaway Youth. *Violence Vict*. 2001;16(4):441-455. doi:10.1891/0886-6708.16.4.441
46. Walls NE, Bell S. Correlates of Engaging in Survival Sex among Homeless Youth and Young Adults. *Journal of Sex Research*. 2011;48(5):423-436. doi:10.1080/00224499.2010.501916
47. James, S. E., Herman, J. L., Rankin, S., Keisling, M., Mottet, L., & Anafi, M. (2016). The Report of the 2015 U.S. Transgender Survey. Washington, DC: National Center for Transgender Equality. Available at: <https://transequality.org/sites/default/files/docs/usts/USTS-Full-Report-Dec17.pdf>

48. Chu, P. Prostitution May Lead to Deportation. World Journal. Published March 16, 2017. Accessed September 29, 2019. Available at: <https://voicesofny.org/2017/03/prostitution-may-lead-to-deportation/>.
49. Tyler K, Hoyt D, Whitbeck L, Cauce A. The Effects of a High-Risk Environment on the Sexual Victimization of Homeless and Runaway Youth. *Violence Vict.* 2001;16(4):441-455. doi:10.1891/0886-6708.16.4.441
50. Amnesty International. Amnesty International Policy on State Obligations to Respect, Protect and Fulfill the Human Rights of Sex Workers.; 2016. <https://www.amnesty.org/en/documents/pol30/4062/2016/en/>. Accessed September 23, 2018.
51. Prüss-Ustün A, Wolf J, Driscoll T, Degenhardt L, Neira M, Calleja J. HIV Due to Female Sex Work: Regional and Global Estimates. *PLoS ONE.* 2013;8(5):e63476. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063476.
52. Mathieson A, Branam E, Noble A. Prostitution Policy: Legalization, Decriminalization and the Nordic Model. *Seattle Journal for Social Justice.* 2016;14(2), article 10. Available at: <http://digitalcommons.law.seattleu.edu/sjsj/vol14/iss2/10>
53. Cho S, Dreher A, Neumayer E. Does Legalized Prostitution Increase Human Trafficking? *World Development.* 2013; 41:67-82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2012.05.023>
54. Jakobsson N and Kotsadam A. *Eur J Law Econ.* 2013;35:87. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10657-011-9232-0>
55. Unprotected: How Legalizing Prostitution Has Failed. Spiegel Online. <https://www.spiegel.de/international/germany/human-trafficking-persists-despite-legality-of-prostitution-in-germany-a-902533.html>. Published 2013.
56. Nordic model laws key to tackling trafficking for sexual exploitation. Immigrant Council of Ireland. <https://www.immigrantcouncil.ie/news/nordic-model-laws-key-tackling-trafficking-sexual-exploitation>. Published 2019.
57. Rothman, E. Should US Physicians Support the Decriminalization of Commercial Sex? *AMA J Ethics.* 2017;19(1):110-121. doi: 10.1001/journalofethics.2017.19.1.sect1-1701.
58. Beattie TS, Smilenova B, Krishnaratne S, Mazzuca A. Mental health problems among female sex workers in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Med.* 2020 Sep 15;17(9):e1003297. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1003297.

RELEVANT AMA POLICY

Commercial Exploitation and Human Trafficking of Minors H-60.912

Our AMA supports the development of laws and policies that utilize a public health framework to address the commercial sexual exploitation and sex trafficking of minors by promoting care and services for victims instead of arrest and prosecution.

Citation: Res. 009, A-17

Promoting Compassionate Care and Alternatives for Individuals Who Exchange Sex for Money or Goods H-515.958

Our AMA supports efforts to offer opportunities for a safe exit from the exchange of sex for money or goods if individuals choose to do so, and supports access to compassionate care and best practices. Our American Medical Association also supports legislation for programs that provide alternatives and resources for individuals who exchange sex for money or goods, and offer alternatives for those arrested on related charges rather than penalize them through criminal conviction and incarceration.

Citation: Res. 14, A-15; Modified: Res. 003, I-17

HIV/AIDS as a Global Public Health Priority H-20.922

In view of the urgent need to curtail the transmission of HIV infection in every segment of the population, our AMA:

- (1) Strongly urges, as a public health priority, that federal agencies (in cooperation with medical and public health associations and state governments) develop and implement effective programs and strategies for the prevention and control of the HIV/AIDS epidemic;
- (2) Supports adequate public and private funding for all aspects of the HIV/AIDS epidemic, including research, education, and patient care for the full spectrum of the disease. Public and private sector prevention and care efforts should be proportionate to the best available statistics on HIV incidence and prevalence rates;
- (3) Will join national and international campaigns for the prevention of HIV disease and care of persons with this disease;
- (4) Encourages cooperative efforts between state and local health agencies, with involvement of state and local medical societies, in the planning and delivery of state and community efforts directed at HIV testing, counseling, prevention, and care;
- (5) Encourages community-centered HIV/AIDS prevention planning and programs as essential complements to less targeted media communication efforts;
- (6) In coordination with appropriate medical specialty societies, supports addressing the special issues of heterosexual HIV infection, the role of intravenous drugs and HIV infection in women, and initiatives to prevent the spread of HIV infection through the exchange of sex for money or goods;

- (7) Supports working with concerned groups to establish appropriate and uniform policies for neonates, school children, and pregnant adolescents with HIV/AIDS and AIDS-related conditions;
- (8) Supports increased availability of anti-retroviral drugs and drugs to prevent active tuberculosis infection to countries where HIV/AIDS is pandemic; and
- (9) Supports programs raising physician awareness of the benefits of early treatment of HIV and of "treatment as prevention," and the need for linkage of newly HIV-positive persons to clinical care and partner services.

Citation: CSA Rep. 4, A-03; Reaffirmed: Res. 725, I-03; Reaffirmed: Res. 907, I-08; Reaffirmation I-11; Appended: Res. 516, A-13; Reaffirmation I-13; Reaffirmed: Res. 916, I-16; Modified: Res. 003, I-17

Global HIV/AIDS Prevention H-20.898

Our AMA supports continued funding efforts to address the global AIDS epidemic and disease prevention worldwide, without mandates determining what proportion of funding must be designated to treatment of HIV/AIDS, abstinence or be-faithful funding directives or grantee pledges of opposition to the exchange of sex for money or goods.

Citation: Res. 439; A-08; Modified: Res. 003, I-17

Physicians Response to Victims of Human Trafficking H-65.966

1. Our AMA encourages its Member Groups and Sections, as well as the Federation of Medicine, to raise awareness about human trafficking and inform physicians about the resources available to aid them in identifying and serving victims of human trafficking.

Physicians should be aware of the definition of human trafficking and of resources available to help them identify and address the needs of victims.

The US Department of State defines human trafficking as an activity in which someone obtains or holds a person in compelled service. The term covers forced labor and forced child labor, sex trafficking, including child sex trafficking, debt bondage, and child soldiers, among other forms of enslavement. Although it's difficult to know just how extensive the problem of human trafficking is, it's estimated that hundreds of thousands of individuals may be trafficked every year worldwide, the majority of whom are women and/or children.

The Polaris Project -

In addition to offering services directly to victims of trafficking through offices in Washington, DC and New Jersey and advocating for state and federal policy, the Polaris Project:

- Operates a 24-hour National Human Trafficking Hotline
- Maintains the National Human Trafficking Resource Center, which provides
 - a. An assessment tool for health care professionals
 - b. Online training in recognizing and responding to human trafficking in a health care context
 - c. Speakers and materials for in-person training
 - d. Links to local resources across the country

The Rescue & Restore Campaign -

The Department of Health and Human Services is designated under the Trafficking Victims Protection Act to assist victims of trafficking. Administered through the Office of Refugee Settlement, the Department's Rescue & Restore campaign provides tools for law enforcement personnel, social service organizations, and health care professionals.

2. Our AMA will help encourage the education of physicians about human trafficking and how to report cases of suspected human trafficking to appropriate authorities to provide a conduit to resources to address the victim's medical, legal and social needs.

Citation: (BOT Rep. 20, A-13; Appended: Res. 313, A-15)

Human Trafficking / Slavery Awareness D-170.992

Our AMA will study the awareness and effectiveness of physician education regarding the recognition and reporting of human trafficking and slavery.

Citation: Res. 015, A-18

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 011
(A-22)

Introduced by: Medical Student Section

Subject: Evaluating Scientific Journal Articles for Racial and Ethnic Bias

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

1 Whereas, Race is a self-identified social construct that results in differential treatment of groups
2 that leads to social inequity on people's health^{1,2}; and
3

4 Whereas, According to the U.S. Census 2020 Bureau, ethnicity refers to an individual's self-
5 identification of their origin or descent, "roots," heritage, or place where the individual or their
6 parents or ancestors were born³; and
7

8 Whereas, Our AMA recognizes that race and ethnicity are conceptually distinct (H-460.924);
9 and
10

11 Whereas, In practice, race and ethnicity are often inappropriately used interchangeably as
12 demonstrated across the United States where the terms "Latino/a/x, Hispanic, Spanish and
13 Chicano/a/x" have been used interchangeably with race in case report⁴⁻⁷; and
14

15 Whereas, Racial and ethnic categories are dependent on self-identification and self-reporting of
16 origin and cultural heritage, constructs which can change over time^{8,9}; and
17

18 Whereas, Racial and ethnic classification is highly inconsistent in literature, and evidence-based
19 consensus is necessary for optimal use of self-identified race as well as geographical
20 ancestry¹⁰; and
21

22 Whereas, In 2017, our AMA recognized assumptions attributed to race and ethnicity can
23 contribute to the inequitable treatment of patients as it relates to evidence-based medicine¹¹;
24 and
25

26 Whereas, A current review examining ten studies and over 1.5 million participants demonstrated
27 an association between ethnic minorities including Black, Hispanic, South Asian, Southeast
28 Asian, and Chinese, and greater wait time for medical care for chest pain in the emergency
29 department¹²; and
30

31 Whereas, In a study of 4.2 million Medicare beneficiaries who utilized home health services in
32 2015, there was substantial variation between states in administrative data misclassification of
33 self-identified Hispanic, Asian American/Pacific Islander, and American Indian/Alaska Native
34 beneficiaries¹³; and
35

36 Whereas, In a systematic analysis of race/ethnicity and GERD, it was found that only 25 of the
37 62 studies provided complete descriptions of their study populations¹⁴; and
38

39 Whereas, Conclusions drawn from past interpretations of race and ethnicity have been found to
40 be inconsistent with current understanding of race and ethnicity¹⁵; and

Whereas, The use of race as a correction factor in the calculation of estimated glomerular filtration (eGFR) has been shown to be unnecessary and less precise than biological measures and has led to irreproducible results¹⁶; and

Whereas, The race correction factor in eGFR may lead to a delayed referral to a specialist or transplantation and worse outcomes in Black patients¹⁶; and

Whereas, Race correction factors are still commonplace in cardiology, nephrology, urology, and obstetrics even though many were developed under the belief that race is a useful proxy for biology¹⁶⁻¹⁸; and

Whereas, Past literature has incorrectly favored a genetic explanation for the difference in birth outcomes between African American and White women⁴; and

Whereas, Current literature states that environmental factors play a greater role in explaining the greater risk of infant mortality in Black women¹⁹; and

Whereas, The rates of low birth weight and very low birth weight babies among sub-Saharan African-born Black women is less than that of U.S.-born Black women and approximates those of U.S.-born White women, suggesting no significant genetic basis to race differences⁴; and

Whereas, Our AMA Board of Trustees on June 7th, 2020 recognized racism as an urgent threat to public health and resolved to work towards dismantling racist and discriminatory practices across all of healthcare care, and our House of Delegates has adopted multiple policies recognizing racism as a public health threat (H-65.952) and the harm of racial essentialism in medicine and of using race as biology (D-350.981, H-65.953)²⁰; and

Whereas, Our AMA states that “race and ethnicity are valuable research variables when used and interpreted appropriately” and “continues to monitor developments in the field of racial and ethnic classification so that it can assist physicians in interpreting these findings and their implications for health care for patients” (H-460.924); and

Whereas, The tools for the evaluation of research integrity exist to determine the strength of their validity and limits of their bias, however lack similar tools to evaluate racial and ethnic bias²¹; therefore be it

RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association support major journal publishers issuing guidelines for interpreting previous research which define race and ethnicity by outdated means (New HOD Policy); and be it further

RESOLVED, That our AMA support major journal publishers implementing a screening method for future research submission concerning the incorrect use of race and ethnicity. (New HOD Policy)

Fiscal Note: Minimal - less than \$1,000

Received: 04/08/22

References

1. Roberts D. *Fatal Invention: How Science, Politics, and Big Business Re-Crete Race in the Twenty-First Century*. New York, NY: The New Press; 2011.
2. Witzig R. The medicalization of race: scientific legitimization of a flawed social construct. *Ann Intern Med*. 1996;125(8):675-679. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-125-8-199610150-00008
3. Ethnic Origin or Race. Census. <https://www.census.gov/glossary/>. Accessed August 27, 2020.

4. Matoba N, Collins JW. Racial disparity in infant mortality. *Seminars in Perinatology*. 2017;41(6):354-359. doi:10.1053/j.semperi.2017.07.003
5. Pareek M, Bangash MN, Pareek N, et al. Ethnicity and COVID-19: an urgent public health research priority. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10234):1421-1422. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30922-3
6. Pan D, Sze Shirley, Minhas JS, et al. The impact of ethnicity on clinical outcomes in COVID-19: A systematic review. *Lancet*. 2020;(100404). doi: [10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100404](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100404)
7. Kim L, Whitaker M, O'Halloran A, et al. Hospitalization Rates and Characteristics of Children Aged <18 Years Hospitalized with Laboratory-Confirmed COVID-19 — COVID-NET, 14 States, March 1–July 25, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. ePub: 7 August 2020. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6932e3external icon>.
8. Anderson MR, Moscou S, Fulchon C, Neuspiel DR. The Role of Race in the Clinical Presentation. *Fam Med* 2001;33(6):430-434
9. Liebler C, Rastogi S, Fernandez L, Noon J, Ennis SR. Americas Churning Races: Race and Ethnic Response Changes between Census 2000 and the 2010 Census. 2017. doi:10.31235/osf.io/d837h
10. Zhang F, Finkelstein J. Inconsistency in race and ethnic classification in pharmacogenetics studies and its potential clinical implications. *Pharmgenomics Pers Med*. 2019;12:107-123. Published 2019 Jul 2. doi:10.2147/PGPM.S207449
11. Miller, R. Black, gay, female: Multiple identities affect health outcomes. American Medical Association. Accessed September 20, 2020. <https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-care/population-care/black-gay-female-multiple-ide>
12. Wechkunanukul K, Grantham H, Damarell R, Clark RA. The association between ethnicity and delay in seeking medical care for chest pain. *JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports*. 2016;14(7):208-235. doi:10.11124/jbisrir-2016-003012
13. Grafova IB, Jarrín OF. Beyond Black and White: Mapping Misclassification of Medicare Beneficiaries Race and Ethnicity [published online ahead of print, 2020 Jul 7]. *Med Care Res Rev*. 2020;1077558720935733. doi:10.1177/1077558720935733
14. Craven MR, Kia L, O'Dwyer LC, Stern E, Taft TH, Keefer L. Systematic review: methodological flaws in racial/ethnic reporting for gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Dis Esophagus*. 2018;31(3):dox154. doi:10.1093/dote/dox154
15. Vyas DA, Eisenstein LG, Jones DS. Hidden in Plain Sight — Reconsidering the Use of Race Correction in Clinical Algorithms. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2020;383(9):874-882. doi:10.1056/nejms2004740
16. Vyas DA, Eisenstein LG, Jones DS. Hidden in Plain Sight — Reconsidering the Use of Race Correction in Clinical Algorithms. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2020;383(9):874-882. doi:10.1056/nejms2004740
17. Bonham VL, Green ED, Pérez-Stable EJ. Examining How Race, Ethnicity, and Ancestry Data Are Used in Biomedical Research. *Jama*. 2018;320(15):1533. doi:10.1001/jama.2018.13609
18. Hernandez N, Song Y, Noble V, Eisner B. Pd47-10 Predicting Ureteral Stones In Emergency Department Patients With Flank Pain: An External Validation Of The Stone Score. *Journal of Urology*. 2016;195(4S). doi:10.1016/j.juro.2016.02.2698
19. El-Sayed AM, Paczkowski M, Rutherford CG, Keyes KM, Galea S. Social Environments, Genetics, and Black-White Disparities in Infant Mortality. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol*. 2015;29(6):546-551. doi:10.1111/ppe.12227
20. AMA Board of Trustees pledges action against racism, police brutality. <https://www.ama-assn.org/press-center/ama-statements/ama-board-trustees-pledges-action-against-racism-police-brutality>. Published June 7, 2020. Accessed August 27, 2020.
21. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Higgins JPT. Tools for assessing risk of reporting biases in studies and syntheses of studies: a systematic review. *BMJ Open*. 2018;8(3):e019703. Published 2018 Mar 14. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019703

RELEVANT AMA POLICY

Code of Medical Ethics 7.1.5

Biomedical and health research is intended to advance medical knowledge to benefit future patients. To achieve those goals physicians who are involved in such research maintain the highest standards of professionalism and scientific integrity.

Physicians with oversight responsibilities in biomedical or health research have a responsibility to ensure that allegations of scientific misconduct are addressed promptly and fairly. They should ensure that procedures to resolve such allegations:

- (a) Do not damage science.
- (b) Resolve charges expeditiously.
- (c) Treat all parties fairly and justly. Review procedures should be sensitive to parties' reputations and vulnerabilities.
- (d) Maintain the integrity of the process. Real or perceived conflicts of interest must be avoided.
- (e) Maintain accurate and thorough documentation throughout the process.
- (f) Maintain the highest degree of confidentiality.
- (g) Take appropriate action to discharge responsibilities to all individuals involved, as well as to the public, research sponsors, the scientific literature, and the scientific community.

Issued: 2016

Code of Medical Ethics Opinion 8.5

Stereotypes, prejudice, or bias based on gender expectations and other arbitrary evaluations of any individual can manifest in a variety of subtle ways. Differences in treatment that are not directly related to differences in individual patients' clinical needs or preferences constitute inappropriate

variations in health care. Such variations may contribute to health outcomes that are considerably worse in members of some populations than those of members of majority populations.

This represents a significant challenge for physicians, who ethically are called on to provide the same quality of care to all patients without regard to medically irrelevant personal characteristics. To fulfill this professional obligation in their individual practices physicians should:

- (a) Provide care that meets patient needs and respects patient preferences.
- (b) Avoid stereotyping patients.
- (c) Examine their own practices to ensure that inappropriate considerations about race, gender identify, sexual orientation, sociodemographic factors, or other nonclinical factors, do not affect clinical judgment.
- (d) Work to eliminate biased behavior toward patients by other health care professionals and staff who come into contact with patients.
- (e) Encourage shared decision making.
- (f) Cultivate effective communication and trust by seeking to better understand factors that can influence patients' health care decisions, such as cultural traditions, health beliefs and health literacy, language or other barriers to communication and fears or misperceptions about the health care system.

The medical profession has an ethical responsibility to:

- (g) Help increase awareness of health care disparities.
- (h) Strive to increase the diversity of the physician workforce as a step toward reducing health care disparities.
- (i) Support research that examines health care disparities, including research on the unique health needs of all genders, ethnic groups, and medically disadvantaged populations, and the development of quality measures and resources to help reduce disparities.

Issued: 2016

Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health Care H-350.974

1. Our AMA recognizes racial and ethnic health disparities as a major public health problem in the United States and as a barrier to effective medical diagnosis and treatment. The AMA maintains a position of zero tolerance toward racially or culturally based disparities in care; encourages individuals to report physicians to local medical societies where racial or ethnic discrimination is suspected; and will continue to support physician cultural awareness initiatives and related consumer education activities. The elimination of racial and ethnic disparities in health care an issue of highest priority for the American Medical Association.

2. The AMA emphasizes three approaches that it believes should be given high priority:

- A. Greater access - the need for ensuring that black Americans without adequate health care insurance are given the means for access to necessary health care. In particular, it is urgent that Congress address the need for Medicaid reform.
- B. Greater awareness - racial disparities may be occurring despite the lack of any intent or purposeful efforts to treat patients differently on the basis of race. The AMA encourages physicians to examine their own practices to ensure that inappropriate considerations do not affect their clinical judgment. In addition, the profession should help increase the awareness of its members of racial disparities in medical treatment decisions by engaging in open and broad discussions about the issue. Such discussions should take place in medical school curriculum, in medical journals, at professional conferences, and as part of professional peer review activities.
- C. Practice parameters - the racial disparities in access to treatment indicate that inappropriate considerations may enter the decision making process. The efforts of the specialty societies, with the coordination and assistance of our AMA, to develop practice parameters, should include criteria that would preclude or diminish racial disparities

3. Our AMA encourages the development of evidence-based performance measures that adequately identify socioeconomic and racial/ethnic disparities in quality. Furthermore, our AMA supports the use of evidence-based guidelines to promote the consistency and equity of care for all persons.

4. Our AMA: (a) actively supports the development and implementation of training regarding implicit bias, diversity and inclusion in all medical schools and residency programs; (b) will identify and publicize effective strategies for educating residents in all specialties about disparities in their fields

related to race, ethnicity, and all populations at increased risk, with particular regard to access to care and health outcomes, as well as effective strategies for educating residents about managing the implicit biases of patients and their caregivers; and (c) supports research to identify the most effective strategies for educating physicians on how to eliminate disparities in health outcomes in all at-risk populations.

Citation: CLRPD Rep. 3, I-98; Appended and Reaffirmed: CSA Rep.1, I-02; Reaffirmed: BOT Rep. 4, A-03; Reaffirmed in lieu of Res. 106, A-12; Appended: Res. 952, I-17; Reaffirmed: CMS Rep. 10, A-19; Reaffirmed: CMS Rep. 3, A-21; Reaffirmed: Joint CMS/CSAPH Rep. 1, I-21

Reducing Discrimination in the Practice of Medicine and Health Care Education D-350.984

Our AMA will pursue avenues to collaborate with the American Public Health Association's National Campaign Against Racism in those areas where AMA's current activities align with the campaign.

Citation: BOT Action in response to referred for decision Res. 602, I-15

Improving the Health of Black and Minority Populations H-350.972

Our AMA supports:

- (1) A greater emphasis on minority access to health care and increased health promotion and disease prevention activities designed to reduce the occurrence of illnesses that are highly prevalent among disadvantaged minorities.
- (2) Authorization for the Office of Minority Health to coordinate federal efforts to better understand and reduce the incidence of illness among U.S. minority Americans as recommended in the 1985 Report to the Secretary's Task Force on Black and Minority Health.
- (3) Advising our AMA representatives to the LCME to request data collection on medical school curricula concerning the health needs of minorities.
- (4) The promotion of health education through schools and community organizations aimed at teaching skills of health care system access, health promotion, disease prevention, and early diagnosis.

Citation: CLRPD Rep. 3, I-98; Reaffirmation A-01; Modified: CSAPH Rep. 1, A-11; Reaffirmed: CEJA Rep. 1, A-21

Reducing Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health Care D-350.995

Our AMA's initiative on reducing racial and ethnic disparities in health care will include the following recommendations:

- (1) Studying health system opportunities and barriers to eliminating racial and ethnic disparities in health care.
- (2) Working with public health and other appropriate agencies to increase medical student, resident physician, and practicing physician awareness of racial and ethnic disparities in health care and the role of professionalism and professional obligations in efforts to reduce health care disparities.
- (3) Promoting diversity within the profession by encouraging publication of successful outreach programs that increase minority applicants to medical schools, and take appropriate action to support such programs, for example, by expanding the "Doctors Back to School" program into secondary schools in minority communities.

Citation: BOT Rep. 4, A-03; Reaffirmation A-11; Reaffirmation: A-16; Reaffirmed: CMS Rep. 10, A-19

Strategies for Eliminating Minority Health Care Disparities D-350.996

Our American Medical Association will continue to identify and incorporate strategies specific to the elimination of minority health care disparities in its ongoing advocacy and public health efforts, as appropriate.

Citation: (Res. 731, I-02; Modified: CCB/CLRPD Rep. 4, A-12)

Racism as a Public Health Threat H-65.952

1. Our AMA acknowledges that, although the primary drivers of racial health inequity are systemic and structural racism, racism and unconscious bias within medical research and health care delivery have caused and continue to cause harm to marginalized communities and society as a whole.

2. Our AMA recognizes racism, in its systemic, cultural, interpersonal, and other forms, as a serious threat to public health, to the advancement of health equity, and a barrier to appropriate medical care.
3. Our AMA will identify a set of current, best practices for healthcare institutions, physician practices, and academic medical centers to recognize, address, and mitigate the effects of racism on patients, providers, international medical graduates, and populations.
4. Our AMA encourages the development, implementation, and evaluation of undergraduate, graduate, and continuing medical education programs and curricula that engender greater understanding of: (a) the causes, influences, and effects of systemic, cultural, institutional, and interpersonal racism; and (b) how to prevent and ameliorate the health effects of racism.
5. Our AMA: (a) supports the development of policy to combat racism and its effects; and (b) encourages governmental agencies and nongovernmental organizations to increase funding for research into the epidemiology of risks and damages related to racism and how to prevent or repair them.
6. Our AMA will work to prevent and combat the influences of racism and bias in innovative health technologies.

Citation: Res. 5, I-20

Racial Essentialism in Medicine D-350.981

1. Our AMA recognizes that the false conflation of race with inherent biological or genetic traits leads to inadequate examination of true underlying disease risk factors, which exacerbates existing health inequities.
2. Our AMA encourages characterizing race as a social construct, rather than an inherent biological trait, and recognizes that when race is described as a risk factor, it is more likely to be a proxy for influences including structural racism than a proxy for genetics.
3. Our AMA will collaborate with the AAMC, AACOM, NBME, NBOME, ACGME and other appropriate stakeholders, including minority physician organizations and content experts, to identify and address aspects of medical education and board examinations which may perpetuate teachings, assessments, and practices that reinforce institutional and structural racism.
4. Our AMA will collaborate with appropriate stakeholders and content experts to develop recommendations on how to interpret or improve clinical algorithms that currently include race-based correction factors.
5. Our AMA will support research that promotes antiracist strategies to mitigate algorithmic bias in medicine.

Citation: Res. 10, I-20

Elimination of Race as a Proxy for Ancestry, Genetics, and Biology in Medical Education, Research and Clinical Practice H-65.953

1. Our AMA recognizes that race is a social construct and is distinct from ethnicity, genetic ancestry, or biology.
2. Our AMA supports ending the practice of using race as a proxy for biology or genetics in medical education, research, and clinical practice.
3. Our AMA encourages undergraduate medical education, graduate medical education, and continuing medical education programs to recognize the harmful effects of presenting race as biology in medical education and that they work to mitigate these effects through curriculum change that: (a) demonstrates how the category “race” can influence health outcomes; (b) that supports race as a social construct and not a biological determinant and (c) presents race within a socio-ecological model of individual, community and society to explain how racism and systemic oppression result in racial health disparities.
4. Our AMA recommends that clinicians and researchers focus on genetics and biology, the experience of racism, and social determinants of health, and not race, when describing risk factors for disease.

Citation: Res. 11, I-20;

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 012
(A-22)

Introduced by: Medical Student Section

Subject: Expanding the Definition of Iatrogenic Infertility to Include Gender Affirming Interventions

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

1 Whereas, The World Health Organization has unequivocally defined infertility as a disease state
2 and a cause of disability¹; and
3

4 Whereas, Gender-affirming hormone therapy (GAHT) includes testosterone therapy for
5 transgender men, which can suppress ovulation, and estrogen therapy for transgender women,
6 which can lead to impaired spermatogenesis and testicular atrophy^{2,3}; and
7

8 Whereas, Gender-affirming surgery (GAS) can include hysterectomy and oophorectomy, which
9 results in permanent sterility^{2,3}; and
10

11 Whereas, The 2015 U.S. Transgender Survey of almost 28,000 people revealed that 49% of
12 respondents had received GAHT and 25% had undergone some form of GAS⁴; and
13

14 Whereas, The World Professional Association for Transgender Health (WPATH), the Endocrine
15 Society, and the American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM) all recommend that
16 transgender individuals receive counseling regarding potential loss of fertility and future
17 reproductive options before initiating GAHT or undergoing GAS⁵⁻⁷; and
18

19 Whereas, As outlined in a recent AMA/GLMA issue brief, Section 1557 of the Affordable Care
20 Act created protections barring insurance discrimination based on sexual orientation and gender
21 identity, although the current Administration has declined to defend this regulation and has been
22 deferential to states⁸⁻¹⁰; and
23

24 Whereas, Employers and states that have implemented coverage of transition-related services
25 have demonstrated minimal or no costs with vast immaterial/societal benefits^{10,11}; and
26

27 Whereas, Despite clear expert recommendations, anti-discrimination laws, and evidence of
28 economic benefit, it is still difficult for transgender patients to obtain insurance coverage for
29 gender-affirming care, fertility counseling, and gamete preservation^{3,4,12}; and
30

31 Whereas, As of 2020, 17 states have infertility coverage mandates for private insurers, with
32 specific requirements determined on a state-by-state basis^{12,13}; and
33

34 Whereas, Seven states (Rhode Island, Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, New Hampshire, New
35 York, and Maryland) specify mandated coverage for iatrogenic infertility, but language around
36 qualifying diagnoses is variable between states^{12,13}; and

Whereas, “Iatrogenic infertility” has been defined in state legislation as impairment of fertility caused by surgery, radiation, chemotherapy, or other medically necessary treatment affecting reproductive organs or processes¹²; and

Whereas, GLMA policy and WPATH Standards of Care support that GAHT and GAS are medically necessary treatments for gender dysphoria, and our AMA supports coverage of medically necessary treatments for gender dysphoria as recommended by the patient’s physician (H-185.950)^{7,14}; and

Whereas, Our AMA supports the right to seek fertility preservation services for members of the transgender and non-binary community seeking gender-affirming hormone therapy or surgery, but does not currently address insurance coverage for these services (H-65.956); and

Whereas, Our AMA will lobby for appropriate federal legislation requiring payment for fertility preservation therapy services by all payers when iatrogenic infertility is “caused directly or indirectly by necessary medical treatments as determined by a licensed physician” (H-185.990); and

Whereas, As legislation around coverage of fertility preservation continues to evolve, it is imperative that equitable insurance coverage for transgender patients is ensured; therefore be it

RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association amend policy H-185.990, “Infertility and Fertility Preservation Insurance Coverage.” by addition to read as follows:

Infertility and Fertility Preservation Insurance Coverage H-185.990

It is the policy of the AMA that (1) Our AMA encourages third party payer health insurance carriers to make available insurance benefits for the diagnosis and treatment of recognized male and female infertility; (2) Our AMA supports payment for fertility preservation therapy services by all payers when iatrogenic infertility may be caused directly or indirectly by necessary medical treatments as determined by a licensed physician, and will lobby for appropriate federal legislation requiring payment for fertility preservation therapy services by all payers when iatrogenic infertility may be caused directly or indirectly by necessary medical treatments as determined by a licensed physician; and (3) Our AMA encourages the inclusion of impaired fertility as a consequence of gender-affirming hormone therapy and gender-affirming surgery within legislative definitions of iatrogenic infertility. (Modify Current HOD Policy); and be it further

RESOLVED, That our AMA amend policy H-185.950, “Removing Financial Barriers to Care for Transgender Patients,” by addition to read as follows:

Removing Financial Barriers to Care for Transgender Patients H-185.950

Our AMA supports public and private health insurance coverage for medically necessary treatment of gender dysphoria as recommended by the patient’s physician, including gender-affirming hormone therapy and gender-affirming surgery. (Modify Current HOD Policy)

Fiscal Note: Minimal - less than \$1,000

Received: 04/08/22

References:

1. [World Health Organization. Infertility definitions and terminology. WHO.
http://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/topics/infertility/definitions/en/. Accessed March 29, 2020.](http://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/topics/infertility/definitions/en/)
2. [Cheng PJ, Pastuszak AW, Myers JB, Goodwin IA, Hotaling JM. Fertility concerns of the transgender patient. *Transl Androl Urol.* 2019;8\(3\):209-218. doi:10.21037/tau.2019.05.09](https://doi.org/10.21037/tau.2019.05.09)
3. [Mitu K. Transgender Reproductive Choice and Fertility Preservation. *AMA J Ethics.* 2016;18\(11\):1119-1125. doi:10.1001/journalofethics.2016.18.11.pfor2-1611.](https://doi.org/10.1001/journalofethics.2016.18.11.pfor2-1611)
4. [James SE, Herman JL, Rankin S, Keisling M, Mottet L, Anafi M. The Report of the 2015 U.S. Transgender Survey.
Washington, DC: National Center for Transgender Equality; 2016. <https://transequality.org/sites/default/files/docs/usts/USTS-Full-Report-Dec17.pdf>. Accessed March 29, 2020.](https://transequality.org/sites/default/files/docs/usts/USTS-Full-Report-Dec17.pdf)
5. [Hembree WC, Cohen-Kettenis PT, Gooren L, et al. Endocrine Treatment of Gender-Dysphoric/Gender-Incongruent Persons: An
Endocrine Society Clinical Practice Guideline. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2017;102\(11\):3869-3903. doi:10.1210/jc.2017-01658](https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2017-01658)
6. [Ethics Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine. Access to fertility services by transgender persons: an
Ethics Committee opinion. *Fertil Steril.* 2015;104\(5\):1111-1115. doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2015.08.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2015.08.021)
7. [Coleman E, Bockting W, Botzer M, et al. Standards of Care for the Health of Transsexual, Transgender, and Gender-
Nonconforming People, Version 7. *Int J Transgenderism.* 2012;13\(4\):165-232. doi:10.1080/15532739.2011.700873](https://doi.org/10.1080/15532739.2011.700873)
8. [Office for Civil Rights \(OCR\). Laws and Regulations Enforced by OCR. HHS.gov. <https://www.hhs.gov/civil-rights/for-providers/laws-regulations-guidance/laws/index.html>. Published August 22, 2007. Accessed March 29, 2020.](https://www.hhs.gov/civil-rights/for-providers/laws-regulations-guidance/laws/index.html)
9. [American Medical Association. Issue Brief: Health Insurance Coverage for Gender-Affirming Care of Transgender Patients.;
2019;5. <https://www.ama-assn.org/system/files/2019-03/transgender-coverage-issue-brief.pdf>. Accessed March 29, 2020.](https://www.ama-assn.org/system/files/2019-03/transgender-coverage-issue-brief.pdf)
10. [Baker KE. The Future of Transgender Coverage. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;376\(19\):1801-1804. doi:10.1056/NEJMp1702427](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1702427)
11. [Padula WV, Heru S, Campbell JD. Societal Implications of Health Insurance Coverage for Medically Necessary Services in the
U.S. Transgender Population: A Cost-Effectiveness Analysis. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2016;31\(4\):394-401. doi:10.1007/s11606-015-3529-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-015-3529-6)
12. [Kyweluk MA, Reinecke J, Chen D. Fertility Preservation Legislation in the United States: Potential Implications for Transgender
Individuals. *LGBT Health.* 2019;6\(7\):331-334. doi:10.1089/lgbt.2019.0017](https://doi.org/10.1089/lgbt.2019.0017)
13. [Discover Infertility Treatment Coverage by U.S. State. RESOLVE: The National Infertility Association. <https://resolve.org/what-are-my-options/insurance-coverage/infertility-coverage-state/>. Published 2020. Accessed March 29, 2020.](https://resolve.org/what-are-my-options/insurance-coverage/infertility-coverage-state/)
14. [GLMA: Health Professionals Advancing LGBTQ Equality. 127-18-101: Transgender Healthcare. GLMA: Health Professionals
Advancing LGBTQ Equality. <https://transcendlegal.org/glma-health-professionals-advancing-lgbtq-equality>. Published 2018. Accessed March 29, 2020.](https://transcendlegal.org/glma-health-professionals-advancing-lgbtq-equality)

RELEVANT AMA POLICY**Right for Gamete Preservation Therapies H-65.956**

1. Fertility preservation services are recognized by our AMA as an option for the members of the transgender and non-binary community who wish to preserve future fertility through gamete preservation prior to undergoing gender affirming medical or surgical therapies.
2. Our AMA supports the right of transgender or non-binary individuals to seek gamete preservation therapies.

Citation: Res. 005, A-19

Infertility and Fertility Preservation Insurance Coverage H-185.990

1. Our AMA encourages third party payer health insurance carriers to make available insurance benefits for the diagnosis and treatment of recognized male and female infertility.
2. Our AMA supports payment for fertility preservation therapy services by all payers when iatrogenic infertility may be caused directly or indirectly by necessary medical treatments as determined by a licensed physician, and will lobby for appropriate federal legislation requiring payment for fertility preservation therapy services by all payers when iatrogenic infertility may be caused directly or indirectly by necessary medical treatments as determined by a licensed physician.

Citation: (Res. 150, A-88; Reaffirmed: Sunset Report, I-98; Reaffirmed: CMS Rep. 4, A-08; Appended: Res. 114, A-13; Modified: Res. 809, I-14)

Removing Financial Barriers to Care for Transgender Patients H-185.950

Our AMA supports public and private health insurance coverage for treatment of gender dysphoria as recommended by the patient's physician.

Citation: Res. 122; A-08; Modified: Res. 05, A-16

Sexual Orientation and/or Gender Identity as Health Insurance Criteria H-180.980

The AMA opposes the denial of health insurance on the basis of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Citation: Res. 178, A-88; Reaffirmed: Sub. Res. 101, I-97; Reaffirmed: CMS Rep. 9, A-07;

Modified: BOT Rep. 11, A-07; Reaffirmed: CMS Rep. 01, A-17

Infertility Benefits for Veterans H-510.984

1. Our AMA supports lifting the congressional ban on the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) from covering in vitro fertilization (IVF) costs for veterans who have become infertile due to service-related injuries.
2. Our AMA encourages interested stakeholders to collaborate in lifting the congressional ban on the VA from covering IVF costs for veterans who have become infertile due to service-related injuries.
3. Our AMA encourages the Department of Defense (DOD) to offer service members fertility counseling and information on relevant health care benefits provided through TRICARE and the VA at pre-deployment and during the medical discharge process.
4. Our AMA supports efforts by the DOD and VA to offer service members comprehensive health care services to preserve their ability to conceive a child and provide treatment within the standard of care to address infertility due to service-related injuries.
5. Our AMA supports additional research to better understand whether higher rates of infertility in servicewomen may be linked to military service, and which approaches might reduce the burden of infertility among service women.

Citation: CMS Rep. 01, I-16; Appended: Res. 513, A-19;

Storage & Use of Human Embryos- Ethics 4.2.5

Embryos created during cycles of in vitro fertilization (IVF) that are not intended for immediate transfer are often frozen for future use. The primary goal is to minimize risk and burden by minimizing the number of cycles of ovarian stimulation and egg retrieval that an IVF patient undergoes. While embryos are usually frozen with the expectation that they will be used for reproductive purposes by the prospective parent(s) for whom they were created, frozen embryos may also offer hope to other prospective parent(s) who would otherwise not be able to have a child. Frozen embryos also offer the prospect of advancing scientific knowledge when made available for research purposes. In all of these possible scenarios, ethical concerns arise regarding who has authority to make decisions about stored embryos and what kinds of choices they may ethically make. Decision-making authority with respect to stored embryos varies depending on the relationships between the prospective rearing parent(s) and any individual(s) who may provide gametes. At stake are individuals' interests in procreating. When gametes are provided by the prospective rearing parent(s) or a known donor, physicians who provide clinical services that include creation and storage of embryos have an ethical responsibility to proactively discuss with the parties whether, when, and under what circumstances stored embryos may be:

- (a) Used by a surviving party for purposes of reproduction in the event of the death of a partner or gamete donor.
- (b) Made available to other patients for purposes of reproduction.
- (c) Made available to investigators for research purposes, in keeping with ethics guidance and on the understanding that embryo(s) used for research will not subsequently be used for reproduction.
- (d) Allowed to thaw and deteriorate.
- (e) Otherwise disposed of.

Under no circumstances should physicians participate in the sale of stored embryos

Issued: 2016

Assisted Reproductive Technology- Ethics 4.2.1

Assisted reproduction offers hope to patients who want children but are unable to have a child without medical assistance. In many cases, patients who seek assistance have been repeatedly frustrated in their attempts to have a child and are psychologically very vulnerable. Patients whose health insurance does not cover assisted reproductive services may also be financially vulnerable. Candor and respect are thus essential for ethical practice. "Assisted reproductive technology" is understood as all treatments or procedures that include the handling of human oocytes or embryos. It encompasses an increasingly complex range of interventions—such as therapeutic donor insemination, ovarian stimulation, ova and sperm retrieval, in vitro fertilization, gamete intrafallopian transfer—and may involve multiple participants. Physicians should increase their awareness of infertility treatments and options for their patients. Physicians who offer assisted reproductive services should:

- (a) Value the well-being of the patient and potential offspring as paramount.
- (b) Ensure that all advertising for services and promotional materials are accurate and not misleading.
- (c) Provide patients with all of the information they need to make an informed decision, including investigational techniques to be used (if any); risks, benefits, and limitations of treatment options and alternatives, for the patient and potential offspring; accurate, clinic-specific success rates; and costs.
- (d) Provide patients with psychological assessment, support and counseling or a referral to such services.
- (e) Base fees on the value of the service provided. Physicians may enter into agreements with patients to refund all or a portion of fees if the patient does not conceive where such agreements are legally permitted.
- (f) Not discriminate against patients who have difficult-to-treat conditions, whose infertility has multiple causes, or on the basis of race, socioeconomic status, or sexual orientation or gender identity.
- (g) Participate in the development of peer-established guidelines and self-regulation.

Issued: 2016

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 013
(A-22)

Introduced by: Resident and Fellow Section

Subject: Recognition of National Anti-Lynching Legislation as Public Health Initiative

Referred to: Reference Committee Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

1 Whereas, Lynching is defined “as to put to death by mob action without legal approval or
2 permission”^{1,2}; and
3

4 Whereas, In the 20th century lynching occurred mostly in southern states by White southerners
5 against Black southerners, however, it was not limited to this region alone nor to Black
6 Americans. Other minority populations were vulnerable to experiencing lynching such as
7 Latinos, Native Americans and Asian Americans^{3,4}; and
8

9 Whereas, Historical trauma is defined by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services as
10 “multigenerational trauma experienced by a specific cultural, racial or ethnic group”^{3,4}; and
11

12 Whereas, Health outcomes and impact related to historical trauma can be defined by the U.S.
13 Department of Health and Human Services as depression, fixation on trauma, low self-esteem,
14 anger and self-destructive behavior and can be experienced by descendants who have not
15 directly experienced a traumatic event⁴⁻⁷; and
16

17 Whereas, Today’s vulnerable populations experience historical trauma that can be contributed
18 to lynching practices under the Jim Crow period (1870-1965)⁷; and
19

20 Whereas, In 1947, the journal of the National Medical Association called for lynching to be
21 named a federal offense as “...there is only one remedy and that is for Congress to enact a law
22 making lynching a federal crime to be tried not by a local jury but in a United States court...”⁸;
23 and
24

25 Whereas, Current bill H.R.55 introduced in the 117th Congress known as the “Emmett Till
26 Antilynching Act” has been introduced into Congress for more than 120 years and has not
27 passed due to Congressional mishandlings^{9,10}; and
28

29 Whereas, H.R. 55 and previous iterations of this Act are focused on amending section 249 of
30 Title 18, United States Code, to specify lynching as a hate crime act^{9,10}; and
31

32 Whereas, Current AMA policy H-65.965, “Support of Human Rights and Freedom” states, “Our
33 AMA recognizes that hate crimes pose a significant threat to the public health and social welfare
34 of the citizens of the United States, urges expedient passage of appropriate hate crimes
35 prevention legislation in accordance with our AMA’s policy through letters to members of
36 Congress; and registers support for hate crimes prevention legislation, via letter, to the
37 President of the United States”; therefore be it

1 RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association support national legislation that recognizes
 2 lynching and mob violence towards an individual or group of individuals as a hate crimes (New
 3 HOD Policy); and be it further
 4

5 RESOLVED, That our AMA work with relevant stakeholders to support medical students,
 6 trainees and physicians receiving education on the inter-generational health outcomes related to
 7 lynching and its impact on the health of vulnerable populations (Directive to Take Action); and
 8 be it further
 9

10 RESOLVED, That AMA policy H-65.965, Support of Human Rights and Freedom, be amended
 11 by addition to read as follows:
 12

13 Our AMA: (1) continues to support the dignity of the individual, human rights and the
 14 sanctity of human life, (2) reaffirms its long-standing policy that there is no basis for the
 15 denial to any human being of equal rights, privileges and responsibilities commensurate
 16 with his or her individual capabilities and ethical character because of an individual's
 17 sex, sexual orientation, gender, gender identity or transgender status, race, religion,
 18 disability, ethnic origin, national origin or age; (3) opposes any discrimination based on
 19 an individual's sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, race, phenotypic appearance,
 20 religion, disability, ethnic origin, national origin or age and any other such reprehensible
 21 policies; (4) recognizes that hate crimes pose a significant threat to the public health
 22 and social welfare of the citizens of the United States, urges expedient passage for
 23 appropriate hate crimes prevention legislation in accordance with our AMA's policy
 24 through letters to members of Congress; and registers support for hate crimes
 25 prevention legislation, via letter, to the President of the United States (Modify Current
 26 HOD Policy); and be it further
 27

28 RESOLVED, That our AMA reaffirm policy H-65.952 "Racism as a Public Health Threat".
 29 (Reaffirm HOD Policy)

Fiscal Note: Minimal - less than \$1,000

Received: 04/08/22

References:

1. NAACP. History of Lynching in America. 2021. Available at: <https://naacp.org/find-resources/history-explained/history-lynching-america> Accessed September 18, 2021
 2. Webster Dictionary. Definition of lynching. 2021. Available at: <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/lynch> Accessed September 18, 2021
 3. Emmett Till Antilynching Act, H.R. 55, 117th Cong. (2021) <https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/55/text>
 4. Ken Gonzalez-Day. Lynching in the West 1850-1935. Duke University Press. November 2006.
 5. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services: Administration of children and families. What is historical trauma? 2021. Available at: <https://www.acf.hhs.gov/trauma-toolkit/trauma-concept> Accessed September 18, 2021
 6. Mohatt NV, Thompson AB, Thai ND, Tebes JK. Historical trauma as public narrative: a conceptual review of how history impacts present-day health. Soc Sci Med. 2014;106:128-136. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2014.01.043
 7. Sotero, MM (2006). A Conceptual Model of Historical Trauma: Implications for Public Health, Practice and Research. Journal of Health Disparities Research and Practice (1)1:93-108.
 8. Equal Justice Initiative. Lynching in America: Confronting the Legacy of Racial Terror. 2017. Available at: <https://eji.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/lynching-in-america-3d-ed-091620.pdf> Accessed September 18, 2021
 9. Make Lynching a Federal Offense. J Natl Med Assoc. 1947;39(4):164.
 10. Emmett Till Antilynching Act, H.R. 55, 117th Cong. (2021) <https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/55/text>
- Nicholas Fandos. Frustration and fury as Rand Paul holds up anti-lynching bill in senate. 2020. Available at: <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/06/05/us/politics/rand-paul-anti-lynching-bill-senate.html> Accessed September 18, 2021

RELEVANT AMA POLICY

Adverse Childhood Experiences and Trauma-Informed Care H-515.952

1. Our AMA recognizes trauma-informed care as a practice that recognizes the widespread impact of trauma on patients, identifies the signs and symptoms of trauma, and treats patients by fully integrating knowledge about trauma into policies, procedures, and practices and seeking to avoid re-traumatization.
2. Our AMA supports:
 - a. evidence-based primary prevention strategies for Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs);
 - b. evidence-based trauma-informed care in all medical settings that focuses on the prevention of poor health and life outcomes after ACEs or other trauma at any time in life occurs;
 - c. efforts for data collection, research, and evaluation of cost-effective ACEs screening tools without additional burden for physicians.
 - d. efforts to educate physicians about the facilitators, barriers and best practices for providers implementing ACEs screening and trauma-informed care approaches into a clinical setting; and
 - e. funding for schools, behavioral and mental health services, professional groups, community, and government agencies to support patients with ACEs or trauma at any time in life; and
 - f. increased screening for ACEs in medical settings, in recognition of the intersectionality of ACEs with significant increased risk for suicide, negative substance use-related outcomes including overdose, and a multitude of downstream negative health outcomes.
3. Our AMA supports the inclusion of ACEs and trauma-informed care into undergraduate and graduate medical education curricula.

Citation: Res. 504, A-19; Appended: CSAPH Rep. 3, A-21;

Racism as a Public Health Threat H-65.952

1. Our AMA acknowledges that, although the primary drivers of racial health inequity are systemic and structural racism, racism and unconscious bias within medical research and health care delivery have caused and continue to cause harm to marginalized communities and society as a whole.
2. Our AMA recognizes racism, in its systemic, cultural, interpersonal, and other forms, as a serious threat to public health, to the advancement of health equity, and a barrier to appropriate medical care.
3. Our AMA will identify a set of current, best practices for healthcare institutions, physician practices, and academic medical centers to recognize, address, and mitigate the effects of racism on patients, providers, international medical graduates, and populations.
4. Our AMA encourages the development, implementation, and evaluation of undergraduate, graduate, and continuing medical education programs and curricula that engender greater understanding of: (a) the causes, influences, and effects of systemic, cultural, institutional, and interpersonal racism; and (b) how to prevent and ameliorate the health effects of racism.
5. Our AMA: (a) supports the development of policy to combat racism and its effects; and (b) encourages governmental agencies and nongovernmental organizations to increase funding for research into the epidemiology of risks and damages related to racism and how to prevent or repair them.
6. Our AMA will work to prevent and combat the influences of racism and bias in innovative health technologies.

Citation: Res. 5, I-20;

Support of Human Rights and Freedom H-65.965

Our AMA: (1) continues to support the dignity of the individual, human rights and the sanctity of human life, (2) reaffirms its long-standing policy that there is no basis for the denial to any human being of equal rights, privileges, and responsibilities commensurate with his or her individual capabilities and ethical character because of an individual's sex, sexual orientation,

gender, gender identity, or transgender status, race, religion, disability, ethnic origin, national origin, or age; (3) opposes any discrimination based on an individual's sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, race, religion, disability, ethnic origin, national origin or age and any other such reprehensible policies; (4) recognizes that hate crimes pose a significant threat to the public health and social welfare of the citizens of the United States, urges expedient passage of appropriate hate crimes prevention legislation in accordance with our AMA's policy through letters to members of Congress; and registers support for hate crimes prevention legislation, via letter, to the President of the United States.

Citation: CCB/CLRPD Rep. 3, A-14; Reaffirmed in lieu of: Res. 001, I-16; Reaffirmation: A-17

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 014
(A-22)

Introduced by: Women Physicians Section

Subject: Healthcare Equity Through Informed Consent and a Collaborative Healthcare Model for the Gender Diverse Population

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

1 Whereas, Gender dysphoria is defined as the “discomfort or distress that is caused by a
2 discrepancy between a person’s gender identity and that person’s sex assigned at birth”⁶; and
3

4 Whereas, A 2021 national survey analyzed the experiences of LGBTQ youth and found that
5 “75% experienced discrimination based on their sexual orientation or gender identity,” while
6 “48% reported they wanted counseling...but were unable to receive it this past year”²; and
7

8 Whereas, A longitudinal study of 6327 transgender and gender diverse individuals, found that
9 younger people had 7 times greater risk for suicide attempts underneath the age of 18 years
10 old⁵; and
11

12 Whereas, A study of cisgender and transgender individuals, found that transgender groups
13 experienced “worse mental health” and “higher odds of multiple chronic conditions, poor quality
14 of life, and disabilities than both cisgender males and females”³; and
15

16 Whereas, An article found that “few transgender youth eligible for gender-affirming treatments
17 actually receive them,” with potential barriers spanning from “accessible...providers trained in
18 gender affirming care,” “gatekeeping or uncoordinated care,” “limited or delayed access” to
19 treatments, and “insurance exclusions”⁴; and
20

21 Whereas, Federal Civil Rights Laws such as Section 1557 Patient Protection and Affordable
22 Care Act prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, and
23 disability in covered health programs or activities; and
24

25 Whereas, The Supreme Court’s Decision in Bostock and Title IX enforces Section 1557’s
26 prohibition on discrimination on the basis of sex to include: (1) discrimination on the basis of
27 sexual orientation; and (2) discrimination on the basis of gender identity; and
28

29 Whereas, There are “two common approaches to assess an individual before commencing of
30 gender-affirming hormone therapy (GAHT); a mental health practitioner assessment and
31 approval or an informed consent model undertaken with a primary care general practitioner
32 (GP)” and a “sexual health physician or endocrinologist”⁷; and
33

34 Whereas, In gender affirming care, “medical interventions for transition may affect risk profiles
35 for many diseases, including cancer and cardiovascular disease”⁸; and
36

37 Whereas, The American Academy of Family Physicians currently opposes medically
38 unnecessary surgeries in intersex infants, along with the World Health Organization (WHO) and
39 many other intersex-led organizations across the world¹; therefore be it

1 RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association support shared decision making between
 2 gender diverse individuals, their families, their primary care physician, and a multidisciplinary
 3 team of physicians and other health care professionals including, but not limited to, those in
 4 clinical genetics, endocrinology, surgery, and behavioral health, to support informed consent
 5 and patient personal autonomy, increase access to beneficial gender affirming care treatment
 6 options and preventive care, avoid medically unnecessary surgeries, reduce long term patient
 7 dissatisfaction or regret following gender affirming treatments, and protect federal civil rights of
 8 sex, gender identity, and sexual orientation. (New HOD Policy)

Fiscal Note: Minimal - less than \$1,000

Received: 05/04/22

References:

1. AAFP. "Genital Surgeries in Intersex Children." (2018 July BOD) (2018 COD). American Academy of Family Physicians. 2021. https://www.aafp.org/about/policies/all/genital_surgeries.html.
2. 2021 National Survey on LGBTQ Youth Mental Health. The Trevor Project. Accessed July 15, 2021. <https://www.thetrevorproject.org/survey-2021/>
3. Downing, Janelle M. et al. Health of Transgender Adults in the U.S., 2014–2016. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, Volume 55, Issue 3, 336 - 344. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2018.04.045>
4. Gridley, Samantha J. et al. Youth and Caregiver Perspectives on Barriers to Gender-Affirming Health Care for Transgender Youth. Journal of Adolescent Health, Volume 59, Issue 3, 254 - 261. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2016.03.017>.
5. Mak J, et al. Suicide Attempts Among a Cohort of Transgender and Gender Diverse People. Am J Prev Med. 2020 Oct;59(4):570-577. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2020.03.026. Epub 2020 Aug 12. PMID: 32798005; PMCID: PMC7508867.
6. Kirouac N, Tan M. Gender Creative or Transgender Youth and Advanced Nursing Practice. Pediatr Endocrinol Rev. 2017 Jun;14(Suppl 2):441-447. doi: 10.17458/per.vol14.2017.kt.gendercreativetransgender. PMID: 28647948.
7. Spanos, Cassandra et al. The Informed Consent Model of Care for Accessing Gender-Affirming Hormone Therapy Is Associated With High Patient Satisfaction. The Journal of Sexual Medicine, Volume 18, Issue 1, 201 - 208. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsxm.2020.10.020>.
8. von Vaupel-Klein AM, Walsh RJ. Considerations in genetic counseling of transgender patients: Cultural competencies and altered disease risk profiles. J Genet Couns. 2021;30(1):98-109. doi:10.1002/jgc4.1372

RELEVANT AMA POLICY

Medical Spectrum of Gender D-295.312

Given the medical spectrum of gender identity and sex, our AMA: (1) will work with appropriate medical organizations and community based organizations to inform and educate the medical community and the public on the medical spectrum of gender identity; (2) will educate state and federal policymakers and legislators on and advocate for policies addressing the medical spectrum of gender identity to ensure access to quality health care; and (3) affirms that an individual's genotypic sex, phenotypic sex, sexual orientation, gender and gender identity are not always aligned or indicative of the other, and that gender for many individuals may differ from the sex assigned at birth.

Citation: Res. 003, A-17; Modified: Res. 005, I-18

Clarification of Medical Necessity for Treatment of Gender Dysphoria H-185.927

Our AMA: (1) recognizes that medical and surgical treatments for gender dysphoria, as determined by shared decision making between the patient and physician, are medically necessary as outlined by generally-accepted standards of medical and surgical practice; (2) will advocate for federal, state, and local policies to provide medically necessary care for gender dysphoria; and (3) opposes the criminalization and otherwise undue restriction of evidence-based gender-affirming care.

Citation: Res. 05, A-16; Modified: Res. 015, A-21

Affirming the Medical Spectrum of Gender H-65.962

Our AMA opposes any efforts to deny an individual's right to determine their stated sex marker or gender identity.

Citation: Res. 005, I-18

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 015
(A-22)

Introduced by: Women Physicians Section

Subject: Increasing Mental Health Screenings by Refugee Resettlement Agencies and Improving Mental Health Outcomes for Refugee Women

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

1 Whereas, The United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees designated refugee women as
2 a high-risk group for developing serious psychological problems due to their premigration war
3 experiences of rape and sexual violence¹; and
4

5 Whereas, One in five women refugees experience sexual violence. 50% of refugees, internally
6 displaced or stateless populations, are women and girls²; and
7

8 Whereas, In the resettlement country, refugee women not only have to cope with their
9 premigration traumas, but also they encounter significant challenges in postmigration
10 adjustment such as adapting to a new culture, a change in SES, and unemployment³; and
11

12 Whereas, Refugee women play a crucial role in the lives of family members; what affects the
13 women directly impacts their families; and
14

15 Whereas, One in five (22.1%) of the adult population in conflict-affected areas have mental
16 health problems⁵; and
17

18 Whereas, There has been a lack of procedural or financial support for mental health screening
19 for refugees⁶; and
20

21 Whereas, State refugee health coordinators surveyed in 2010 reported that only 4 of the 44
22 states surveyed used a formal screening instrument and 68% used informal conversation⁷; and
23

24 Whereas, Several well-utilized tools having a number of drawbacks such as not being validated
25 in forced migration populations (8.1, 8.2), too prolonged to facilitate rapid screening of large
26 populations (8.3), screening for distress rather than disorder (8.3), lacking predictive validity
27 against a standardized psychiatric interview (8.3), and screening for either major depressive
28 disorder or PTSD – not both (8.4, 8.5)⁸; and
29

30 Whereas, A recent review raised concerns about the lack of evidence for the validity and
31 cultural equivalence of the K10 (Kessler Psychological Distress Scale), including variation
32 between ethnic/linguistic groups for studies with multicultural samples⁹; and
33

34 Whereas, The Self Reporting Questionnaire-20 was developed to screen for psychiatric
35 disturbance, but primarily for those in developing countries, and has not established its
36 predictive validity against a standardized psychiatric interview¹⁰; and

Whereas, The Refugee Health Screener-15 was developed for refugee populations, it was designed to be administered in clinical settings, and has not been validated in asylum-seeker populations or against an acceptable gold standard¹¹; and

Whereas, There is an ongoing refugee crisis, where refugees have been displaced over the years by war in Iraq, Yemen, Syria, Palestine, Myanmar, Congo, Somalia, and more recently, Afghanistan and Ukraine; and

Whereas, It is critical that counselors are aware, understand, and accept the influence of culture on the conceptualization of mental health and patterns of symptom presentation; and

Whereas, There is a building and unaddressed mental health crisis being, refugee women could generate and contribute 1.4 trillion to the annual global GDP¹²; therefore be it

RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association advocate for increased research funding to create rapid, accessible, and patient centered mental health screening tools pertaining to refugee and migrant populations (Directive to Take Action); and be it further

RESOLVED, That our AMA advocate for increased funding to the National Institutes of Health for more research on evidence-based designs on delivery of mental health services to refugees and migrant populations (Directive to Take Action); and be it further

RESOLVED, That our AMA advocate for increased mental health funding to increase the number of trained mental health providers to carry out mental health screenings and treatment (Directive to Take Action); and be it further

RESOLVED, That our AMA advocate for and encourage culturally responsive mental health counseling specifically. (Directive to Take Action)

Fiscal Note: Modest - between \$1,000 - \$5,000

Received: 05/04/22

References:

1. <https://web.s.ebscohost.com/ehost/detail/detail?vid=0&sid=1f93c99e-9f91-4b57-8ea1-feb4e6ac87e0%40redis&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWhvc3QtGj2ZQ%3d%3d#AN=4429898&db=a9h>
2. <https://www.unhcr.org/en-us/figures-at-a-glance.html>, <https://www.unhcr.org/flagship-reports/globaltrends/>
3. <https://web.s.ebscohost.com/ehost/detail/detail?vid=0&sid=1f93c99e-9f91-4b57-8ea1-feb4e6ac87e0%40redis&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWhvc3QtGj2ZQ%3d%3d#AN=4429898&db=a9h>
4. <https://www.unhcr.org/en-us/news/latest/2020/10/5f7ec72a4/ga-pandemic-refugee-mental-health-severely-overlooked-its-full-blown-crisis.html>
5. <https://refugeehealthta.org/physical-mental-health/mental-health/adult-mental-health/screening-for-emotional-distress-and-mental-health/#~:text=The%20Office%20of%20Refugee%20Resettlement,mental%20health%20screening%20for%20refugees>
6. <https://refugeehealthta.org/physical-mental-health/mental-health/adult-mental-health/screening-for-emotional-distress-and-mental-health/#~:text=The%20Office%20of%20Refugee%20Resettlement,mental%20health%20screening%20for%20refugees>
7. Stolk Y, Kaplan I, Szwarc J. Clinical use of the Kessler psychological distress scales with culturally diverse groups. Int J Methods Psychiatr Res. 2014;23(2):161–83.
8. Dowling A, Enticott J, Russell G. Measuring self-rated health status among resettled adult refugee populations to inform practice and policy – a scoping review. BMC Health Serv Res. 2017;17(1):817,19.
9. Beusenbergh M, Orley JH, Organization WH: A User's guide to the self reporting questionnaire (SRQ-20). 1994, 20.
10. Hansen M, Andersen TE, Armour C, Elkitt A, Palic S, Mackrill T. PTSD-8: a short PTSD inventory. Clin Pract Epidemiol Ment Health. 2010;6:101–8.
11. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. J Gen Intern Med. 2001;16(9):600–13.
12. Stolk Y, Kaplan I, Szwarc J. Clinical use of the Kessler psychological distress scales with culturally diverse groups. Int J Methods Psychiatr Res. 2014;23(2):161–83.
13. Beusenbergh M, Orley JH, Organization WH: A User's guide to the self reporting questionnaire (SRQ-20). 1994, 20.
14. Hollifield M, Verbillis-Kolp S, Farmer B, Toolson EC, Woldehaimanot T, Yamazaki J, Holland A, St. Clair J, SooHoo J. The refugee health Screener-15 (RHS-15): development and validation of an instrument for anxiety, depression, and PTSD in refugees. Gen Hosp Psychiatry. 2013;35(2):202–9.
15. <https://www.migrationpolicy.org/article/refugees-and-asylees-united-states-2021#refugee-arrivals-countries-origin>
16. <https://www.rescue.org/press-release/new-report-refugee-women-could-generate-14-trillion-annual-global-gdp#:~:text=According%20to%20a%20new%20analysis,and%20wage%20gaps%20were%20closed>
17. <https://www.womenforwomen.org/blogs/5-facts-about-what-refugee-women-face>

RELEVANT AMA POLICY

Increasing Detection of Mental Illness and Encouraging Education D-345.994

1. Our AMA will work with: (A) mental health organizations, state, specialty, and local medical societies and public health groups to encourage patients to discuss mental health concerns with their physicians; and (B) the Department of Education and state education boards and encourage them to adopt basic mental health education designed specifically for preschool through high school students, as well as for their parents, caregivers and teachers.

2. Our AMA will encourage the National Institute of Mental Health and local health departments to examine national and regional variations in psychiatric illnesses among immigrant, minority, and refugee populations in order to increase access to care and appropriate treatment.

Citation: Res. 412, A-06; Appended: Res. 907, I-12; Reaffirmed in lieu of: Res. 001, I-16

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 016
(A-22)

Introduced by: Women Physicians Section

Subject: Addressing and Banning Unjust and Invasive Medical Procedures Among
Migrant Women at the Border

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

1 Whereas, In October 2020, at least 57 women in a Georgia Immigration and Customs
2 Enforcement detention center said they were forced or pressured into having gynecological
3 procedures¹; and
4

5 Whereas, Women stated they were threatened with retaliation if they pushed back on
6 recommended procedures, even in cases where their original complaints were non-
7 gynecological²; and
8

9 Whereas, As of December 2020, 40 more women had submitted claims of abuse and unwanted
10 invasive medical procedures³; and
11

12 Whereas, There has been no follow up since it was first reported in 2020 and since the
13 members of Congress asked for it to be further investigated in 2021⁴; and
14

15 Whereas, It is important that the AMA recognize these atrocious crimes and stand firmly against
16 them; therefore be it
17

18 RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association condemn the performance of
19 nonconsensual, unnecessary, invasive medical procedures (Directive to Take Action); and
20

21 RESOLVED, That our AMA advocate against forced sterilizations of any kind, including against
22 migrant women in detention facilities, and advocate for appropriate associated disciplinary
23 action (including license revocation) (Directive to Take Action); and
24

25 RESOLVED, That our AMA advocate for safer medical practices and protections for migrant
26 women. (Directive to Take Action)

Fiscal Note: Modest - between \$1,000 - \$5,000

Received: 05/04/22

References:

1. <https://www.thecut.com/2020/10/migrant-women-detail-medical-abuse-forced-hysterectomies.html>
2. <https://www.proquest.com/openview/10e623a1a27dee0d92080671a61c060b/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=2043523>
3. <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/09/29/us/ice-hysterectomies-surgeries-georgia.html>
4. <https://time.com/6049998/ice-end-use-detention-centers-georgia-detention-hysterectomy-allegations/>
5. <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2020/dec/22/ice-gynecologist-hysterectomies-georgia>
6. <https://homeland.house.gov/news/press-releases/homeland-security-and-oversight-committees-release-update-on-georgia-ice-facility-investigation-inquire-on-dhs-action-to-protect-migrants>

RELEVANT AMA POLICY

Care of Women and Children in Family Immigration Detention H-350.955

1. Our AMA recognizes the negative health consequences of the detention of families seeking safe haven.
2. Due to the negative health consequences of detention, our AMA opposes the expansion of family immigration detention in the United States.
3. Our AMA opposes the separation of parents from their children who are detained while seeking safe haven.
4. Our AMA will advocate for access to health care for women and children in immigration detention.
5. Our AMA will advocate for the preferential use of alternatives to detention programs that respect the human dignity of immigrants, migrants, and asylum seekers who are in the custody of federal agencies.

Citation: Res. 002, A-17; Appended: Res. 218, A-21

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 017
(A-22)

Introduced by: International Medical Graduate Section

Subject: Humanitarian and Medical Aid Support to Ukraine

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

1 Whereas, Ukraine has been senselessly invaded by Russia on February 24th, 2022, which
2 resulted in a full-blown war operation involving countless attacks on civilians; and
3

4 Whereas, After a month of war, there have already been 10 million refugees from Ukraine with
5 nearly half of Ukrainian families being separated, including children, people with special needs,
6 victims of war trauma; and
7

8 Whereas, There are war-induced adversities affecting children that include but not limited to
9 physical and/or mental health risks related to forced family separation, loss of access to school
10 and healthcare, insecure access to food and shelter, and displacement from homes and
11 communities; and
12

13 Whereas, War-related events cause significant mental health issues, particularly, depressive
14 symptomatology among mothers further negatively affecting wellbeing of both mothers and their
15 children; and
16

17 Whereas, Multiple medical organizations from the U.S. and worldwide denounced the war and
18 provided help to the Ukrainian people such as medical team trips, medical equipment, financial
19 aid, acceptance of those in need of care; and
20

21 Whereas, The AMA denounced the war, joined the World Medical Association and other
22 medical societies in calling for an end to this war; and
23

24 Whereas, The AMA Foundation created a fund to support the humanitarian crisis in Ukraine;
25 therefore be it
26

27 RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association advocate for continuous support of
28 organizations providing humanitarian missions and medical care to Ukrainian refugees in
29 Ukraine, at the Polish-Ukrainian border, in nearby countries, and/or in the US; (Directive to Take
30 Action) and be it further
31

32 RESOLVED, That our AMA advocate for an early implementation of mental health measures
33 and address war-related trauma and post-traumatic stress disorder when dealing with Ukrainian
34 refugees with special attention to vulnerable populations including but not limited to young
35 children, mothers, and pregnant women (Directive to Take Action); and be it further
36

37 RESOLVED, That our AMA advocate for educational measures to enhance the understanding
38 of war-related trauma in war survivors and promote efforts to increase resilience in war-affected
39 people targeting vulnerable categories of people. (Directive to Take Action)

Fiscal Note: Modest - between \$1,000 - \$5,000

Received: 05/04/22

References:

1. Szewczyk, B. M. J. (2022, March 25). There Could Be 10 Million Ukrainian Refugees Fleeing Putin's Bombs. Foreign Policy. <https://foreignpolicy.com/2022/03/04/ukraine-russia-war-refugees-10-million/>
2. Kwai, I. (2022, March 8). W.H.O. Condemns Attacks on Health Care Services in Ukraine, Calls for Safe Passage for Medical Supplies. The New York Times. <https://www.nytimes.com/2022/03/08/world/europe/health-service-attacks-ukraine-who.html>
3. Morgan, J. (2022, March 10). Global medical and public health organizations call for immediate end to war in Ukraine and critical need to prevent nuclear escalation. Physicians for Social Responsibility. <https://www.psr.org/blog/global-medical-and-public-health-organizations-call-for-immediate-end-to-war-in-ukraine-and-critical-need-to-prevent-nuclear-escalation/>
4. American Medical Association. (2022, March 22). Senseless war in Ukraine sparks physician aid response. <https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-care/population-care/senseless-war-ukraine-sparks-physician-aid-response>

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 018
(A-22)

Introduced by: International Medical Graduate Section
Subject: Hardship for International Medical Graduates from Russia and Belarus
Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

Whereas, Russia, with the support of Belarus, invaded Ukraine on February 24, 2022, causing the international community to respond with sanctions and having most international businesses to leave both countries due to oppressors; and

Whereas, Currently there is nearly absent communication (mail, internet, ability to make payments) with organizations located in Belarus and Russia due to either sanctions or services no longer available; and

Whereas, There are international medical graduates (IMGs) in the U.S. who completed their medical school in Russia or Belarus, and who may require primary source verification for licensure or other certifications/credentialing; and

Whereas, There is a concern that the IMGs from Russia and Belarus, who either are in residency/fellowship training or already practicing, may not be able to obtain primary source verification until the means of communication and relationships are restored; therefore be it

RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association study the impact of the current political crisis on international medical graduates with medical degrees from Russia and Belarus who are already in the U.S. either in training or practicing in regards to their ability to obtain primary source verification and report back during the 2022 Interim House of Delegates meeting.
(Directive to Take Action)

Fiscal Note: Modest - between \$1,000 - \$5,000

Received: 05/04/22

References:

1. Genovese, D. (2022, February 25). Russia invasion prompts FedEx, UPS to suspend all services in Ukraine. Fox Business. <https://www.foxbusiness.com/lifestyle/following-russia-invasion-in-ukraine-fedex-ups-suspend-services>
2. Linns Stamp News. (2022). USPS temporarily suspends mail service to Russia. Linns Stamp News. <https://www.linns.com/news/postal-updates/usps-temporarily-suspends-mail-service-to-russia>
3. Gil, M. (2022, March 16). What do Russian sanctions mean for my money transfers? Mozo. <https://mozo.com.au/international-money-transfer/articles/what-do-russian-sanctions-mean-for-my-money-transfers>
4. Mihalcik, C. (2022, March 25). These Companies Have Left Russia: The List Across Tech, Entertainment, Finance. CNET. <https://www.cnet.com/news/politics/what-companies-have-left-russia-see-the-list-across-tech-entertainment-and-financial-institutions/>
5. Satariano, A., & Hopkins, V. (2022, March 8). Russia, Blocked From the Global Internet, Plunges Into Digital Isolation. The New York Times. <https://www.nytimes.com/2022/03/07/technology/russia-ukraine-internet-isolation.html>

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 019
(A-22)

Introduced by: International Medical Graduate Section
Subject: Hardship for International Medical Graduates from Ukraine
Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

1 Whereas, Ukraine is in the midst of a major humanitarian and medical crisis since the Russian
2 invasion on February, 24th, 2022; and

3
4 Whereas, Numerous civilians including children have been killed and millions of Ukrainians
5 have been displaced from their home seeking safety; and

6
7 Whereas, Physicians who went to medical school in Ukraine have no possible means of
8 obtaining primary source verification of medical education; and

9
10 Whereas, Many states require additional verification for IMGs from medical schools, despite the
11 Federation Credentials Verification Services profile, to issue them state medical license; and

12
13 Whereas, Nationwide physicians crisis during the pandemic highlighted the need for multiple
14 state licenses for physicians to serve the underserved areas; therefore be it

15
16 RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association advocate with relevant stakeholders that
17 advise state medical boards to grant hardship waiver for primary source verification of medical
18 education for all licensing requirements for physicians who graduated from medical schools in
19 Ukraine until the current humanitarian crisis in Ukraine is resolved. (Directive to Take Action)

Fiscal Note: Modest - between \$1,000 - \$5,000

Received: 05/04/22

References:

1. Simon, M. F., Timsit, A., & Jeong, A. (2022, March 17). At least 43 attacks on health-care facilities and patients in Ukraine, WHO says. Washington Post. <https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/2022/03/17/ukraine-attacks-hospitals-clinics-health-care-russia/>
2. Reed, B. J. (2022, March 26). Ukraine war: WHO says attacks on health facilities are rising daily. BBC News. <https://www.bbc.com/news/health-60866669>

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 020
(A-22)

Introduced by: International Medical Graduate Section

Subject: Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs Guidelines for Treating Unvaccinated Individuals

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

1 Whereas, Medical ethics require physicians to treat every patient regardless of race, color,
2 ethnicity, or gender as well as disease itself; and
3

4 Whereas, AMA's Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs (CEJA) guidelines do address ethical
5 guidelines in case of a pandemic, but they fail to address the current pandemic (COVID)
6 effectively; and
7

8 Whereas, Many physicians have been infected with the corona virus and some have died during
9 care of infected patients; and
10

11 Whereas, Only about 65% of the US population has been vaccinated for COVID-19. Many of
12 the minority populations have not been vaccinated at the same rate as whites; and
13

14 Whereas, Both vaccinated and non-vaccinated individuals can get reinfected and transmit
15 COVID-19; and
16

17 Whereas, The *Wall Street Journal* reported many physicians in several states have refused to
18 provide care to unvaccinated individuals in outpatient settings even with use of PPE; and
19

20 Whereas, CEJA guidelines are the benchmark for medical ethics for most of the healthcare
21 institutions; therefore be it
22

23 RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association and the Council on Ethical and Judicial
24 Affairs issue new ethical guidelines for medical professionals for care of individuals who have
25 not been vaccinated for COVID-19. (Directive to Take Action)

Fiscal Note: Modest - between \$1,000 - \$5,000

Received: 05/04/22

References:

Wallstreet Journal, "Is It Ethical for Doctors to Refuse to Treat Unvaccinated Patients?"; February 14, 2022;
<https://www.wsj.com/articles/doctor-physician-medical-ethics-covid-patient-unvaccinated-hiv-aids-11644852938>

RELEVANT AMA POLICY**An Urgent Initiative to Support COVID-19 Vaccination and Information Programs D-440.921**

Our AMA will institute a program to promote the integrity of a COVID-19 vaccination information program by: (1) educating physicians on speaking with patients about COVID-19 infection and vaccination, bearing in mind the historical context of “experimentation” with vaccines and other medication in communities of color, and providing physicians with culturally appropriate patient education materials; (2) educating the public about up-to-date, evidence-based information regarding COVID-19 and associated infections as well as the safety and efficacy of COVID-19 vaccines, by countering misinformation and building public confidence; (3) forming a coalition of health care and public health organizations inclusive of those respected in communities of color committed to developing and implementing a joint public education program promoting the facts about, promoting the need for, and encouraging the acceptance of COVID-19 vaccination; (4) supporting ongoing monitoring of COVID-19 vaccines to ensure that the evidence continues to support safe and effective use of vaccines among recommended populations; (5) educating physicians and other healthcare professionals on means to disseminate accurate information and methods to combat medical misinformation online; and (6) supporting the public purchase and cost-free distribution and administration of COVID-19 booster vaccine doses.

Citation: Res. 408, I-20; Reaffirmed: Res. 228, A-21; Reaffirmed: Res. 421, A-21; Appended: Res. 408, I-21

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 021
(A-22)

Introduced by: Mississippi

Subject: National Cancer Research Patient Identifier

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

1 Whereas, In the United States, too often critical information needed by medical researchers to
2 improve the safety and effectiveness of medical treatment is distributed in fragments across
3 large databases. To protect patient privacy, these data elements reside in databases stripped of
4 patient identifying information (PII) making it extremely difficult to consistently reassemble the
5 fragments back into a complete picture for research; and
6

7 Whereas, At the time patients present for care, identifying information (e.g. name, date of birth,
8 social security number if available, etc.) could be transformed into a privacy ensuring National
9 Cancer Registry Identifier (NCRI) using novel cryptographic solution (patent pending) that
10 includes a combination of established techniques (hash functions, blinding functions, single use
11 transactional tokens); and
12

13 Whereas, Creating a privacy-ensuring, unique cancer research identifier could travel with the
14 anonymous fragments of medical information currently collected by large databases, and
15 therefore allow the fragments to be reunited into a complete, yet anonymous cancer journey that
16 researchers can study to improve care; and
17

18 Whereas, The proposed initiative would build on existing data-transfer relationships between
19 health care facilities and quality improvement databases. For example, as medical facilities
20 submit information to various databases (e.g. Medicare, National Cancer Database, Society of
21 Thoracic Surgeons Database, etc.) as part of current workflow, the NCRI would remain
22 associated with the transferred medical information (but PII would not leave the health care
23 entity); and
24

25 Whereas, Requests for data could be handled by a separate entity serving as the honest broker
26 that would curate, link, and distribute the data in compliance with state and federal data use
27 agreements; and
28

29 Whereas, Nearly half of the 1.8 million cancer patients diagnosed each year in the U.S. will
30 have their lives shortened by cancer, highlighting the ongoing urgent need for cancer research
31 which is felt by the public, the medical community, and policymakers; and
32

33 Whereas, Prospective clinical trials are considered the gold-standard for cancer research, and
34 advances from trials have transformed cancer care. However, clinical trials typically require
35 more than 5 years and several million dollars to conduct; and
36

37 Whereas, There is simply not enough time or money to test all of the important aspects of
38 cancer care. The NCRI will dramatically increase the speed and power of real-world research;
39 and

1 Whereas, A nonprofit entity could be established to oversee the NCRI process including
2 managing grant funding, subcontracting to private entities to oversee specific functions (e.g. the
3 identifier workflow, and data curation and research distribution), privacy assurance, security,
4 and compliance. The nonprofit entity would engage federal policy makers, cancer organizations,
5 patient advocacy groups and the data science community for support, access and authorization
6 to move forward; therefore be it

7
8 RESOLVED, That in order to increase the power of medical research, our American Medical
9 Association propose a novel approach to linking medical information while still maintaining
10 patient confidentiality through the creation of a National Cancer Research Identifier (NCRI)
11 (Directive to Take Action); and be it further

12
13 RESOLVED, That our AMA encourage the formation of an organization or organizations to
14 oversee the NCRI process, specific functions, and engagement of interested parties to improve
15 care for patients with cancer. (Directive to Take Action)

Fiscal Note: Modest - between \$1,000 - \$5,000

Received: 05/06/22

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 022
(A-22)

Introduced by: Pennsylvania

Subject: Organ Transplant Equity for Persons with Disabilities

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

1 Whereas, People with Intellectual and developmental disabilities (IDD) still face discrimination in
2 access of care, specifically regarding barriers of access to transplant surgery^{1,2}, despite federal
3 and local guidelines which protect against discrimination on the basis of disability³; and
4

5 Whereas, Transplant centers and medical professionals are unaware or noncompliant with
6 clauses of the Americans with Disabilities Act, Rehabilitation Act, and Affordable Care Act
7 prohibiting discrimination against people with disabilities⁴ as is applied to the organ transplant
8 process²; and
9

10 Whereas, A 2004 survey found that only 52 percent of people with disabilities who requested a
11 referral to a specialist regarding an organ transplant evaluation actually received a referral,
12 while 35 percent of those “for whom a transplant had been suggested” never even received an
13 evaluation⁵; and
14

15 Whereas, A 2008 survey of pediatric transplant centers found that 43 percent always or usually
16 consider intellectual disabilities an absolute or relative contraindication to transplant due to
17 assumptions and that in some cases, organ transplant centers may categorically refuse to
18 evaluate a patient with a disability as a candidate for transplant⁶; and
19

20 Whereas, Throughout their medical education, Health, Oral Health, and Vision Health providers
21 receive limited training on the special needs of people with IDD related to common problems
22 and delivery of services⁷, and patients report feeling that physicians generally have little
23 understanding of living with a disability⁵; and
24

25 Whereas, If a person has a disability that is unrelated to the reason a person needs an organ
26 transplant, the disability will generally have little or no impact on the likelihood of the transplant
27 being successful⁸ and making assumptions regarding post-transplant quality of life for people
28 with IDD violates AMA ethics⁹; and
29

30 Whereas, Congress established the need for an organization, the Organ Procurement and
31 Transplant Network (OPTN), to facilitate the organ transplantation system across the many
32 transplant centers and sources of organ donors in an efficient manner. The effective guidelines
33 for organ allocation do not include disability status in non-discrimination section 5.4.A¹¹; and
34

35 Whereas, Titles II and III of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) prohibit discrimination
36 against people with disabilities in all programs, activities and services of public entities and
37 prohibit private places of public accommodation from discriminating against people with
38 disabilities³; and

Whereas, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 prohibits federally funded programs including hospitals from denying qualified individuals the opportunity to participate in or benefit from federally funded programs, services, or other benefits, denying access to programs, services, benefits or opportunities to participate as a result of physical barriers, and denying employment opportunities they are otherwise entitled or qualified⁴; and

Whereas, Section 1557 of the Affordable Care Act prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, or disability in certain health programs or activities and ensures physical access for individuals with disabilities to healthcare facilities and appropriate communication technology to assist persons who are visually or hearing impaired¹²; therefore be it

RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association support equitable inclusion of people with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities (IDD) in eligibility for transplant surgery (New HOD Policy); and be it further

RESOLVED, That our AMA support individuals with IDD having equal access to organ transplant services and protection from discrimination in rendering these services (New HOD Policy); and be it further

RESOLVED, That our AMA support the goal of the Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network (OPTN) in adding disability status to their Nondiscrimination policy under the National Organ Transplant Act of 1984 (New HOD Policy); and be it further

RESOLVED, That our AMA work with relevant stakeholders to distribute antidiscrimination education materials for healthcare providers related to equitable inclusion of people with IDD in eligibility for transplant surgery. (Directive to Take Action)

Fiscal Note: Modest - between \$1,000 - \$5,000

Received: 05/06/22

References:

1. Statter MB, Noritz G, Committee on Bioethics C on C with D. Children With Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities as Organ Transplantation Recipients. *Pediatrics*. 2020; 145(5). doi:10.1542/peds.2020-0625 National Council on Disability. Organ Transplant Discrimination Against People with Disabilities: Part of the Bioethics and Disability Series. Published September 25, 2019. Available at: https://ncd.gov/sites/default/files/NCD_Organ_Transplant_508.pdf.
2. Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, AS AMENDED with ADA Amendments Act of 2008. Accessed July 12, 2020. <https://www.ada.gov/pubs/adastatute08.htm#12102>
3. Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act. Federal Communications Commission. Published March 1, 2013. Accessed July 12, 2020. <https://www.fcc.gov/general/section-508-rehabilitation-act> Oakbrook Terrace, IL:
4. Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations 2004; 46p. [Online]. Accessed: http://www.jointcommission.org/NR/rdonlyres/E4E7DD3F-3FDF-4ACC-B69EAEF3A1743AB0/0/organ_donation_white_paper.pdf [2008 October 16]
5. Richards CT, Crawley LM, Magnus D. Use of neurodevelopmental delay in pediatric solid organ transplant listing decisions: inconsistencies in standards across major pediatric transplant centers.
6. Pediatric Transplant. 2009;13(7):843-850. doi:10.1111/j.1399-3046.2008.01072.x special-olympics-golisano-report2018.pdf. Accessed July 12, 2020. <https://dotorg.brightspotcdn.com/e2/95/3dbfbf134796bdf395d873109cb3/specialolympics-golisano-report-2018.pdf>
7. Wightman A, Diekema D, Goldberg A. Consideration of children with intellectual disability as candidates for solid organ transplantation—A practice in evolution. *Pediatr Transplant*. 2018;22:e13091. <https://doi.org/10.1111/petr.13091> Affairs AC on E and J.
8. AMA Code of Medical Ethics' Opinion on Organ Transplantation. *AMA J Ethics*. 2013;15(11):945-946. doi:10.1001/virtualmentor.2013.15.11.coet2-1311. 2018 Act 90.
9. The official website for the Pennsylvania General Assembly. Accessed July 19, 2020. <https://www.legis.state.pa.us/cfdocs/legis/li/uconsCheck.cfm?yr=2018&sessInd=0&act=90>
10. Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network Policies. (2020). https://optn.transplant.hrsa.gov/media/1200/optn_policies.pdf
11. Section 1557 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act. Relevant RFS & AMA Policy: Medical Care of Persons with Developmental Disabilities H-90.968 6.2.2 Directed Donation of Organs for Transplantation Tissue and Organ Donation H-370.983

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 023
(A-22)

Introduced by: Oregon

Subject: Promoting and Ensuring Safe, High Quality, and Affordable Elder Care
Through Examining and Advocating for Better Regulation of and Alternatives
to the Current, Growing For-Profit Long Term Care Options

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

1 Whereas, The U.S. population is aging and more than half of adults 65 and older will need long
2 term services and supports (LTSS) including hired in-home caregiving or residential care, and
3 the population receiving these services usually have limited affordable choices available to meet
4 their needs; and

5
6 Whereas, The long term and post acute care industry serves this vulnerable population, and
7 currently approximately 70% of all long term care (LTC) facilities in the US market are for-profit.
8 For-profit facilities operate as profit maximizers by preferring private-pay and Medicare over
9 Medicaid residents and reducing staffing levels to cut costs and perform better financially, thus
10 demonstrating that the responsibility of for-profit companies to maximize profits can be in direct
11 conflict with caring for the neediest and with safest approaches to delivery of care; and

12
13 Whereas, For-profit and private equity companies managing LTC facilities in addition to
14 maintaining lower staff-to-resident ratios have been found to have higher rates of deficiencies
15 (violations of federal quality standards) and serious deficiencies (where harm or jeopardy to a
16 resident occurred), may increase both resident death rates and costs for government payers
17 (11), and may also have business disincentives to invest in facility safety updates (e.g. related
18 to earthquake and flooding risk, communicable disease transmission, extreme weather events,
19 structural maintenance, etc.), placing residents at increased risk especially in the setting of
20 increasingly frequent climate-change-related events; and

21
22 Whereas, Not-for-profit and government LTC facilities generally have higher staff-to-resident
23 and RN-to-resident ratios, which are associated with positive outcomes including “fewer
24 pressure ulcers; lower restraint use; decreased infections; lower pain; improved activities of
25 daily living (ADLs) independence; less weight loss, dehydration, and insufficient morning care;
26 less improper and overuse of antipsychotics; and lower mortality rates” as well as reduced ED
27 visits and hospital readmissions; and

28
29 Whereas, LTC facilities with lower Medicare five-star ratings demonstrated a higher probability
30 of having COVID-19 cases early in the pandemic, LTC facilities with lower registered nurse
31 staffing had greater numbers of COVID-19 cases and deaths (19), for-profit LTC facilities were
32 noted to have 60% more cases and deaths than not-for-profit facilities, and deaths tied to long
33 term care facilities account for more than a third of American deaths from COVID-19 in 2019
34 and 2020; therefore be it

1 RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association advocate for business models in long term
 2 care for the elderly which incentivize and promote the ethical use of resources to maximize care
 3 quality, staff and resident safety, and resident quality of life, and which hold patients' interests
 4 as paramount over maximizing profit (Directive to Take Action); and be it further
 5

6 RESOLVED, That our AMA, in collaboration with other stakeholders, advocate for further
 7 research into alternatives to current options for long term care to promote the highest quality
 8 and value long term care services and supports (LTSS) models as well as functions and
 9 structures which best support these models for care. (Directive to Take Action)

Fiscal Note: Modest - between \$1,000 - \$5,000

Received: 05/11/22

Sources:

1. Nguyen V. Long-term support and services. AARP Public Policy Institute. March 2017. <https://www.aarp.org/content/dam/aarp/ppi/2017-01/Fact%20Sheet%20Long-Term%20Support%20and%20Services.pdf>
2. Harris-Kojetin L, Sengupta M, Park-Lee E, et al. Long-term care providers and services users in the United States: data from the national study of long-term care providers, 2013-2014. *Vital Health Stat* 2016;38:1-118. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27023287/>
3. Weech-Maldonado R, Laberge A, Pradhan R, Johnson CE, Yang Z, Hyer K. Nursing home financial performance: the role of ownership and chain affiliation. *Health Care Management Review* 2012;37(3):235-245. DOI: [10.1097/HMR.0b013e31823dfe13](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22261667/)
4. McGregor MJ, Cohen M, McGrail K, Broemeling AM, Adler RN, Schulzer M, Ronald L, Cvitkovich Y, Beck M. Staffing levels in not-for-profit and for-profit long-term care facilities: Does type of ownership matter? *CMAJ* 2005 Mar 1; 172(5):645-549. DOI: [10.1503/cmaj.1040131](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC550634/) <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC550634/>
5. Goldstein M, Silver-Greenberg J and Gebeloff R, "Push for Profits Left Nursing Homes Struggling to Provide Care" *New York Times*, May 7, 2020.
6. Harrington C, Olney B, Carrillo H, Kang T. Nursing staffing and deficiencies in the largest for-profit nursing home chains and chains owned by private equity companies. *Health Serv Res.* 2012;47(1 pt 1): 106-128. DOI: [10.1111/j.1475-6773.2011.01311.x](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22091627/)
7. O'Neill C, Harrington C, Kitchener M, Saliba D. Quality of care in nursing homes: an analysis of relationships among profit, quality, and ownership. *Med Care.* 2003 Dec;41(12):1318-30. doi: [10.1097/01.MLR.0000100586.33970.58](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/14668664/). PMID: 14668664.
8. Gupta A, Howell S, Yannelis C and Gupta, A. Does Private Equity Investment in Healthcare Benefit Patients? Evidence from Nursing Homes (November 12, 2020). NYU Stern School of Business, Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3537612> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3537612>
9. Dosa DM, Skarha J, Peterson LJ, et al. Association Between Exposure to Hurricane Irma and Mortality and Hospitalization in Florida Nursing Home Residents. *JAMA Netw Open.* 2020;3(10):e2019460. https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamanetworkopen/fullarticle/2771392?utm_source=For_The_Media&utm_medium=referral&utm_campaign=ftm_links&utm_term=100620
10. Zavala D. Expecting More Heat Waves, Seattle's Long Term Care Facilities Weigh Need for Air Conditioning. July 6, 2021. <https://www.seattletimes.com/seattle-news/health/with-more-heat-waves-expected-in-the-future-seattles-long-term-care-facilities-weigh-the-need-for-air-conditioning/>
11. Dangerfield K. 'It's a Death Trap': Families Horrified by Lack of Air Conditioning in Long-Term Care Homes. July 14, 2020. <https://globalnews.ca/news/7161608/long-term-care-homes-heat-wave-air-conditioner/>
12. Comondore VR, Devereaux PJ, Zhou Q et al. Quality of care in for-profit and not-for-profit nursing homes: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ.* 2009 Aug 4;339:b2732. doi: [10.1136/bmj.b2732](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19654184/). PMID: 19654184; PMCID: PMC2721035.
13. Harrington C, Dellefield ME, Halifax E, Fleming ML, Bakerjian D. Appropriate Nurse Staffing Levels for U.S. Nursing Homes. *Health Services Insights.* January 2020. doi:10.1177/1178632920934785
14. Harrington C, Ross L, Chapman S, Halifax E, Spurlock B, bakerjian D. Nursing staffing and coronavirus infections in California nursing homes. 2020 Policy, Politics, & Nursing Practice 0(0):1-13. DOI: [10.1177/1527154420938707](https://theconsumervoice.org/uploads/files/issues/Harrington_Ross_et_al_Nurse_Staffing_and_Coronavirus_Infections_in_NHs_July_2020.pdf)
15. Rowan P, Lester R, Levere M, Libersky J, Lipson D, Robison J. A Study of the COVID-10 Outbreak and Response in Connecticut Long-Term Care Facilities: Interim Report. August 14, 2020. <https://www.mathematica.org/our-publications-and-findings/publications/a-study-of-the-covid-19-outbreak-and-response-in-connecticut-long-term-care-facilities>
16. Figueroa JF, Wadhera RK, Papanicolaos I, et al. Association of Nursing Home Ratings on Health Inspections, Quality of Care, and Nurse Staffing With COVID-19 Cases. *JAMA.* 2020;324(11):1103-1105. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.14709. https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2769437?questAccessKey=258f9d19-b7c2-43e2-9218-55c23d3914bc&utm_source=silverchair&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=article_alert-jama&utm_content=olf&utm_term=081020
17. <https://covidtracking.com/analysis-updates/federal-covid-data-101-working-with-cms-nursing-home-data>. COVID-19 Tracking Project website; accessed on July 12, 2021
18. Fulmer TT, Koller CF, and Rowe JW. Reimagining Nursing Homes in the Wake of COVID-19. 2020. *NAM Perspectives. Commentary, National Academy of Medicine, Washington, DC.* <https://doi.org/10.31478/202009a> https://nam.edu/reimagining-nursing-homes-in-the-wake-of-covid-19/?qclid=Cj0KCQIwrsGCBhD1ARIsALILBYpGE7HRZUU2y3UHn6M2rANWJeID9QF2XvhyVFJc8ESwwaV1sLM8ScaAgaAEALw_wcB
19. Jaffe I. For-Profit Nursing Homes' Pleas for Government Money Brings Scrutiny. October 22, 2020. *Heard on Morning Edition.* <https://www.npr.org/2020/10/22/918432908/for-profit-nursing-homes-pleas-for-government-money-brings-scrutiny>
20. Amirkhanyan A, McCrear A, Meier KJ. Why Some Nursing Homes Are Better Than Others at Protecting Residents and Staff from COVID-19. June 10, 2020. *The Conversation.* <https://theconversation.com/why-some-nursing-homes-are-better-than-others-at-protecting-residents-and-staff-from-covid-19-138703>

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 024
(A-22)

Introduced by: Michigan

Subject: Pharmaceutical Equity for Pediatric Populations

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

1 Whereas, Legislation has aimed to increase the quality of evidence from clinical trials in
2 children, 50 percent of pediatric drugs and an even greater portion of neonatal drugs are used
3 "off-label;" and
4

5 Whereas, There are significant discrepancies between the number of drugs developed and
6 approved for use in children compared to adults; and
7

8 Whereas, The average start-up time for pediatric drug trials is 12-16 months compared to six
9 months for adult drug trials and the average duration of a pediatric drug trial is 15 years
10 compared to 8-10 years in adult trials; and
11

12 Whereas, There is an average lag time of 5-10 years between a drug's approval for adults and
13 the addition of pediatric-specific labeling information; and
14

15 Whereas, 60 percent of pediatric drug trials stall and 40 percent of pediatric drug trials fail; and
16

17 Whereas, Historically off-label prescribing has had harmful effects on children, such as
18 Verapamil causing hypotension and death, or Chloramphenicol causing circulatory collapse,
19 also known as "gray baby syndrome;" and
20

21 Whereas, The Pediatric Research Equity Act and Best Pharmaceuticals Act for Children are
22 designed to protect children; and
23

24 Whereas, The exemption of necessitating pediatric trials for "orphan drugs," which are those
25 indicated for the treatment of diseases that affect fewer than 200,000 individuals, creates a
26 loophole for pharmaceutical companies that compromises the quantity and safety of available
27 drugs that can be used in children; and
28

29 Whereas, The Institutional Review Board (IRB) is generally unlikely to approve clinical trials
30 involving children if the drug of interest can be tested on adults; however, the physiologic
31 differences between these groups can have a significant impact on pharmacokinetics and
32 pharmacodynamics; and
33

34 Whereas, Extrapolating efficacy from adult to pediatric populations can streamline pediatric drug
35 development and help to increase the number of approvals for pediatric use, implicit
36 extrapolation of data (i.e. off-label use, without investigation) can have harmful effects on
37 children; and

1 Whereas, The Institute for Advanced Clinical Trials (I-ACT) for Children is an independent
2 501(c)(3) public-private collaboration, funded by membership, a Food and Drug Administration
3 (FDA) U18 grant, and donations that is dedicated to improving the efficiency and success of
4 pediatric drug trials, leading to the development of innovative therapeutic solutions and
5 improvement in the health outcomes of children; and
6

7 Whereas, I-ACT for Children improves pharmaceutical equity for children by connecting
8 pediatric experts, sites, and other resources needed to conduct efficient clinical trials to clinical
9 trial sponsors and stakeholders; and
10

11 Whereas, In 2020, I-ACT for Children was able to design an adaptive platform trial for
12 Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy allowing multiple potential drugs to be tested in parallel,
13 advocated for the inclusion of adolescents in adult clinical trials and planned pediatric studies
14 targeting development of COVID-19 vaccination and treatment; and
15

16 Whereas, I-ACT for Children holds collaboration agreements with sites across the United
17 States, Central and South America, Saudi Arabia, South Africa, Australia, Europe, Canada, and
18 Japan allowing for expansive patient recruitment so that trials can reach enrollment goals faster,
19 accelerating study startup; and
20

21 Whereas, Our AMA already supports policies regarding FDA surveillance of clinical trials to
22 maintain proportional representation of women and minority groups, including consideration of
23 pediatric and elderly populations; therefore be it
24

25 RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association amend Policy H-100.987, "Insufficient
26 Testing of Pharmaceutical Agents in Children," by addition to read as follows:
27

28 Insufficient Testing of Pharmaceutical Agents in Children H-100.987

- 29 1. The AMA supports the FDA's efforts to encourage the development and testing of
30 drugs in the pediatric age groups in which they are used.
31 2. The AMA supports collaboration between stakeholders, including but not limited
32 to the FDA, the American Academy of Pediatrics, and nonprofit organizations
33 such as the Institute for Advanced Clinical Trials for Children, to improve the
34 efficiency and safety of pediatric pharmaceutical trials in pursuit of pharmaceutical
35 equity for pediatric populations. (Modify Current HOD Policy)

Fiscal Note: Minimal - less than \$1,000

Received: 05/11/22

Sources:

1. The Pediatric Drug Development Gap: I-act for children. Institute for Advanced Clinical Trials in Children. (2022). Retrieved February 10, 2022. <https://www.iactc.org/about-us/the-pediatric-drug-development-gap/>
2. Joseph PD, Craig JC, Caldwell PH. Clinical trials in children. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2015;79(3):357-369. doi:10.1111/bcp.12305
3. Nishiwaki S, Ando Y. Gap between pediatric and adult approvals of Molecular Targeted Drugs. *Nature News*. <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-020-73028-w>. Published October 13, 2020. Accessed January 16, 2022
4. Fliesler N. Kids Wait years longer for cancer drugs. *Boston Children's Answers*. <https://answers.childrenshospital.org/wait-time-pediatric-cancer-drug-trials/>. Published June 12, 2019. Accessed January 16, 2022
5. Mulugeta YL, Zajicek A, Barrett J, et al. Development of Drug Therapies for Newborns and Children: The Scientific and Regulatory Imperatives. *Pediatr Clin North Am*. 2017;64(6):1185-1196. doi:10.1016/j.pcl.2017.08.015
6. Leitner RP, Hawker RE, Celermajer JM. Intravenous verapamil in the treatment of paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia in children. *Aust Paediatr J*. 1983;19(1):40-44. doi:10.1111/j.1440-1754.1983.tb02050.x
7. Burns LE, Hodgman JE, Cass AB. Fatal circulatory collapse in premature infants receiving chloramphenicol. *N Engl J Med*. 1959;261:1318-1321. doi:10.1056/NEJM195912242612604
8. Clarification of Orphan Designation of Drugs and Biologics for Pediatric Subpopulations of Common Diseases. <https://www.fda.gov/files/about%20fda/published/Clarification-of-Orphan-Designation-of-Drugs-and--Biologics-for-Pediatric-Subpopulations-of-Common-Diseases.pdf>. Published July 2020. Accessed January 16, 2022
9. Hrpp Manual Section 6-8-C. HRPP Manual Section 6-8-C | Human Research Protection Program | Michigan State University. <https://hrpp.msu.edu/help/manual/6-8-C.html>. Accessed January 16, 2022
10. Costa HTML, Costa TX, Martins RR, Oliveira AG. Use of off-label and unlicensed medicines in neonatal intensive care. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(9):e0204427. Published 2018 Sep 25. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0204427
11. Ollivier C, Thomson A, Manolis E, et al. Commentary on the EMA Reflection Paper on the use of extrapolation in the development of medicines for paediatrics. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2019;85(4):659-668. doi:10.1111/bcp.13883
12. 2020 Annual Report: I-act for children. I-ACT for Children. <https://www.iactc.org/2020-annual-report/#other-accomplishments-of-note>. Published March 23, 2021. Accessed February 16, 2022.
13. Global Pediatric Research Site Network. Institute for Advanced Clinical Trials in Children. (2022). Retrieved February 15, 2022

RELEVANT AMA POLICY

Insufficient Testing of Pharmaceutical Agents in Children H-100.987

The AMA supports the FDA's efforts to encourage the development and testing of drugs in the pediatric age groups in which they are used.

Citation: Sub. Res. 17, I-88; Reaffirmed: Sunset Report, I-98; Reaffirmed: CSAPH Rep. 2, A-08; Reaffirmed: CSAPH Rep. 01, A-18

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 025
(A-22)

Introduced by: Medical Student Section

Subject: Use of Social Media for Product Promotion and Compensation

Referred to: Reference Committee on Amendments to Constitution and Bylaws

1 Whereas, Social media usage in the United States has increased with 81% of adults having a
2 social media profile in 2017¹; and
3

4 Whereas, Consumers cite physician posts and blogs as credible sources of health-related
5 information emphasizing the inherent trust that exists between a patient and a physician, even if
6 that physician is not the consumer's primary care provider¹; and
7

8 Whereas, Social media "influencers" are online personalities with accounts on several social
9 media platforms including, but not limited to, Instagram, Snapchat, TikTok, YouTube, and
10 Facebook, that have influence over their large numbers of followers²; and
11

12 Whereas, Social media marketing, or "influencer marketing" has been cited to be the second
13 most effective promotional strategy as compared to other forms of marketing; this allows many
14 medical social media "influencers" to have an online presence reaching more consumers than a
15 physician in a typical office setting or personal social media account³; and
16

17 Whereas, Physicians active on social media platforms may encounter conflicts of interests as
18 companies, on average, offer Instagram "influencers" with 1,000-10,000 followers \$114 for
19 posting a video and an influencer with 1 million followers up to \$7,000 per post for product
20 promotion⁴; and
21

22 Whereas, The Physician Payments Sunshine Act (PPSA) legally requires medical product
23 manufacturers to report payments or transfers of value to physicians in order to increase
24 transparency and accountability in physicians and the receipt of such payments may diminish
25 the trust the public has in the healthcare system and physicians⁵; and
26

27 Whereas, The American College of Physicians Ethics Manual states, "Physicians should fully
28 disclose their financial interests in selling ethically acceptable products and inform patients
29 about alternatives for purchasing the product"⁶; and
30

31 Whereas, Products promoted by physicians in the media may not be backed by research and
32 have the potential to cause harm to the public through their inefficacy, therefore seeding
33 mistrust in the medical profession^{7,8}; and
34

35 Whereas, The Federal Trade Commission has released guidelines for social media "influencers"
36 on how and when to disclose that videos and posts are sponsored in order to "comply with laws
37 against deceptive ads" and to increase transparency to their audience; and

Whereas, Healthcare workers have been disciplined for social media content and usage including but not limited to, the promotion of products for a company in which they were an authorized representative⁹⁻¹¹; therefore be it

RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association study the ethical issues of medical students, residents, fellows, and physicians endorsing non-health related products through social and mainstream media for personal or financial gain. (Directive to Take Action)

Fiscal Note: Modest - between \$1,000 - \$5,000

Received: 05/11/22

References:

1. Wu T, Deng Z, Zhang D, Buchanan PR, Zha D, Wang R. Seeking and using intention of health information from doctors in social media: The effect of doctor-consumer interaction. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*. 2018;115:106-113. doi:10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2018.04.009.
2. Lou C, Yuan S. Influencer Marketing: How Message Value and Credibility Affect Consumer Trust of Branded Content on Social Media. *Journal of Interactive Advertising*; 19:1, 58-73. 2019. DOI: 10.1080/15252019.2018.153350
3. Audrezet A, Kerviler GD, Moulard JG. Authenticity under threat: When social media influencers need to go beyond self-presentation. *Journal of Business Research*. 2018. doi:10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.07.008.
4. Settembre, Jeanette. "Here's Exactly How Much Your Instagram Posts Are Worth." *MarketWatch*, MarketWatch, 8 Nov. 2017, www.marketwatch.com/story/heres-exactly-how-much-your-instagram-posts-are-worth-2017-11-08-1288153.Hwong, A.R., Sah, S. & Lehmann, L.S. The Effects of Public
5. Disclosure of Industry Payments to Physicians on Patient Trust: A Randomized Experiment. *J GEN INTERN MED* 32, 1186–1192 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-017-4122-y>
6. Sulmasy LS, Bledsoe TA. American College of Physicians Ethics Manual. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 2019;170(2_Supplement):S1-S32. doi:10.7326/M18-2160
7. Letzter, Rafi. "Scientists Retract Research On Dr. Oz-Endorsed Weight Loss Pill." *Popular Science*, Popular Science, 18 Mar. 2019, www.popsci.com/article/science/scientists-retract-research-dr-oz-endorsed-weight-loss-pill/.
8. Korownyk C, Kolber MR, McCormack J, et al. Televised medical talk shows--what they recommend and the evidence to support their recommendations: a prospective observational study. *BMJ*. 2014;349(dec17 11). doi:10.1136/bmj.g7346.
9. Miller NS. AdventHealth resident in hot water for social media posts, side-gig. *Orlando Sentinel*. <https://www.orlandosentinel.com/news/os-ne-jay-feldman-adventhealth-social-media-posts-20191214-cjdkmjv3qbbv7hkt4gg43gbq6u-story.html>. Published December 14, 2019. Accessed March 15, 2020.
10. Miller NS. AdventHealth resident who got in trouble for social media posts no longer with health system. *Orlando Sentinel*. <https://www.orlandosentinel.com/news/os-ne-jay-feldman-no-longer-at-adventhealth-20200110-i2pvtqqiinepdeoykpss4fflbq-story.html>. Published January 10, 2020. Accessed March 15, 2020.
11. Rimmer A. Over 1200 NHS staff have been disciplined for social media use. *BMJ*. 2018. doi:10.1136/bmj.k3947.

RELEVANT AMA POLICY

D-105.995 Protecting Social Media Users by Updating FDA Guidelines

Our AMA will lobby the Food and Drug Administration to: (1) update regulations to ensure closer regulation of paid endorsements of drugs or medical devices by individuals on social media; and (2) develop guidelines to ensure that compensated parties on social media websites provide information that includes the risks and benefits of specific drugs or medical devices and off-use prescribing in every related social media communication in a manner consistent with advertisement guidelines on traditional media forms.

Res. 209, I-15

3.1.5 Professionalism in Relationships with Media

Ensuring that the public is informed promptly and accurately about medical issues is a valuable objective. However, media requests for information about patients can pose concerns about patient privacy and confidentiality, among other issues.

Physicians who speak on health-related matters on behalf of organizations should be aware of to institutional guidelines for communicating with media, where they exist. To safeguard patient interests when working with representative of the media, all physicians should:

- (a) Obtain consent from the patient or the patient's authorized representative before releasing information.
- (b) Release only information specifically authorized by the patient or patient's representative or that is part of the public record.

(c) Ensure that no statement regarding diagnosis or prognosis is made except by or on behalf of the attending physician.

(d) Refer any questions regarding criminal activities or other police matters to the proper authorities

AMA Principles of Medical Ethics: IV

Identification of Physicians by the Media H-485.991

It is the policy of our AMA to communicate to the media that when a physician is interviewed or provides commentary he or she be specifically identified with the appropriate initials "MD" or "DO" after his or her name; and that others be identified with the appropriate degrees after their names.

Res. 601, I-01; Reaffirmation I-09; Reaffirmed: BOT Rep. 09, A-19

Ethical Physician Conduct in the Media D-140.957

1. Our AMA will study disciplinary pathways for physicians who violate ethical responsibilities through their position on a media platform.

2. Our AMA will release a statement affirming the professional obligation of physicians in the media to provide quality medical advice supported by evidence-based principles and transparent to any conflicts of interest, while denouncing the dissemination of dubious or inappropriate medical information through the public media including television, radio, internet, and print media.

Res. 16, A-15; Modified: CEJA Rep. 02, I-17

8.11 Health Promotion and Preventive Care

Medicine and public health share an ethical foundation stemming from the essential and direct role that health plays in human flourishing. While a physician's role tends to focus on diagnosing and treating illness once it occurs, physicians also have a professional commitment to prevent disease and promote health and well-being for their patients and the community.

The clinical encounter provides an opportunity for the physician to engage the patient in the process of health promotion. Effective elements of this process may include educating and motivating patients regarding healthy lifestyle, helping patients by assessing their needs, preferences, and readiness for change and recommending appropriate preventive care measures. Implementing effective health promotion practices is consistent with physicians' duties to patients and also with their responsibilities as stewards of health care resources.

While primary care physicians are typically the patient's main source for health promotion and disease prevention, specialists can play an important role, particularly when the specialist has a close or long-standing relationship with the patient or when recommended action is particularly relevant for the condition that the specialist is treating. Additionally, while all physicians must balance a commitment to individual patients with the health of the public, physicians who work solely or primarily in a public health capacity should uphold accepted standards of medical professionalism by implementing policies that appropriately balance individual liberties with the social goals of public health policies.

Health promotion should be a collaborative, patient-centered process that promotes trust and recognizes patients' self-directed roles and responsibilities in maintaining health. In keeping with their professional commitment to the health of patients and the public, physicians should:

(a) Keep current with preventive care guidelines that apply to their patients and ensure that the interventions they recommend are well supported by the best available evidence.

(b) Educate patients about relevant modifiable risk factors.

(c) Recommend and encourage patients to have appropriate vaccinations and screenings.

(d) Encourage an open dialogue regarding circumstances that may make it difficult to manage chronic conditions or maintain a healthy lifestyle, such as transportation, work and home environments, and social support systems.

(e) Collaborate with the patient to develop recommendations that are most likely to be effective.

(f) When appropriate, delegate health promotion activities to other professionals or other resources available in the community who can help counsel and educate patients.

(g) Consider the health of the community when treating their own patients and identify and notify public health authorities if and when they notice patterns in patient health that may indicate a health risk for others.

(h) Recognize that modeling health behaviors can help patients make changes in their own lives. Collectively, physicians should:

(i) Promote training in health promotion and disease prevention during medical school, residency and in continuing medical education.

(j) Advocate for healthier schools, workplaces and communities.

(k) Create or promote healthier work and training environments for physicians.

(l) Advocate for community resources designed to promote health and provide access to preventive services.

(m) Support research to improve the evidence for disease prevention and health promotion.

AMA Principles of Medical Ethics: V,VII, Issued 2016

Code of Medical Ethics Opinion 9.6.4 Sale of Health-Related Products

The sale of health-related products by physicians can offer convenience for patients, but can also pose ethical challenges. "Health-related products" are any products other than prescription items that, according to the manufacturer or distributor, benefit health. "Selling" refers to dispensing items from the physician's office or website in exchange for money or endorsing a product that the patient may order or purchase elsewhere that results in remuneration for the physician.

Physician sale of health-related products raises ethical concerns about financial conflict of interest, risks placing undue pressure on the patient, threatens to erode patient trust, undermine the primary obligation of physicians to serve the interests of their patients before their own, and demean the profession of medicine.

Physicians who choose to sell health-related products from their offices or through their office website or other online venues have ethical obligations to:

(a) Offer only products whose claims of benefit are based on peer-reviewed literature or other sources of scientific review of efficacy that are unbiased, sound, systematic, and reliable. Physicians should not offer products whose claims to benefit lack scientific validity.

(b) Address conflict of interest and possible exploitation of patients by:

1. Fully disclosing the nature of their financial interest in the sale of the product(s), either in person or through written notification, and informing patients of the availability of the product or other equivalent products elsewhere.

2. Limiting sales to products that serve immediate and pressing needs of their patients (e.g., to avoid requiring a patient on crutches to travel to a local pharmacy to purchase the product).

Distributing products free of charge or at cost makes products readily available and helps to eliminate the elements of personal gain and financial conflict of interest that may interfere, or appear to interfere with the physician's independent medical judgment.

(c) Provide information about the risks, benefits, and limits of scientific knowledge regarding the products in language that is understandable to patients.

(d) Avoid exclusive distributorship arrangements that make the products available only through physician offices. Physicians should encourage manufacturers to make products widely accessible to patients.

AMA Principles of Medical Ethics: II