1 2 3 4 5 6 7	4030 Martin Luther King Jr. Way	Superior Court of California County of Placer  OCT 19 2020  Jake Chatters Executive Officer & Clerk By: M. Taylor, Dentity
8	CALIFORNIA SU	PERIOR COURT
9	COUNTY O	
10		
11	PEOPLE FOR THE STATE OF	Case No.: SCV-0043170
12	CALIFORNIA, ex rel. PLACER COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT	[STIPULATED] FINAL JUDGMENT
13	and FEATHER RIVER AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT,	AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION
14		DATE: 10/19/20
15	Plaintiffs,	TIME: 8:30 am DEPT: 42
16	vs.	Trial Date: 9/29/2020
17	JAMES DAY, individually; BARBARA DAY, individually, MID VALLEY CONSULTING &	That Bate. 7/27/2020
18	GENERAL ENGINEERING, a California corporation; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	
19	cos poración, and BOES 1-10, inclusive,	
20	Defendants.	
22		
23		
24		•
25		
26		
27		

[Stipulated] Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction in People ex rel. PCAPCD & FRAQMD v. James Day, et al. (SCV-0043170)

This Stipulated Judgment and Permanent Injunction ("Final Judgment") is entered into by Plaintiff, the People of the State of California ex rel. Placer County Air Pollution Control District and Feather River Air Quality Management District ("People ex rel. PCAPCD & FRAQMD"; "the People"; or "the Plaintiff Air Districts") and Defendants JAMES DAY, BARBARA DAY, and MID VALLEY CONSULTING AND GENERAL ENGINEERING., a California Corporation (collectively, "Defendants"). For the purposes of this Final Judgment, the People and the Defendants shall be referred to collectively as "Parties" and individually as "Party." Both Parties have stipulated and consented to the entry of this Final Judgment. The Parties have agreed to settle the above-captioned matter without further litigation, as set forth below.

# **INTRODUCTION**

This action was filed on July 24, 2019 by the People ex rel. PCAPCD & FRAQMD regarding Defendants' compliance with state laws and regulations governing air pollution in their provision of air quality testing, construction, maintenance, and repair services at six (6) primary gas dispensing facilities ("GDF") within the respective jurisdictions of Placer County Air Pollution Control District ("PCAPCD") and Feather River Air Quality Management District ("FRAQMD"). As set forth in the Complaint, the People allege that Defendants violated Chapter 4 of Division 26 of the California Health & Safety Code sections 42402.4, 42402.3(a), 42402.2(a), 42402.1(a), 42402(b)(1), 42402(a), 41954(f), 41960.2(a)-(b), 42303.5; regulations promulgated under this chapter, including California Code of Regulations, title 23, sections 2715(e) and 2611; California Air Resources Board Executive Orders VR-202-X and VR-204-W and/or earlier iterations of these Orders; as well as PCAPCD Rule 214 Sec. 301, PCAPCD Rule 214 Sec. 303, PCAPCD Rule 214 Sec. 304, PCAPCD Rule 407, and FRAQMD Rules 3.8.E.1 and 3.13.

23

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

Street Gas").

28

<sup>1</sup> Those six stations are: Hira's Beacon, 510 Washington Blvd., Roseville, CA 95678 ("Hira's Beacon");

Arco AM/PM Riverside, 998 Riverside Road, Roseville, CA 95678 ("Riverside Arco"); Rowdy Randy's, 650 High Street, Auburn, California, 95603 ("Rowdy Randy's"); Arco AM/PM, 13405 Lincoln Way, Auburn, CA 95603; Washington Minimart, 999 Washington Blvd., Roseville, California 95678 ("Washington Minimart"); and 5th Street Gas Station, 929 5th Street, Marysville, CA 95901 ("5th

<sup>25</sup> 

Since the filing of the Complaint, representatives of the People *ex rel*. PCAPCD & FRAQMD and Defendants have engaged in settlement discussions. In these negotiations, the People were represented by Aqua Terra Aeris Law Group, and enforcement counsel for PCAPCD and FRAQMD, by and through Matthew C. Maclear, Erica A. Maharg, and James T. Brett. Defendants were represented by the Hughey Phillips, LLP, by and through Galen Gentry and Noah Phillips. The Parties have now agreed to settle this matter without further litigation pursuant to the terms of this Final Judgment for purposes of furthering the public interest.

# FINAL JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION

The Parties, after opportunity for review by counsel, hereby stipulate and consent to the entry of the Final Judgment as set forth below.

# 1. **JURISDICTION**

The Parties stipulate and agree that the Superior Court of California, County of Placer has subject matter jurisdiction over the matters alleged in this action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties to this Final Judgment.

# 2. SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTED CLAIMS

This Final Judgment is neither an admission nor denial by Defendants regarding any issue of law or fact in the above-captioned matter or any violation of law. The Parties enter into this Final Judgment pursuant to a compromise and settlement of disputed claims for purposes of furthering the public interest. The People *ex rel*. PCAPCD & FRAQMD believe that the resolution embodied in this Final Judgment is fair and reasonable and fulfills the People's enforcement objectives; that except as provided in this Final Judgment, no further action is warranted concerning the allegations contained in the Complaint; and that entry of this Final Judgment is in the best interest of the public. Defendants agree that this Final Judgment is a fair and reasonable resolution of the matters alleged in the Complaint. The Parties also waive their separate, independent and individual rights to appeal.

# 3. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>

Except where otherwise expressly defined in this Final Judgment, all terms shall be interpreted consistent with Chapter 4 of Division 26 of the California Health & Safety Code

sections; the regulations promulgated under this chapter, including California Code of Regulations, title 23, sections 2715(e) and 2611; California Air Resources Board Executive Orders VR-202-X and VR-204-W; as well as PCAPCD Rule 214 Sec. 301, PCAPCD Rule 214 Sec. 303, PCAPCD Rule 214 Sec. 304, PCAPCD Rule 407, and FRAQMD Rules 3.8.E.1 and 3.13.

# 4. PAYMENTS AND CIVIL PENALTIES

Upon Entry of this [Stipulated] Final Judgment<sup>2</sup>, Defendants shall pay civil penalties to the Treasurer of Placer County Air Pollution Control District in the amount and manner described below. Defendants, and each of them, are jointly and severally liable for the payment of penalties in this action.

# **4.1 Civil Penalties**

Defendants shall be liable for the total amount of Two-Hundred and Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000), pursuant to section 42405 of the California Health and Safety Code, as a civil penalty by wire transfer or certified funds. Of this civil penalty, at least FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$50,000) shall be paid by Defendants within ninety (90) days of Entry of Final Judgment on counsel for Defendants. The remaining TWO HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$200,000) shall be paid by Defendants in equal monthly installments of Three Thousand Three Hundred and Thirty Three Dollars (\$3,333) for a period of sixty (60) months, with the first of those monthly payments beginning on the first day of the month immediately following Defendants' initial payment of at least Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$50,000).

The amount owed by Defendants under the Final Judgment will be secured by a Deed of Trust, attached hereto as **Exhibit A** (hereafter "the Deed of Trust") on the property owned by Defendants JAMES DAY and BARBARA DAY located in Yuba County and commonly known as 831 N. Beale Rd., Marysville, CA 95901 (hereafter "the North Beale Property"). The Parties have stipulated to a Writ of Execution for the sale of the North Beale Property via a Judgment by Confession pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure sections 1132 and 1133, provided, that,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Entry of Final Judgment is the date on which the Court files the [Stipulated] Final Judgment under Placer County Superior Court No. SCV-0043170

the People ex rel. PCAPCD & FRAQMD shall not file the Judgment by Confession with the Yuba
County Superior Court and shall not levy upon the North Beale Property pursuant to the Stipulated
Writ of Execution unless any Defendant has committed a Material Breach (as such term is defined
in Section 6 below) of this Stipulated Final Judgment. The Stipulated Writ of Execution and
Judgment by Confession are attached hereto as <b>Exhibits B</b> and <b>C</b> , respectively. Defendants agree
to not voluntarily encumber the North Beale Property for five (5) years, or until the total amount
owed under the Final Judgment is paid. If Defendants refinance the existing mortgage on the North
Beale Property, elect to sell the North Beale Property, or otherwise enter into further voluntary
encumbrances <sup>3</sup> of the property within five (5) years of entry of Final Judgment, and/or prior to the
payment of the whole amount owed by Defendants under the Final Judgment, the entire remaining
balance owed by Defendants will become due and payable immediately, and at that time the People
may exercise their rights under the Deed of Trust and/or the Judgment by Confession and Stipulated
Writ of Execution. In the event of any involuntary encumbrance of the North Beale Property (i.e.
the filing of a tax lien), Defendants shall give Plaintiff notice in writing, and PCAPCD and/or
FRAQMD shall provide Defendants with sixty (60) days' notice and opportunity to obtain the
removal of any such involuntary encumbrance. If any involuntary encumbrance is not removed
within sixty (60) days, it will be treated the same as a voluntary encumbrance.
Defendants shall provide thirty (30) days' advance written notice to PCAPCD & FRAQMD
prior to the refinance, sale, or further voluntary encumbrance of the North Beale Property.
Defendants shall obtain an agreement from any title company involved with the sale or refinance of
the North Beale Property to distribute the remaining penalty balance to PCAPCD & FRAQMD at
the time of refinance, sale, or encumbrance of the North Beale Property if within five (5) years of
the Entry of Final Judgment or prior to payment of the entire amount due under the Final Judgment.
Within sixty (60) days of Entry of Final Judgment, Defendants shall enter into a litigation guarantee

for the North Beale Property and will provide notice thereof to senior lien holders; failure to secure

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Encumbrance is defined as including, but not limited to, any mortgage, deed of trust, judgment lien, tax lien, option to sale, sale or division of ownership interest, declaration of homestead or transfer of any portion of the real property.

13

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

27

28

a litigation guarantee and provide notice to senior lien holders within sixty (60) days of Entry of Final Judgment shall constitute a material breach of the terms of this Final Judgment.

The People agree to refrain from recording abstracts of judgment against the properties owned by Defendants JAMES DAY and BARBARA DAY, commonly known as 1097 Bronco Drive, Plumas Lake, CA 95961 and 2551 Plumas Arboga Road, Olivehurst, California 95691 unless and until there has been a Material Breach, defined below, by Defendants of the terms of the Final Judgment, including but not limited to breaches of injunctive, notice, and payment terms. Upon such Material Breach, if within five (5) years of the Entry of Final Judgment and prior to full payment of the full amount owed under this Final Judgment, the People are entitled to record abstracts of judgment against the real properties owned by Defendants JAMES DAY and BARBARA DAY, including but not limited to those located at 1097 Bronco Drive, Plumas Lake, CA 95961 and 2551 Plumas Arboga Road, Olivehurst, California 95691. In the event of any Material Breach by any Defendant of their notice, injunctive or payment obligations hereunder, the People agree to first seek recovery of any remaining unpaid civil penalty balance from (a) levy of the North Beale Property pursuant to the Judgment by Confession and Stipulated Writ of Execution, and/or (b) nonjudicial foreclosure of the Deed of Trust recorded against the North Beale Property. If the People seek to recover any unpaid penalty balance hereunder through both the Stipulated Writ of Execution and the Deed of Trust, the People acknowledge and agree that they shall only be entitled to a single recovery of the aggregate unpaid civil penalty balance from the proceeds of sale of the North Beale Property.

Defendants agree to reimburse the People for all costs and fees incurred as a result of presenting Judgment by Confession, Writ of Execution and levy for the North Beale Property and/or for nonjudicial foreclosure of the Deed of Trust.

# 4.2 Form and Delivery of Payment

Defendants shall timely submit to the office of the Placer County Air Pollution Control District payment in certified funds or via a wire transfer made payable to the Treasurer of the Placer County Air Pollution Control District.

# 

Payment shall be deemed timely if post-marked by the Unites States Postal Service by the date that such payment is due, or upon delivery by such date if delivered personally, by overnight mail, or wire transfer.

Payment may be made by certified funds to: Treasurer of the Placer County Air Pollution Control District, or via wire transfer (with instructions being provided upon request from Defendant)..

# 5. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF</u>

Pursuant to the provisions of California Health & Safety Code sections 41513 and 42452, Defendants are permanently enjoined for a period of five (5) years from violating all air quality control laws, including but not limited to, Chapter 4 of Division 26 of the California Health & Safety Code sections 42402.4, 42402.3(a), 42402.2(a), 42402.1(a), 42402(b)(1), 42402(a), 41954(f), 41960.2(a)-(b), 42303.5; regulations promulgated under this chapter, including California Code of Regulations, title 23, sections 2715(e) and 2611; applicable California Air Resources Board Executive Orders VR-202 and VR-204; as well as PCAPCD Rule 214 Sec. 301, PCAPCD Rule 214 Sec. 303, PCAPCD Rule 214 Sec. 304, PCAPCD Rule 407, and FRAQMD Rules 3.8.E.1 and 3.13. Failure to comply with this Final Judgment, including any of its terms or the specific additional injunctive provisions that follow, may subject Defendants to contempt, shall constitute a material breach of the Final Judgment, and shall result in the remaining balance owed by Defendants under the Final Judgment becoming due and payable at the time of such material breach.

# **5.1 Specific Injunctive Provisions**

**5.1.a** Defendants and their employees must obtain and maintain current air quality certifications as required by Air Resources Board Executive Orders, Installation, Operation and Maintenance Manuals ("IOMs"), and PCAPCD & FRAQMD Advisories for air quality and vapor recovery services provided.

**5.1.b** Defendants shall conduct quality assurance and quality control verifications of the accuracy of the data and test results submitted by Defendants and their employees to PCAPCD, FRAQMD and GDF owners and operators.

1	<b>5.1.c</b> Defendants shall provide all air quality testing and service certifications for Defendants
2	and their employees to the Plaintiff Air Districts on an annual basis, and no later than January 15 <sup>th</sup>
3	of each year.
4	<b>5.1.d</b> Defendants shall provide a minimum of 72 hours advance notice, in writing, of the
5	performance by them, or any of their employees, of any air quality services or testing subject to the
6	jurisdiction of PCAPCD or FRAQMD. On a case-by-case basis, the District may approve shorter
7	notification time. All approvals will be provided in writing. Defendants shall coordinate with
8	Plaintiff Air District personnel to ensure that those personnel are present for such air quality
9	services and testing in the Plaintiff Air Districts' respective jurisdictions; if the Plaintiff Air
10	Districts determine observation for an particular testing or service event is not necessary, this
11	requirement will be waived in writing. The services and testing for which advance notice to the
12	Plaintiff Air Districts is required under subsection 5.1.d include:
13	General Activities Requiring Notification:
14 15	<ul> <li>In Station Diagnostic (ISD) alarm response or troubleshooting</li> <li>Certified Unified Program Agencies (CUPA) alarm response or troubleshooting</li> <li>Designated operator work</li> </ul>
16 17	<ul> <li>Any work to correct/identify defects as found on the California Air Resources Board (CARB) Vapor Recovery Equipment Defect List</li> <li>Point of sale software/hardware work</li> <li>Dispenser work</li> </ul>
18	Any Veeder-Root programming
19 20	<ul> <li>Any Incon programming</li> <li>Any tank monitoring programming</li> <li>Performing a "cold start"</li> </ul>
21	<ul> <li>Any other testing specified by CUPA authorities intended for use at gasoline dispensing facilities, including tests not yet approved.</li> </ul>
22	Test Procedures Found in CARB Executive Orders:
23 24	<ul> <li>VR 201 Exhibit 5 Vapor to Liquid Ratio Test</li> <li>VR 201 Exhibit 7 Nozzle Bag Test Procedure</li> </ul>
25	<ul> <li>VR 201 Exhibit 9 Liquid Condensate Test Procedure</li> <li>VR 201 Exhibit 14 Dispenser Integrity Test</li> </ul>
26	VR 201 Exhibit 15 ARID Technologies AT-150 Permeator Compliance Test Procedure
27	<ul> <li>VR 202 Exhibit 5 Vapor to Liquid Ratio Test</li> <li>VR 202 Exhibit 7 Nozzle Bag Test Procedure</li> </ul>
28	VR 202 Exhibit 9 Veeder-Root ISD Operability Test Procedure

1	VR 202 Exhibit 10 FFS Incon ISD Operability Test Procedure
	VR 202 Exhibit 11 Liquid Condensate Test Procedure
2	VR 202 Exhibit 14 Dispenser Integrity Test
3	<ul> <li>VR 202 Exhibit 15 ARID Technologies AT-150 Permeator Compliance Test Procedure</li> </ul>
	VR 203 Exhibit 5 Liquid Removal Test Procedure
4	VR 203 Exhibit 7 Nozzle Bag Test Procedure
5	VR 203 Exhibit 8 VST ECS; Hydrocarbon Sensor Verification Test Procedure
	VR 203 Exhibit 9 VST ECS; Determination of Processor Activation Pressure
6	VR 203 Exhibit 10 Veeder-Root; Vapor Pressure Sensor Verification Test Procedure
7	VR 203 Exhibit 11 Veeder-Root Vapor Polisher, Operability Test Procedure
7	VR 203 Exhibit 12 Veeder-Root Vapor Polisher; Hydrocarbon Emissions Verification Test
8	Procedure  WB 200 F 1 11 1 12 W 1 100 P
	VR 203 Exhibit 13 Hirt VCS 100 Processor; Operability Test Procedure  VR 203 Exhibit 14 Fig. 11: Fig. 1: Conf. Conf
9	VR 203 Exhibit 14 Franklin Fueling Systems CAS; Station Pressure Performance Test Procedure
10	VR 203 Exhibit 15 VST Green Machine Compliance Test Procedure
11	VR 203 Exhibit 16 Liquid Condensate Trap Compliance Test Procedure
11	VR 204 Exhibit 5 Liquid Removal Test Procedure
12	VR 204 Exhibit 7 Nozzle Bag Test Procedure
	VR 204 Exhibit 8 VST ECS; Hydrocarbon Sensor Verification Test Procedure
13	<ul> <li>VR 204 Exhibit 9 VST ECS; Determination of Processor Activation Pressure</li> </ul>
14	VR 204 Exhibit 10 Veeder-Root; Vapor Pressure Sensor Verification Test Procedure
•	VR 204 Exhibit 11 Veeder-Root Vapor Polisher, Operability Test Procedure
15	VR 204 Exhibit 12 Veeder-Root Vapor Polisher; Hydrocarbon Emissions Verification Test Procedure
16	VR 204 Exhibit 13 Hirt VCS 100 Processor; Operability Test Procedure
17	VR 204 Exhibit 14 Franklin Fueling Systems CAS; Station Pressure Performance Test
1 /	Procedure
18	VR 204 Exhibit 15 VST Green Machine Compliance Test Procedure
.	VR 204 Exhibit 16 Liquid Condensate Trap Compliance Test Procedure
19	<ul> <li>VR 204 Exhibit 17 Veeder-Root; ISD Vapor Flow Meter Operability Test Procedure</li> </ul>
20	VR 204 Exhibit 19 INCON Flow Meter Operability Test Procedure
	VR 204 Exhibit 20 INCON Pressure Sensor Operability Test Procedure
21	Test Procedures found on CARB's Website:
22	
22	TP 201.1b Static Torque of Rotatable Phase I Adaptors
23	TP 201.1c Leak Rate of Drop Tube/Drain Valve Assembly
24	TP 201.1d Leak Rate of Drop Tube Overfill Protection Devices and Spill Container Drain
	Valves
25	• TP 201.13 Leak Rate and Cracking Pressure of Pressure/Vacuum Vent Valves
26	TP 201.3 Determination of 2 Inch WV Static Pressure Performance of Vapor Recovery Systems of Dispensing Englisher  Output  Description  Descrip
-	Systems of Dispensing Facilities  TR 201 3a Determination of Pining Connections to Underground Goseling Storage Tenks
27	• TP 201.3c Determination of Piping Connections to Underground Gasoline Storage Tanks (Tie-Tank Test)
28	TP 201.4 Dynamic Back Pressure
ا ۵۷	- 11 201.7 Dynamic Dack Hossaic

- TP 201.5 Air to Liquid Volume
- TP 201.6 Determination of Liquid Removal of Vapor Recovery Systems of Dispensing **Facilities**
- TP 201.6c Compliance determination of Liquid Removal Rate
- TP 206.3 Determination of Static Pressure Performance of Vapor Recovery Systems at Gasoline Dispensing Facilities Using Aboveground Storage Tanks
- Any other testing specified by CARB or CARB approved test procedure intended for use at gasoline dispensing facilities, including tests not yet approved.

# Certified Unified Program Agencies (CUPA) Activities:

- CCR 22637(g) Triennial Secondary Containment Testing and Secondary Containment **Repair Testing**
- CCR 2637.1(f) Annual Spill Bucket Testing and Spill Bucket Repair Testing
- CCR 2637.2(f) Triennial Overfill Prevention Testing and Overfill Prevention Repair
- CCR 2638(e) Annual Monitoring Certification Testing and Monitoring Certification Repair Testing
- 2644.1 (a)(4) Initial Enhanced Leak Detection Testing at install and Suspected Leak Investigations

Irrespective of whether the Plaintiff Air Districts waive the right to be present at the notified testing or services, notice provided by Defendants under this subsection shall include a detailed scope of work, the name and address of the facility where such work will take place, the names of all technicians working on site, all applicable certifications held by each technician required to perform such work, and the dates and times the work will be performed. In addition to the individuals listed in section 8, below, notifications provided to PCAPCD pursuant to this subsection shall be sent to vaporrecoverytesting@placer.ca.gov or pcapcd@placer.ca.gov.

- **5.1.e** On a semi-annual basis, Defendants shall provide all documents in their possession to PCAPCD & FRAQMD evidencing any and all air quality services and testing enumerated in section 5.1.d, and performed by Defendants and their employees within the Plaintiff Districts' respective jurisdictions, and for any business entity in which any of the Defendants have equal to or more than a 25% ownership interest.
- **5.1.f** For any business entity that performs the air quality testing or services enumerated in section 5.1.d within PCAPCD's & FRAQMD's jurisdictions, and which (a) is associated or affiliated with JAMES DAY, (b) is party to any joint venture or partnership with JAMES DAY, (c)

27

23

24

25

26

1	has hired JAMES DAY as a contractor or consultant for services having a value equal to or in
2	excess of Six Hundred Dollars (\$600.00) in the aggregate within any one (1) year period
3	(irrespective of whether JAMES DAY receives compensation for such services), (d) has engaged
4	JAMES DAY to provide air quality testing or services, or (e) for any such business entity in which
5	JAMES DAY has less than a 25% ownership interest, under these circumstances, JAMES DAY
6	must notify the Plaintiff Air Districts of any of the aforementioned business relationships within
7	fifteen (15) days of forming such business relationships. JAMES DAY must provide notice of those
8	business relationships described above and existing at the time of the Entry of Final Judgment
9	within fifteen (15) days of Entry of Final Judgment.
10	6. "MATERIAL BREACH" - VIOLATION OF INJUNCTION AND FAILURE TO PAY
11	<u>PENALTIES</u>
12	6.1. "Material Breach" is defined as follows:
13	6.1.a Failure to satisfy any injunctive, notice or payment term as required under this Final
14	Judgment.
15	6.1.b Failure to provide truthful and accurate information or notices as described in section
16	5.1 shall constitute a material breach of the Final Judgment.
17	6.1.c Failure to satisfy any monthly payment obligation for two (2) or more consecutive
18	months, or failure to pay previously owed and unpaid penalties from the previous month's payment
19	in the following month's penalty installment payment shall constitute a material breach of the Final
20	Judgment, unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties in writing, and approved by counsel.
21	6.2 Upon any Material Breach of any injunctive, notice, and payment term described in the
22	Final Judgment, the entire remaining amount owed by Defendants under the Final Judgment shall
23	become immediately due and payable and empower the People to exercise their rights under the
24	Final Judgment, Stipulated Writ of Execution, and Judgment by Confession
25	7. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS FINAL JUDGMENT
26	7.1 This Final Judgment is a final and binding resolution and settlement of all claims,

violations, or causes of action alleged by the People ex rel. PCAPCD & FRAQMD in the Complaint

court order or public authority or agency; or action or non-action by, or inability to obtain the necessary authorizations or approvals from any governmental agency. A Force Majeure Event shall not include normal inclement weather, acts or omissions of Defendants or its employees, representatives or agents, or Defendants' financial inability to fund or complete the obligation(s) under this Final Judgment. Defendants shall provide notice to Plaintiff orally or by electronic or facsimile transmission as soon as practicable, but not later than ten (10) days after the time Defendants first knew of, or by the exercise of due diligence, should have known of, a claimed Force Majeure Event. The notice shall describe the event and the length of any extension sought. If Plaintiff agrees that a Force Majeure Event, as defined by this paragraph, has occurred, Plaintiff shall agree to extend the time for Defendants to perform the affected requirements for the time necessary to complete those obligations. Failures resulting from a Force Majeure Event shall not be considered a breach of this Final Judgment, provided Defendants complies with the terms of this paragraph. If Plaintiff does not agree that a Force Majeure Event, as defined by this paragraph, has occurred or does not agree to the length of the extension of time sought by Defendants, Plaintiff's position shall be binding, unless Defendants invokes Dispute Resolution under section 14 of this Final Judgment. In any such dispute, Defendants bears the burden of proving, by a preponderance of the evidence, that each claimed force majeure event is a Force Majeure Event, that Defendants gave the notice required by this paragraph, that the Force Majeure Event caused any failure to comply or delay in compliance with an obligation of this Final Judgment that Defendants claim was attributable to that event, and that Defendants exercised reasonable efforts to prevent or minimize any failure or delay in compliance caused by the event. The requirement that Defendants exercise their "best efforts to fulfill the obligation" includes the requirement that Defendants use their best efforts to anticipate any potential force majeure event and use best efforts to address the effects of any potential force majeure event: (1) as it is occurring, and (2) following the force majeure event,

# 8. NOTICE

All submissions and notices required by this Final Judgment shall be sent to:

such that the delay is minimized to the greatest extent possible.

5

7

8

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

1	For the People <i>ex rel</i> . PCAPCD & FRAQMD:
2	Erik White
3	Bruce Springsteen, Compliance Supervisor Air Pollution Control Officer
4	Placer County Air Pollution Control District
	110 Maple Street, Auburn, CA 95603
5	Aubum, CA 75005
6	Christopher Brown, AICP Air Pollution Control Officer
7	Feather River Air Quality Management District
8	541 Washington Ave. Yuba City, CA 95991
9	Tuba City, CA 93991
10	With a copy to:
	Matthew C. Maclear
11	Aqua Terra Aeris Law Group
12	mcm@atalawgroup.com
13	For Defendants JAMES DAY, BARBARA DAY, and MID VALLEY CONSULTING AND
14	GENERAL ENGINEERNG:
15	James Day
16	1097 Bronco Drive Plumas Lake, CA 95961
17	
	With a copy to:
18	Galen Gentry
19	Hughey Phillips, LLP Counsel of Record for Defendants
20	520 9th St, Suite 230
21	Sacramento, CA 95814
22	
23	Any Party may change its notice name and address by informing the other party in writing, but
	no change is effective until it proof of receipt is confirmed. All notices and other communications
24	required or permitted under this Final Judgment that are properly addressed as provided in this
25	paragraph are effective upon delivery if delivered personally or by overnight mail, or are effective
26	five (5) days following deposit in the United States mail, postage prepaid, if delivered by First Class
27	
28	mail.
	13
	[Stipulated] Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction in People <i>ex rel</i> . PCAPCD & FRAQMD v. James Day, <i>et al.</i> (SCV-0043170)
- 1	- 15pt 00. 10. 1 011 02 00 1111 (2.12) (( outlies 2 u), 0. 00. ( 0.0 1 0)

# 

# 

# 

# 9. EFFECT OF FINAL JUDGMENT

Except as expressly provided in this Final Judgment, nothing in this Final Judgment is intended nor shall it be construed to preclude the People *ex rel*. PCAPCD & FRAQMD, or any state, county, city, or local agency, department, board or entity from exercising its authority under any law, statute or regulation. Except as expressly provided in this Final Judgment, Defendants retain all of their defenses to the exercise of the aforementioned authority

# 10. LIABLITY OF THE PEOPLE

The People *ex rel*. PCAPCD & FRAQMD shall not, in the absence of negligence or breach of any duty imposed by law, be liable for any injury or damage to persons or property resulting from acts or omissions by Defendants, their directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives or contractors, in merely carrying out activities pursuant to this Final Judgment, nor shall the People *ex rel*. PCAPCD & FRAQMD be held as a party to or guarantor of any contract entered into by Defendants, its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives or contractors, in carrying out the requirements of this Final Judgment.

# 11. NO WAIVER OF RIGHT TO ENFORCE

The failure of the People to enforce any provision of this Final Judgment shall neither be deemed a waiver of such provision nor in any way affect the validity of this Final Judgment. The failure of the People *ex rel*. PCAPCD & FRAQMD to enforce any such provision shall not preclude it from later enforcing the same or any Reserved Claim of this Final Judgment. Except as expressly provided in this Final Judgment, Defendants retain all defenses allowed by law to any such later enforcement. No oral advice, guidance, suggestions or comments by employees or officials of any Plaintiff Air District regarding matters covered in this Final Judgment shall be construed to relieve any Party of its obligations under this Final Judgment, unless made in writing and approved by counsel.

# 12. <u>FUTURE REGULATORY CHANGES</u>

Nothing in this Final Judgment shall excuse Defendants from meeting any more stringent requirements that may be imposed by applicable law or by any changes in the applicable law,

3

# 13. APPLICATION OF FINAL JUDGMENT

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

# 13

14

15 16

17

18

20

21 22

23

25

26

27

28

including but not limited to, any changes to Plaintiff Air District Rules or California Air Resources Board Executive Orders.

This Final Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the People ex rel. PCAPCD & FRAQMD and upon Defendants, separately and each of them, and their officers, managers and employees., agents performing work subject to the Air District's independent jurisdictions, successors and assigns, provided, that, this Final Judgment shall not be applicable to any successorin-interest of Mid Valley Consulting & General Engineering following an arms-length sale of assets to any bona fide third-party unaffiliated with any Defendants. If Defendants sell more than 25% of Mid Valley Consulting's assets, the payment of the remaining of penalties owed and payable under this [Stipulated] Final Judgment would become due and payable within 15 days of the asset purchase.

# 14. <u>AUTHORITY TO ENTER FINAL JUDGMENT</u>

Each signatory to this Final Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to enter into this Final Judgment, to execute it on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party or Parties on whose behalf that individual is so signing.

# 15. CONTINUING JURISDICTION & DISPUTE RESOLUTION

**15.1** Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 664.6, the Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this Final Judgment and to address any other matters arising out of this Final Judgment including any potential dispute among the Parties that may arise under the provisions of this Final Judgment. The Court shall have the power to enforce this Final Judgment with all available legal and equitable remedies, including contempt.

15.2 Any Party may invoke the dispute resolution procedures of this Section by notifying any other Party in writing of the matter(s) in dispute and of the disputing Party's proposal for resolution. The Parties shall then meet and confer in good faith (either telephonically or in person) within ten (10) days of the date of the notice to attempt to fully resolve the dispute no later than thirty (30) calendar days from the date of the notice.

**15.3** If the Parties cannot resolve the dispute within thirty (30) days of the meet and confer described in Paragraph 13.2, the Parties agree that the dispute may be submitted for formal resolution by filing a motion before the Superior Court for the County of Placer.

**15.4** In resolving any dispute arising from this Final Judgment before the Court, the Parties shall be entitled to seek fees and costs incurred.

# 16. PAYMENT OF LITIGATION EXPENSES AND FEES

The Parties shall pay their own attorney fees, expert witness fees and costs and all other costs of litigation and investigation incurred to date.

# 17. INTERPRETATION

This Final Judgment was drafted equally by all Parties. The Parties agree that the rule of construction holding that ambiguity is construed against the drafting party shall not apply to the interpretation of this Final Judgment.

# 18. COUNTERPART SIGNATURES

This Final Judgment may be executed by the Parties in counterpart.

# 19. ENTRY AFTER CONSIDERATION

The Parties seek approval of this Final Judgment after consideration by the Court and have requested that the Court decide that the Final Judgment is fair and in the public interest.

# **20. INTEGRATION**

This Final Judgment constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties and may not be amended or supplemented except as provided for in the Final Judgment. No oral representations have been made or relied upon other than as expressly set forth herein.

# 21. MODIFICATION OF FINAL JUDGMENT

This Final Judgment may be modified only upon a noticed motion by one of the Parties with approval of the Court, or upon written consent by all of the Parties and the approval of the Court.

# 22. RECONVEYANCE OF DEED OF TRUST; SATISFACTION OF JUDGMENT

Within fifteen (15) business days of the date on which Defendants complete payment of the civil penalties described in Section 4.1 above, the People *ex rel*. PCAPCD & FRAQMD shall deliver to

1	Defendants: (a) a fully-executed and notarized reconveyance of the Deed of Trust in form and
2	substance reasonably satisfactory to Defendants, whom will provide the proposed language (b) a
3	fully-executed Acknowledgement of Satisfaction of Judgment with respect to this Final Judgment,
4	acknowledging full satisfaction of this Final Judgment and (c) a fully-executed Acknowledgement
5	of Satisfaction of Judgment, with respect to the Judgment by Confession acknowledging full
6	satisfaction of the Judgment by Confession, if and to the extent that the Judgment by Confession has
7	been filed with the Yuba County Superior Court. If the Judgment by Confession has not be filed
8	with the Yuba County Superior Court it shall be returned to Defendants for destruction within
9	fifteen (15) business days of completion of payments of the civil penalties hereunder.
10	23. TERMINATION OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION
11	After the Permanent Injunction has been in effect for five (5) years, and after the total amount
12	owed by Defendants under this Final Judgment has been paid in full, the injunction prescribed by
13	this Final Judgment in section 5 above shall terminate. Upon such termination, the injunctive
14	provisions under Paragraph 5 and 5.1 I et seq. shall have no prospective force or effect.
15	
16	
17	Approved as to Form and Substance.
18	Dated: 10/16/2020 AQUA TERRA AERIS LAW GROUP
19	By: The Mostler
20	Matthew C. Maclear For Plaintiffs
21	10/15/2020
22	Dated: 10/15/2020  HUGHEY PHILLIPS, LLP  By:  Galen M. Gentry
23	Galen M. Gentry For Defendants
24	IT IS SO STIPULATED.
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	Dated:	
2		PLACER COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT
3		By:
4		ADAM BAUGHMAN
5		Deputy Air Pollution Control Officer
6		FEATHER RIVER AIR QUALITY MANAGEMEN DISTRICT
7	Dated:	
8		By: Christopher Brown
9		Air Pollution Control Officer
10	FOR JAMES DAV. RARARA DAV an	d MID VALLEY CONSULTING AND GENERAL
11	ENIGNEERING, a California Corporati	ion.
12	Dated: 10 - 15 - 2020	Ву:
13		JAMES DAY
14		
15	Dated: 10-15-2020	By: Barbar (h) ay
16		BARBARA DAY
17		IMP
18	DATED: 10-15-2020	BY:
19		MID VALLEY CONSULTING & GENERAL ENGINEERING JAMES DAY, PRESIDENT/CEO
20		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND D	DECREED.
22		
23	DATED:	By:
24		HON. CHARLES D. WACHOB
25		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
26		
27		
28		
-	FO.* 1 . 11 Pt . 1 x . 1	18
- 11	Stimulated Final Ind	amont and Downson ant Laine time

ATA Law Group 490 43rd Street, Suite 108 Oakland CA 94608 415-568-5200

	,	
1	Dated: 10/15/2020	
2		PLACER COUNTY AIR POBLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT
3		By: Sam Sum
4		ADAM BAUGHMAN
5		Deputy Air Pollution Control Officer
6		FEATHER RIVER AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
7	Dated:	
8		By: CHRISTOPHER BROWN Air Pollution Control Officer
9		Tan Tondion Condo Cinico
10	FOR JAMES DAY; BARARA DAY, and	MID VALLEY CONSULTING AND GENERAL
11	ENIGNEERING, a California Corporat	ion.
12	Dated:	By:
13		JAMES DAY
14		
15	Dated:	By: Barbara Day
16		BARBARA DAY
17		
18	DATED:	BY: MID VALLEY CONSULTING & GENERAL ENGINEERING
19		JAMES DAY, PRESIDENT/CEO
20		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND I	DECREED.
22		
23	DATED:	By:
24		HON. CHARLES D. WACHOB JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
25		THE SOLEMON COUNT
26		
27		
28		
	[Stinulated] Final Ltd	ament and Parman ant Injury time :
	People ex rel. PCAPCD & FRA	gment and Permanent Injunction in AQMD v. James Day, <i>et al.</i> (SCV-0043170)

ATA Law Group 490 43rd Street, Suite 108 Oakland CA 94608 415-568-5200

1		Dr. Long Covern Ard Dovernov Covernov
2	· II	PLACER COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT
3		By:
4		ADAM BAUGHMAN Deputy Air Pollution Control Officer
5		
6	<b>v</b> II	FEATHER RIVER AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT District
7	Dated: 10/15/20	By: Christopher Brown
8	5	CHRISTOPHER BROWN
9		Air Pollution Control Officer
10	FOR JAMES DAY; BARARA DAY, and MID VA	LLEV CONSULTING AND GENERAL
11		EEET CONSCETTING MAD GENERALE
12	Dated: By:	
13	IAMES D	AY
14		
15	Dated: By:	<del></del>
16	BARBAR.	A DAY
17	,	
18		
19		LEY CONSULTING & GENERAL ENGINEERING AY, PRESIDENT/CEO
20		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREE	D.
22		
23	BY:	
24	HON. CH	ARLES D. WACHOB OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
25		of the Superior Court
26		
27		
28		
ATA Law Group 490 43rd Street, Suite 108	18	
Oakland CA 94608 415-568-5200	[Stipulated] Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction in People <i>ex rel</i> . PCAPCD & FRAQMD v. James Day, <i>et al.</i> (SCV-0043170)	

1	Dated:	D
2		PLACER COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT
3		Ву:
4		Adam Baughman
5		Deputy Air Pollution Control Officer
6	D. 1. 10/15/00	FEATHER RIVER AIR QUALITY MANAGEMEN DISTRICT
7	Dated:_ <u>10/15/20</u>	By: Christopher Brown CHRISTOPHER BROWN
8		CHRISTOPHER BROWN Air Pollution Control Officer
10	FOR JAMES DAY; BARARA DAY, and	d MID VALLEY CONSULTING AND GENERAL
11	ENIGNEERING, a California Corporat	
12	Dated:	By: James Day
13		0.1.1.1.2.2.1.1
14		
15	Dated:	By:BARBARA DAY
16		J. H. G. H. C. L.
17		
18	DATED:	BY:MID VALLEY CONSULTING & GENERAL ENGINEERING
19		JAMES DAY, PRESIDENT/CEO
20		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND I	DECREED.
22		N
23	DATED: 10-19-20	BY: Wale Wachst
24		HON. CHARLES D. WACHOB JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
25		TODGE OF THE SOLEKION COURT
26		
27		
28		
		18
	[Stipulated] Final Jud	gment and Permanent Injunction in
	reopie ex rei. PCAPCD & FRA	AQMD v. James Day, et al. (SCV-0043170)

# Exhibit A to [Stipulated] Final Judgment

RECORDING REQUESTED BY AND

WHEN RECORDED, RETURN TO:

Matthew C. Maclear AQUA TERRA AERIS LAW GROUP 4030 Martin Luther King Jr. Way Oakland, CA 94609

APN: **020-410-011** 

[SPACE ABOVE FOR RECORDER'S USE ONLY]

### DEED OF TRUST AND ASSIGNMENT OF RENTS

This Deed of Trust, made this 15th day of October 2020, between JAMES DAY, a natural person, and BARBARA DAY, a natural person, as joint tenants, whose address is 1097 Bronco Drive, Plumas Lake, Yuba County, State of California (hereinafter referred to as "Trustor"), on the one hand, and Placer County Air Pollution Control District and Feather River Air Quality Management District, whose addresses are, respectively, 110 Maple St., Auburn, California, 95603 (PCAPCD) and 541 Washington Ave. Yuba City, California 95991, (hereinafter collectively to as "Trustee" and "Beneficiary").

Witnesseth: That Trustor IRREVOCABLY GRANTS, TRANSFERS AND ASSIGNS TO TRUSTEE IN TRUST, WITH POWER OF SALE that certain property located in the County of Yuba, State of California, commonly known as 831 N. Beale Rd., Marysville, California, 95901, and more particularly described as:

# SEE EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED HERETO AND MADE A PART HEREOF FOR FULL LEGAL DESCRIPTION

TOGETHER WITH the rents, issues and profits thereof, SUBJECT, HOWEVER, to the right, power and authority given to and conferred upon Beneficiary by paragraph (10) of the provisions herein to collect and apply such rents, issues and profits.

For the Purpose of Securing:

- (1) Performance of each agreement of Trustor incorporated by reference or contained herein.
- (2) Payment of the indebtedness evidenced by the Stipulated Final Judgment, the Judgment by Confession, and the Writ of Execution entered by the Placer County Superior Court in or around October 2020, and resolving litigation between the Trustor and the Trustee, in the principal sum of Two Hundred and Fifty Thousand U.S. Dollars (\$250,000.00).

(3) Payment of such further sums as the then record owner of said property may borrow from Beneficiary, when evidenced by another note (or notes) reciting it is so secured.

To Protect the Security of This Deed of Trust, Trustor Agrees:

- (1) To keep said property in good condition and repair, not to remove or demolish any building thereon, to complete or restore promptly and in good and workmanlike manner any building which may be constructed, damaged or destroyed thereon and to pay when due all claims for labor performed and materials furnished therefor, to comply with all laws affecting said property or requiring any alterations or improvements to be made thereon, not to commit or permit waste thereof, not to commit, suffer or permit any act upon said property in violations of law to cultivate, irrigate, fertilize, fumigate, prune and do all other acts which from the character or use of said property may be reasonably necessary, the specific enumerations herein not excluding the general.
- (2) To provide maintain and deliver to Beneficiary fire insurance satisfactory to and with loss payable to Beneficiary. The amount collected under any fire or other insurance policy may be applied by Beneficiary upon indebtedness secured hereby and in such order as Beneficiary may determine, or at option of Beneficiary the entire amount so collected or any part thereof may be released to Trustor. Such application or release shall not cure or waive any default or notice of default hereunder or invalidate any act done pursuant to such notice.
- (3) To appear in and defend any action or proceeding purporting to affect the security hereof or the rights or powers of Beneficiary or Trustee, and to pay all costs and expenses including cost of evidence of title and attorneys' fees in a reasonable sum, in any such action or proceeding in which Beneficiary or Trustee may appear, and in any suit brought by Beneficiary or Trustee to foreclose this Deed or act upon a Judgment by Confession or Writ of Execution entered between Trustor and Trustee/Beneficiary.
- (4) To pay at least ten days before delinquency all taxes and assessments affecting said property, including assessments on appurtenant water stock, when due, all encumbrances, charges and liens, with interest, on said property or any part thereof, which appear to be prior or superior hereto, all costs, fees and expenses of this Trust.

Should Trustor fail to make any payment or to do any act as herein provided, then Beneficiary or Trustee, but without obligation so to do and without notice to or demand upon Trustor and without releasing Trustor from any obligation hereof, may make or do the same in such manner and to such extent as either may deem necessary to protect the security hereof Beneficiary or Trustee being authorized to enter upon said property for such purposes; appear in and defend any action or proceeding purporting to affect the security hereof or the rights or powers of Beneficiary or Trustee, pay, purchase, contest or compromise any encumbrance, charge or lien which in the judgment of either appears to be prior or superior hereto, and in exercising any such powers, pay necessary expenses, employ counsel and pay his reasonable fees.

(5) To pay immediately and without demand all sums so expended by Beneficiary or Trustee, with interest from date of expenditure at the amount allowed by law in effect at the date hereof, and to pay for any statement provided for by law in effect at the date hereof regarding the obligation secured hereby any amount demanded by the Beneficiary not to exceed the maximum allowed by law at the time when said statement is demanded.

- (6) That any award of damages in connection with any condemnation for public use of or injury to said property or any part thereof is hereby assigned and shall be paid to Beneficiary who may apply or release such moneys received by him in the same manner and with the same effect as above provided for disposition of proceeds of fire or other insurance.
- (7) That by accepting payment of any sum secured hereby after its due date, Beneficiary/Trustee does not waive his rights either to require prompt payment when due of all other sums so secured or to declare default for failure so to pay.
- (8) That at any time or from time to time, without liability therefor and without notice, upon written request of Beneficiary/Trustee and presentation of this Deed and said note for endorsement, and without affecting the personal liability of any person for payment of the indebtedness secured hereby, Trustee may reconvey any part of said property, consent to the making of any map or plot thereof; join in granting any easement thereon; or join in any extension agreement or any agreement subordinating the lien or charge hereof.
- (9) That upon written request of Trustee/Beneficiary state that all sums secured hereby have been paid, and upon surrender of this Deed and said note to Trustee for cancellation and retention and upon payment of its fees, Trustee shall reconvey, without warranty, the property then held hereunder. The recitals in such reconveyance of any matters or facts shall be conclusive proof of the truthfulness thereof. The grantee in such reconveyance may be described as "The person or persons legally entitled thereto "Five years after issuance of such full reconveyance, Trustee may destroy said note and this Deed (unless directed in such request to retain them).
- (10) That as additional security, Trustor hereby give to and confers upon Beneficiary/Trustee the right, power and authority, during the continuance of these Trusts, to collect the rents, issues and profits of said property, reserving unto Trustor the right, prior to any default by Trustor in payment of any indebtedness secured hereby or in performance of any agreement hereunder, to collect the rents, issues and profits of said property, reserving unto Trustor the right, prior to any default by Trustor in payment of any indebtedness secured hereby or in performance of any agreement hereunder, to collect and retain such rents, issues and profits as they become due and payable. Upon any such default, Beneficiary may at any time without notice, either in person, by agent, or by a receiver to be appointed by a court, and without regard to the adequacy of any security for the indebtedness hereby secured, enter upon and take possession of said property or any part thereof, in his own name sue for or otherwise collect such rents, issues and profits, including those past due and unpaid, and apply the same, less costs and expenses of operation and collection, including reasonable attorneys' fees. Upon any indebtedness secured hereby, and in such order as Beneficiary may determine. The entering upon and taking possession of said property, the collection of such rents, issues and profits and the application thereof as aforesaid, shall not cure or waive any default or notice of default hereunder or invalidate any act done pursuant to such notice.
- (11) That upon default by Trustor in payment of any indebtedness secured hereby or in performance of any agreement hereunder. Beneficiary may declare all sums secured hereby immediately due and payable by delivery to Trustee of written declaration of default and demand for sale and of written notice of default and of election to cause to be sold said property which notice Trustee shall cause to be filed for record. Beneficiary also shall deposit with Trustee this Deed, said note and all documents evidencing expenditures secured hereby.

After the lapse of such time as may then be required by law following the recordation of said notice of default, and notice of sale having been given as then required by law, Trustee, without demand on Trustor, shall sell said property at the time and place fixed by it in said notice of sale, either as a whole or in separate parcels, and in such order as it may determine, at public

auction to the highest bidder for cash in lawful money of the United States, payable at time of sale. Trustee may postpone sale of all or any portion of said property by public announcement at such time and place of sale, and from time to time thereafter may postpone such sale by public announcement at the time fixed by the preceding postponement Trustee shall deliver to such purchaser its deed conveying the property so sold, but without any covenant or warranty, express or implied. The recitals in such deed of any matters or facts shall be conclusive proof of the truthfulness thereof. Any person, including Trustor, Trustee, or Beneficiary as hereinafter defined, may purchase at such sale.

After deducting all costs, fees and expenses of Trustee and of this Trust, including cost of evidence of title in connection with sale, Trustee shall apply the proceeds of sale to payment of all sums expended under the terms hereof, not then repaid, with accrued interest at the amount allowed by law in effect at the date hereof, all other sums then secured hereby, and the remainder, if any, to the person or persons legally entitled thereto.

- (12) Beneficiary, or any successor in ownership of any indebtedness secured hereby, may from time to time, by instrument in writing, substitute a successor or successors to any Trustee named herein or acting hereunder, which instrument, executed by the Beneficiary and duly acknowledged and recorded in the office of the recorder of the county or counties where said property is situated, shall be conclusive proof of proper substitution of such successor Trustee or Trustees, who shall, without conveyance from the Trustee predecessor, succeed to all its title, estate, rights, powers and duties. Said instrument must contain the name of the original Trustor, Trustee and Beneficiary hereunder, the book and page where this Deed is recorded and the name and address of the new Trustee.
- (13) That this Deed applies to, inures to the benefit of, and binds all parties hereto, their heirs, legatees, devisees, administrators, executors, successors and assigns. The term Beneficiary shall mean the owner and holder, including pledgees, of the debt evidenced by the Stipulated Final Judgment, the Judgment by Confession, and the Writ of Execution entered by the Placer County Superior Court in or around October 2020 secured hereby whether or not named as Beneficiary herein in this Deed, whenever the context so requires, the masculine gender includes the feminine and/or neuter, and the singular number includes the plural.
- (14) That Trustee accepts this Trust when this Deed, duly executed and acknowledged, is made a public record as provided by law. Trustee is not obligated to notify any party hereto of pending sale under any other Deed of Trust or of any action or proceeding in which Trustor, Beneficiary or Trustee shall be a party unless brought by Trustee.
- (15) In the event that Trustor elects to sell the Property, re-finance the Property, or otherwise encumber the Property, the entire outstanding amount of the indebtedness secured by this Deed shall be repaid in full before this Deed may be released from the Property.
- (16) Trustor shall provide thirty (30) days' advance written notice to Trustee prior to the refinance, sale, or further encumbrance of the Property.
- (17) This Deed is expressly subject to the terms of the of Stipulated Final Judgment, the Judgment by Confession, and the Writ of Execution entered by the Placer County Superior Court in or around October 2020, and resolving the litigation between JAMES DAY, BARBARA DAY, and MID VALLEY CONSULTING AND GENERAL ENGINEERING.

The undersigned Trustor requests that a copy of any Notice of Default and of any Notice of Sale hereunder be mailed to him at his address hereinbefore set forth.

	TRUSTORS:
Date: 10-16-2020	By: IAMESTIAN
Date: 10-16-70>8	By: Barban Dens
1 20	BARBARA DAY

# **EXHIBIT A: FULL LEGAL DESCRIPTION**

The land described herein is commonly known as 831 N. Beale Rd., Marysville, California, 95901, has an Assessor's Parcel Number of 020-410-011, and is situated in the State of California, County of Yuba, unincorporated area, and is described as follows:

# Parcel 1:

A parcel of land located in the Westerly corner of Tract 10, as shown on the map entitled, "Subdivision of Yuba Gardens", filed in the office of the County Recorder of the County of Yuba, State of California, in Book 3 of Maps, at Page 2, and more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the Northwest corner of that certain parcel of land acquired by J.W. Shepherd by deed recorded July 2, 1937, in Book 40, at Page 153, Official Records of Yuba County; thence from said point of beginning along the base line of "Morrison Grade" or levee, North 89° 44' West, 160.00 feet; thence leaving said Base Line Easterly to a point on the Northwesterly line of said parcel of land; thence along said North westerly line, North 28° 45' East, 50.00 feet to the point of beginning.

### Parcel 2:

A portion of that certain parcel of land in Tract 10, as said Tract is shown on the map entitled, "Yuba Gardens", filed in the office of the County Recorder of the County of Yuba, State of California, in Book 3 of Maps, at Page 2, as acquired by the State of California from the Heirs of J.W. Shepherd by deed dated November 24, 1958, recorded February 6, 1959, in Book 269, at Page 398, Official Records of Yuba County.

Said portion is all that part thereof lying Northerly of the following described line:

Beginning at a point from which the Southwest corner of Section 29, Towhship 15 North, Range 4 East, M.D.B.& M., bears South 47° 10' 37" East 8853.13 feet, said point also being 355.73 feet Northeasterly measured at right angles from the Base Line at Engineer's Station "B" 476+59.57 P.O.T. of the Department of Public Works' 1951 Survey from 3/4 mile North of Ostrom to Marysville, Road III-Yub-3-B; thence from said point of beginning South 28° 22' 21" West, 171.75 feet; thence North 69° 42' 46" West, 249.78 feet; thence North 41° 10' 40" West, 182.63 feet to a point that is 142.10 feet Northerly, measured radially from the "B4" line at Engineer's Station "B4" 480+49.64 of the aforesaid survey.

EXCEPTING FROM PARCELS 1 AND 2 ABOVE all that portion condemned to Three Rivers Levee Improvement Authority, in Final Order of Condemnation, recorded January 27, 2015, as Instrument No. 2015-000915, Official Records.

APN: 020-410-011

Trustors' initials:

JAMES DAY: 10-16-2020

BARBARA DAY: 10. DATE: 10-16-2020

# **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

validity of that document.	
State of California County of	
On October 16, 2020 before me,	
	(insert name and title of the officer)
personally appeared _ James Day an	d Barbara Day
who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidual subscribed to the within instrument and acknowle his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s).	edged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the
I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the paragraph is true and correct.	e laws of the State of California that the foregoing
WITNESS my hand and official seal.	HEATHER A. KELLER Notary Public California Sutter County Commission # 2212856 My Comm. Expires Sep 4, 2021
Signature Heather Kellen	(Seal)

Attached to: Deed of Trust and Assignment of Rents dated: October 16, 2020

# Exhibit B to [Stipulated] Final Judgment

1	MATTHEW C. MACLEAR, SBN 209228						
2	4030 Martin Luther King Jr. Way   Oakland, CA 94609						
3	Telephone: (415) 568-5200 e-mail: mcm@atalawgroup.com						
4							
5	Attorneys for the Plaintiffs The People of the State of California ex rel.						
6	Placer County Air Pollution Air Control District Feather River Air Quality Management District						
7							
8	CALIFORNIA SUPERIOR COURT						
9	COUNTY	OF YUBA					
10							
11	PEOPLE FOR THE STATE OF	Case No.:					
12	CALIFORNIA, ex rel. PLACER COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT	STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF WRIT					
13	and FEATHER RIVER AIR QUALITY	OF EXECUTION					
14	MANAGEMENT DISTRICT,						
15	Plaintiffs,						
16	VS.						
17	JAMES DAY, individually; BARBARA DAY, individually, MID VALLEY CONSULTING &						
18	GENERAL ENGINEERING, a California						
19	corporation; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,						
20	Defendants.						
21							
22	Plaintiff, THE PEOPLE OF THE STAT	TE OF CALIFORNIA ex rel. PLACER COUNTY					
23	AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT	and FEATHER RIVER AIR QUALITY					
24	MANAGEMENT DISTRICT (collectively "the People"), through its attorney, Matthew C. Maclear						
25							
26							
27	VALLEY CONSULTING AND GENERAL ENG						
28	Hughey Phillips, LLP (collectively "Defendants"), hereby stipulate as follows:						
	STIPULATION FOR ENTRY	OF WRIT OF EXECUTION					
	JIII OLATION FOR ENTRI	OI WALL OF LARCUTION					

- The proposed Writ of Execution, a copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and incorporated by this reference, may be entered as set forth herein, without the taking of proof and without trial or adjudication of any issue of law, but with the admissions contained herein.
- Defendants acknowledge that the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Yuba, has jurisdiction of the subject matter hereto, and has personal jurisdiction over
- Counsel for Defendants has the authority to enter into this stipulation and to bind
- Prior to the filing of this proceeding, following confidential discussions, the Parties agreed to the resolution set forth in the Writ of Execution, as well as the Judgment by Confession filed concurrently herewith and attached as **Exhibit B**, and the Final Judgment filed in the Superior Court of the County of Placer, attached hereto as **Exhibit C** (hereafter "the Final
- The Parties enter into this Stipulation for Writ of Execution pursuant to an agreement to settle the claims, as set forth in the Final Judgment, and for the purpose of furthering public interests, environmental protection, and the interests of justice. The Parties stipulate and consent to the entry of this Writ of Execution prior to the taking of any proof, and without trial or
- The Parties agree that this Stipulation for Writ of Execution is expressly subject to

Aqua Terra	<b>Aeris Lav</b>	v Group
------------	------------------	---------

Matthew C. Maclear For Plaintiffs

**Hughey Phillips, LLP** 

Galen M. Gentry

For Defendants

# EXHIBIT A to Writ of Execution

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNE	EY: STATE BAR NO.: 2	209228	FOR COU	JRT USE ONLY	
NAME: Matthew C. Maclear					
FIRM NAME: Aqua Terra Aeris Lav STREET ADDRESS: 4030 Martin Lut					
CITY: Oakland	STATE: CA	ZIP CODE: 94609			
TELEPHONE NO.: (415) 568-5200	FAX NO.:	01000			
EMAIL ADDRESS: mcm@atalawgro	oup.com				
ATTORNEY FOR (name): People of the	e State of California ex rel	. PCAPCD & FRAQMD			
ATTORNEY FOR X OR	RIGINAL JUDGMENT CREDITOR	ASSIGNEE OF RECORD			
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFOR STREET ADDRESS: 215 Fifth Stree MAILING ADDRESS:					
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Marysville, CA BRANCH NAME: Civil	95901				
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: The Pe	eople of the State of California	a ex rel. PCAPCD & FRAQME	CASE NUMBER:		
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Ja	ames Day, Barbara Day, Mid	Valley Consulting & Gen. Eng			
x EXECUTION	I (Money Judgment)		Limited Civil Ca	se	
		al Dramariu	(including Small (		
WRIT OF POSSESSIO	Real Pro	al Property	x Unlimited Civil (	Case	
SALE	Keai FR	operty	(including Family	and Probate)	
1. To the Sheriff or Marshal	of the County of: YUBA				
You are directed to enforce		elow with daily interest and	d vour costs as provided	by law.	
2. To any registered process	· ·			-	15.040.
3. (Name): THE PEOPLE OF		•			
is the <b>x</b> original judgm		nee of record whose add		rm above the cou	rt's name.
<ol> <li>Judgment debtor (name, t natural person, and last known</li> </ol>		<u> </u>	sion/Writ of Sale informa		<b>;</b> .
natural person, and last kind	JWII addiessj.		ued on a sister-state judg		
James Day:		For items 11–17, see fo			
1097 Bronco Drive,		11. Total judgment (as e.	ntered or renewed)	\$ 2	250,000.00
Plumas Lake, CA 95961		12. Costs after judgment	(CCP 685.090)	\$	0.00
		13. Subtotal (add 11 and	l 12)	\$ 2	250,000.00
		14. Credits to principal (a	after credit to interest)	\$	
X Additional judgment	debtors on next page	15. Principal remaining d	lue (subtract 14 from 13)	\$ 2	250,000.00
A Maditional judginion	debtors on next page	16. Accrued interest rem		\$	0.00
5. <b>Judgment entered</b> on <i>(dat</i>	te):	CCP 685.050(b) (not		•	0.00
(See type of judgment in ite	em 22.)	17. Fee for issuance of v	vrit (per GC 70626(a)(l))	\$	0.00
6. Judgment renewed o	on (dates):	18. Total amount due (a	add 15, 16, and 17)	\$ 2	250,000.00
	( / .	19. Levying officer:			
7. Notice of sale under this w	rit·	a. Add daily interes	t from date of writ (at		
a. x has not been req		the legal rate on		•	
	ted (see next page).	•		\$	0.00
8. X Joint debtor informati		b. Pay directly to co			
o. A count depter information	ion on next page.			\$	0.00
[SEAL]			alled for in items 11–19 a amounts are stated for ea		ıch
	Date:	Clerk, by	/		_, Deputy
,	NOTICE TO PERSOI	N SERVED: SEE PAGE 3	FOR IMPORTANT INFO	RMATION.	]

Page 1 of 3

**EJ-130** Plaintiff/Petitioner: The People of the State of California ex rel. PCAPCD & FRAQMD CASE NUMBER: Defendant/Respondent: James Day, Barbara Day, Mid Valley Consulting & Gen. Eng. 21. X Additional judgment debtor(s) (name, type of legal entity if not a natural person, and last known address): Barbara Day, Mid Valley Consulting and General Engineering, 1097 Bronco Drive a California Corporation Plumas Lake, CA 95961 1097 Bronco Drive Plumas Lake, CA 95961 22. The judgment is for (check one): wages owed. child support or spousal support. c. x other. Money Judgment for Civil Penalties Notice of sale has been requested by (name and address): Joint debtor was declared bound by the judgment (CCP 989-994) a. on (date): b. name, type of legal entity if not a natural person, and b. name, type of legal entity if not a natural person, and last known address of joint debtor: last known address of joint debtor: Additional costs against certain joint debtors are itemized: below on Attachment 24c. 25. (Writ of Possession or Writ of Sale) **Judgment** was entered for the following: Possession of real property: The complaint was filed on (date): (Check (1) or (2). Check (3) if applicable. Complete (4) if (2) or (3) have been checked.) The Prejudgment Claim of Right to Possession was served in compliance with CCP 415.46. The judgment includes all tenants, subtenants, named claimants, and other occupants of the premises. The Prejudgment Claim of Right to Possession was NOT served in compliance with CCP 415.46. (2) The unlawful detainer resulted from a foreclosure sale of a rental housing unit. (An occupant not named in the judgment may file a Claim of Right to Possession at any time up to and including the time the levying officer returns to effect eviction, regardless of whether a Prejudgment Claim of Right to Possession was served.) (See CCP 415.46 and 1174.3(a)(2).) (4) If the unlawful detainer resulted from a foreclosure (item 25a(3)), or if the Prejudgment Claim of Right to Possession was

(4) If the unlawful detainer resulted from a foreclosure (item 25a(3)), or if the *Prejudgment Claim of Right to Possession* was not served in compliance with CCP 415.46 (item 25a(2)), answer the following:

- (a) The daily rental value on the date the complaint was filed was \$
- (b) The court will hear objections to enforcement of the judgment under CCP 1174.3 on the following dates (specify):

**EJ-130** 

Plaintiff/Petitioner: The People of the State of California ex rel. PCAPCD & FRAQMD	CASE NUMBER:
Defendant/Respondent: James Day, Barbara Day, Mid Valley Consulting & Gen. Eng.	
25. b. Possession of personal property.	
If delivery cannot be had, then for the value (itemize in 25e) sp	ecified in the judgment or supplemental order.
c. Sale of personal property.	
d. Sale of real property.	
e. The property is described below on Attachment 25e.	

### NOTICE TO PERSON SERVED

WRIT OF EXECUTION OR SALE. Your rights and duties are indicated on the accompanying Notice of Levy (form EJ-150).

WRIT OF POSSESSION OF PERSONAL PROPERTY. If the levying officer is not able to take custody of the property, the levying officer will demand that you turn over the property. If custody is not obtained following demand, the judgment may be enforced as a money judgment for the value of the property specified in the judgment or in a supplemental order.

WRIT OF POSSESSION OF REAL PROPERTY. If the premises are not vacated within five days after the date of service on the occupant or, if service is by posting, within five days after service on you, the levying officer will remove the occupants from the real property and place the judgment creditor in possession of the property. Except for a mobile home, personal property remaining on the premises will be sold or otherwise disposed of in accordance with CCP 1174 unless you or the owner of the property pays the judgment creditor the reasonable cost of storage and takes possession of the personal property not later than 15 days after the time the judgment creditor takes possession of the premises.

EXCEPTION IF RENTAL HOUSING UNIT WAS FORECLOSED. If the residential property that you are renting was sold in a foreclosure, you have additional time before you must vacate the premises. If you have a lease for a fixed term, such as for a year, you may remain in the property until the term is up. If you have a periodic lease or tenancy, such as from month-to-month, you may remain in the property for 90 days after receiving a notice to quit. A blank form *Claim of Right to Possession and Notice of Hearing* (form CP10) accompanies this writ. You may claim your right to remain on the property by filling it out and giving it to the sheriff or levying officer.

EXCEPTION IF YOU WERE NOT SERVED WITH A FORM CALLED PREJUDGMENT CLAIM OF RIGHT TO POSSESSION. If you were not named in the judgment for possession and you occupied the premises on the date on which the unlawful detainer case was filed, you may object to the enforcement of the judgment against you. You must complete the form *Claim of Right to Possession and Notice of Hearing* (form CP10) and give it to the sheriff or levying officer. A blank form accompanies this writ. You have this right whether or not the property you are renting was sold in a foreclosure.

EJ-130 [Rev. September 1, 2020]

# EXHIBIT B to Writ of Execution

1 2	MATTHEW C. MACLEAR, SBN 209228 4030 Martin Luther King Jr. Way	
	Oakland, CA 94609 Telephone: (415) 568-5200	
3	e-mail: mcm@atalawgroup.com	
4	Attorneys for the Plaintiffs	
5	The People of the State of California ex rel.	
6	Placer County Air Pollution Air Control District Feather River Air Quality Management District	
7		
8	CALIFORNIA SU	PERIOR COURT
9	COUNTY	OF YUBA
10		
11	PEOPLE FOR THE STATE OF	Case No.:
12	CALIFORNIA, ex rel. PLACER COUNTY	
13	AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT and FEATHER RIVER AIR QUALITY	JUDGMENT BY CONFESSION C.C.P. §§ 1132-1134
14	MANAGEMENT DISTRICT,	
15	Plaintiffs,	
16	vs.	
17	JAMES DAY, individually; BARBARA DAY,	
18	individually, MID VALLEY CONSULTING & GENERAL ENGINEERING, a California	
19	corporation; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	
20		
21	Defendants.	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

JUDGMENT BY CONFESSION

The PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA *ex rel*. PLACER COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT and FEATHER RIVER AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT ("People *ex rel*. PCAPCD & FRAQMD" or "the People") and Defendants JAMES DAY, BARBARA DAY, and MID VALLEY CONSULTING AND GENERAL ENGINEERING., a California Corporation (collectively, "Defendants") and their attorneys of record stipulate and agree, as follows:

- 1. The People shall have judgment without the taking of proof and without a trial and adjudication of any factual or legal issues, on the basis of an ex parte application or other submittal/filing with the Clerk of the Court, as no noticed motion is required under the terms of the agreement between the People and Defendants. The [Stipulated] Final Judgment, attached to the Declaration of Attorney for Defendants In Support of the Judgment by Confession at **Exhibit A**, enumerates the rights and liabilities of the People and Defendants and is incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.
- 2. The People acknowledge and agree that this Judgment by Confession is identical in all respects to the [Stipulated] Final Judgment as it arises from exactly the same factual circumstances and legal theories and does not impose any additional liability or obligation upon Defendants. The People acknowledge and agree that the [Stipulated] Final Judgment was entered into in order to settle disputed claims and that the [Stipulated] Final Judgment is neither an admission nor denial by Defendants of any issue of law or fact or any violation of law.
- 3. The Court will enter judgment in this action, pursuant to the accompanying [Stipulated] Final Judgment and on filing with the Clerk of the Court or on an ex parte request by the People without a noticed motion to Defendants as set forth in the [Stipulated] Final Judgment entered into between the parties.
- a. Specifically, the terms and conditions for Entry of Judgment are found in Sections 4 ("Payments and Civil Penalties"), 5 ("Injunctive Relief"), and 6 ("Material Breach"), including all subsections thereto, of the [Stipulated] Final Judgment, filed concurrently herewith.

ATA Law Group 490 43rd Street, Suite 108 Oakland CA 94608 415-568-5200

JUDGMENT BY CONFESSION

1	APPROVED AS TO FORM BY COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS:
2	Pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure Section 1132, counsel certifies he/she has examined
3 4	the proposed judgment and has advised DEFENDANTS with respect to the waiver of rights and defenses under the confession of judgment procedure and has advised DEFENDANTS to utilize the confession by judgment procedure.
5	HUGHEY PHILLIPS
6	Date: 10/15/2020
7	Signature: Galen Gentry, Attorney for Defendants
8	
9	STIPULATED TO BY:
10	PLACER COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT
11	Date:
12	Signature:
13	Signature: Erik White, Air Pollution Control Officer
14	
15	FEATHER RIVER AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
16	Date:
17	
18	Signature: Christopher Brown, Air Pollution Control Officer
19	APPROVED AS TO FORM BY COUNSEL FOR THE PEOPLE ex rel. PCAPCD AND
20	FRAQMD:
21	AQUA TERRA AERIS LAW GROUP
22	Date:
23	Signature:
24	Matthew C. Maclear, Attorney for Plaintiffs
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	APPROVED AS TO FORM BY COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS:
2	Pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure Section 1132 counsel certifies he/she has examined
3	the proposed judgment and has advised DEFENDANTS with respect to the waiver of rights and defenses under the confession of judgment procedure and has advised DEFENDANTS to utilize the
4	confession by judgment procedure.
5	HUGHEY PHILLIPS
6	Date:
7	Signature: Galen Gentry, Attorney for Defendants
8	Survive Schuly, Fittorney for Defendants
9	STIPULATED TO BY:
10	PLACER COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT
11	Date: 10/15/2020
12	Signature: Solow for
13	Erik White, Air Pollution Control Officer
14	
15	FEATHER RIVER AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
16	Date:
17	
18	Signature: Christopher Brown, Air Pollution Control Officer
19	APPROVED AS TO FORM BY COUNSEL FOR THE PEOPLE ex rel. PCAPCD AND
20	FRAQMD:
21	AQUA TERRA AERIS LAW GROUP
22	Date:
23	Signature:
24	Matthew C. Maclear, Attorney for Plaintiffs
25	
26	
27	
28	
3	JUDGMENT BY CONFESSION

1	APPROVED AS TO FORM BY COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS:
2	Pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure Section 1132, counsel certifies he/she has examined
3 the proposed judgment and has advised DEFENDANTS with respect to the waiver of right	the proposed judgment and has advised DEFENDANTS with respect to the waiver of rights and defenses under the confession of judgment procedure and has advised DEFENDANTS to utilize the
4	confession by judgment procedure.
5	HUGHEY PHILLIPS Date:
6	Date:
7	Signature: Galen Gentry, Attorney for Defendants
8	
9	STIPULATED TO BY:
10	PLACER COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT
11	Date:
12	Signature:
13	Signature: Erik White, Air Pollution Control Officer
14	
15	FEATHER RIVER AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
16	Date:10/15/20
17	
18	Signature: Christopher Brown Christopher Brown, Air Pollution Control Officer
19	APPROVED AS TO FORM BY COUNSEL FOR THE PEOPLE ex rel. PCAPCD AND
20	FRAQMD:
21	AQUA TERRA AERIS LAW GROUP
22	Date:10/16/2020
23	Signature: Modelle
24	Matthew C. Maclear, Attorney for Plaintiffs
25	
26	
27	
28	

# EXHIBIT C to Writ of Execution

MATTHEW C. MACLEAR, SBN 209228	
Oakland, CA 94609	
1 * '	
The People of the State of California ex rel.	
Placer County Air Pollution Air Control District	
Pediner River Air Quality Management District	
CALIFORNIA SUI	PERIOR COURT
COUNTY O	F PLACER
PEOPLE FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA or rel PLACER COUNTY	Case No.: SCV-0043170
AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT	[STIPULATED] FINAL JUDGMENT
MANAGEMENT DISTRICT,	AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION
Plaintiffs	DATE: 10/19/20 TIME: 8:30 am
·	DEPT: 42
	Trial Date: 9/29/2020
JAMES DAY, individually; BARBARA DAY, individually, MID VALLEY CONSULTING &	
GENERAL ENGINEERING, a California	
corporation, and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	
Defendants.	
	ID (I) 2
[Stipulated] Final Judgment a People <i>ex rel</i> . PCAPCD & FRAQMD	
	4030 Martin Luther King Jr. Way Oakland, CA 94609 Telephone: (415) 568-5200 e-mail: mcm@atalawgroup.com  Attorneys for the Plaintiffs The People of the State of California ex rel. Placer County Air Pollution Air Control District Feather River Air Quality Management District  CALIFORNIA SUI  COUNTY OF  PEOPLE FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex rel. PLACER COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT and FEATHER RIVER AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT,  Plaintiffs,  vs.  JAMES DAY, individually; BARBARA DAY, individually, MID VALLEY CONSULTING & GENERAL ENGINEERING, a California corporation; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,  Defendants.

This Stipulated Judgment and Permanent Injunction ("Final Judgment") is entered into by Plaintiff, the People of the State of California ex rel. Placer County Air Pollution Control District and Feather River Air Quality Management District ("People ex rel. PCAPCD & FRAQMD"; "the People"; or "the Plaintiff Air Districts") and Defendants JAMES DAY, BARBARA DAY, and MID VALLEY CONSULTING AND GENERAL ENGINEERING., a California Corporation (collectively, "Defendants"). For the purposes of this Final Judgment, the People and the Defendants shall be referred to collectively as "Parties" and individually as "Party." Both Parties have stipulated and consented to the entry of this Final Judgment. The Parties have agreed to settle the above-captioned matter without further litigation, as set forth below.

### **INTRODUCTION**

This action was filed on July 24, 2019 by the People ex rel. PCAPCD & FRAQMD regarding Defendants' compliance with state laws and regulations governing air pollution in their provision of air quality testing, construction, maintenance, and repair services at six (6) primary gas dispensing facilities ("GDF") within the respective jurisdictions of Placer County Air Pollution Control District ("PCAPCD") and Feather River Air Quality Management District ("FRAQMD"). As set forth in the Complaint, the People allege that Defendants violated Chapter 4 of Division 26 of the California Health & Safety Code sections 42402.4, 42402.3(a), 42402.2(a), 42402.1(a), 42402(b)(1), 42402(a), 41954(f), 41960.2(a)-(b), 42303.5; regulations promulgated under this chapter, including California Code of Regulations, title 23, sections 2715(e) and 2611; California Air Resources Board Executive Orders VR-202-X and VR-204-W and/or earlier iterations of these Orders; as well as PCAPCD Rule 214 Sec. 301, PCAPCD Rule 214 Sec. 303, PCAPCD Rule 214 Sec. 304, PCAPCD Rule 407, and FRAQMD Rules 3.8.E.1 and 3.13.

23

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

Street Gas").

28

<sup>1</sup> Those six stations are: Hira's Beacon, 510 Washington Blvd., Roseville, CA 95678 ("Hira's Beacon");

Arco AM/PM Riverside, 998 Riverside Road, Roseville, CA 95678 ("Riverside Arco"); Rowdy Randy's, 650 High Street, Auburn, California, 95603 ("Rowdy Randy's"); Arco AM/PM, 13405 Lincoln Way, Auburn, CA 95603; Washington Minimart, 999 Washington Blvd., Roseville, California 95678 ("Washington Minimart"); and 5th Street Gas Station, 929 5th Street, Marysville, CA 95901 ("5th

<sup>25</sup> 

Since the filing of the Complaint, representatives of the People *ex rel*. PCAPCD & FRAQMD and Defendants have engaged in settlement discussions. In these negotiations, the People were represented by Aqua Terra Aeris Law Group, and enforcement counsel for PCAPCD and FRAQMD, by and through Matthew C. Maclear, Erica A. Maharg, and James T. Brett. Defendants were represented by the Hughey Phillips, LLP, by and through Galen Gentry and Noah Phillips. The Parties have now agreed to settle this matter without further litigation pursuant to the terms of this Final Judgment for purposes of furthering the public interest.

### FINAL JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION

The Parties, after opportunity for review by counsel, hereby stipulate and consent to the entry of the Final Judgment as set forth below.

### 1. **JURISDICTION**

The Parties stipulate and agree that the Superior Court of California, County of Placer has subject matter jurisdiction over the matters alleged in this action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties to this Final Judgment.

### 2. SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTED CLAIMS

This Final Judgment is neither an admission nor denial by Defendants regarding any issue of law or fact in the above-captioned matter or any violation of law. The Parties enter into this Final Judgment pursuant to a compromise and settlement of disputed claims for purposes of furthering the public interest. The People *ex rel*. PCAPCD & FRAQMD believe that the resolution embodied in this Final Judgment is fair and reasonable and fulfills the People's enforcement objectives; that except as provided in this Final Judgment, no further action is warranted concerning the allegations contained in the Complaint; and that entry of this Final Judgment is in the best interest of the public. Defendants agree that this Final Judgment is a fair and reasonable resolution of the matters alleged in the Complaint. The Parties also waive their separate, independent and individual rights to appeal.

### 3. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>

Except where otherwise expressly defined in this Final Judgment, all terms shall be interpreted consistent with Chapter 4 of Division 26 of the California Health & Safety Code

sections; the regulations promulgated under this chapter, including California Code of Regulations, title 23, sections 2715(e) and 2611; California Air Resources Board Executive Orders VR-202-X and VR-204-W; as well as PCAPCD Rule 214 Sec. 301, PCAPCD Rule 214 Sec. 303, PCAPCD Rule 214 Sec. 304, PCAPCD Rule 407, and FRAQMD Rules 3.8.E.1 and 3.13.

### 4. PAYMENTS AND CIVIL PENALTIES

Upon Entry of this [Stipulated] Final Judgment<sup>2</sup>, Defendants shall pay civil penalties to the Treasurer of Placer County Air Pollution Control District in the amount and manner described below. Defendants, and each of them, are jointly and severally liable for the payment of penalties in this action.

### **4.1 Civil Penalties**

Defendants shall be liable for the total amount of Two-Hundred and Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000), pursuant to section 42405 of the California Health and Safety Code, as a civil penalty by wire transfer or certified funds. Of this civil penalty, at least FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$50,000) shall be paid by Defendants within ninety (90) days of Entry of Final Judgment on counsel for Defendants. The remaining TWO HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$200,000) shall be paid by Defendants in equal monthly installments of Three Thousand Three Hundred and Thirty Three Dollars (\$3,333) for a period of sixty (60) months, with the first of those monthly payments beginning on the first day of the month immediately following Defendants' initial payment of at least Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$50,000).

The amount owed by Defendants under the Final Judgment will be secured by a Deed of Trust, attached hereto as **Exhibit A** (hereafter "the Deed of Trust") on the property owned by Defendants JAMES DAY and BARBARA DAY located in Yuba County and commonly known as 831 N. Beale Rd., Marysville, CA 95901 (hereafter "the North Beale Property"). The Parties have stipulated to a Writ of Execution for the sale of the North Beale Property via a Judgment by Confession pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure sections 1132 and 1133, provided, that,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Entry of Final Judgment is the date on which the Court files the [Stipulated] Final Judgment under Placer County Superior Court No. SCV-0043170

the People ex rel. PCAPCD & FRAQMD shall not file the Judgment by Confession with the Yuba
County Superior Court and shall not levy upon the North Beale Property pursuant to the Stipulated
Writ of Execution unless any Defendant has committed a Material Breach (as such term is defined
in Section 6 below) of this Stipulated Final Judgment. The Stipulated Writ of Execution and
Judgment by Confession are attached hereto as <b>Exhibits B</b> and <b>C</b> , respectively. Defendants agree
to not voluntarily encumber the North Beale Property for five (5) years, or until the total amount
owed under the Final Judgment is paid. If Defendants refinance the existing mortgage on the North
Beale Property, elect to sell the North Beale Property, or otherwise enter into further voluntary
encumbrances <sup>3</sup> of the property within five (5) years of entry of Final Judgment, and/or prior to the
payment of the whole amount owed by Defendants under the Final Judgment, the entire remaining
balance owed by Defendants will become due and payable immediately, and at that time the People
may exercise their rights under the Deed of Trust and/or the Judgment by Confession and Stipulated
Writ of Execution. In the event of any involuntary encumbrance of the North Beale Property (i.e.
the filing of a tax lien), Defendants shall give Plaintiff notice in writing, and PCAPCD and/or
FRAQMD shall provide Defendants with sixty (60) days' notice and opportunity to obtain the
removal of any such involuntary encumbrance. If any involuntary encumbrance is not removed
within sixty (60) days, it will be treated the same as a voluntary encumbrance.
Defendants shall provide thirty (30) days' advance written notice to PCAPCD & FRAQMD
prior to the refinance, sale, or further voluntary encumbrance of the North Beale Property.
Defendants shall obtain an agreement from any title company involved with the sale or refinance of
the North Beale Property to distribute the remaining penalty balance to PCAPCD & FRAQMD at
the time of refinance, sale, or encumbrance of the North Beale Property if within five (5) years of
the Entry of Final Judgment or prior to payment of the entire amount due under the Final Judgment.
Within sixty (60) days of Entry of Final Judgment, Defendants shall enter into a litigation guarantee

for the North Beale Property and will provide notice thereof to senior lien holders; failure to secure

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Encumbrance is defined as including, but not limited to, any mortgage, deed of trust, judgment lien, tax lien, option to sale, sale or division of ownership interest, declaration of homestead or transfer of any portion of the real property.

13

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

27

28

a litigation guarantee and provide notice to senior lien holders within sixty (60) days of Entry of Final Judgment shall constitute a material breach of the terms of this Final Judgment.

The People agree to refrain from recording abstracts of judgment against the properties owned by Defendants JAMES DAY and BARBARA DAY, commonly known as 1097 Bronco Drive, Plumas Lake, CA 95961 and 2551 Plumas Arboga Road, Olivehurst, California 95691 unless and until there has been a Material Breach, defined below, by Defendants of the terms of the Final Judgment, including but not limited to breaches of injunctive, notice, and payment terms. Upon such Material Breach, if within five (5) years of the Entry of Final Judgment and prior to full payment of the full amount owed under this Final Judgment, the People are entitled to record abstracts of judgment against the real properties owned by Defendants JAMES DAY and BARBARA DAY, including but not limited to those located at 1097 Bronco Drive, Plumas Lake, CA 95961 and 2551 Plumas Arboga Road, Olivehurst, California 95691. In the event of any Material Breach by any Defendant of their notice, injunctive or payment obligations hereunder, the People agree to first seek recovery of any remaining unpaid civil penalty balance from (a) levy of the North Beale Property pursuant to the Judgment by Confession and Stipulated Writ of Execution, and/or (b) nonjudicial foreclosure of the Deed of Trust recorded against the North Beale Property. If the People seek to recover any unpaid penalty balance hereunder through both the Stipulated Writ of Execution and the Deed of Trust, the People acknowledge and agree that they shall only be entitled to a single recovery of the aggregate unpaid civil penalty balance from the proceeds of sale of the North Beale Property.

Defendants agree to reimburse the People for all costs and fees incurred as a result of presenting Judgment by Confession, Writ of Execution and levy for the North Beale Property and/or for nonjudicial foreclosure of the Deed of Trust.

### 4.2 Form and Delivery of Payment

Defendants shall timely submit to the office of the Placer County Air Pollution Control District payment in certified funds or via a wire transfer made payable to the Treasurer of the Placer County Air Pollution Control District.

# 

Payment shall be deemed timely if post-marked by the Unites States Postal Service by the date that such payment is due, or upon delivery by such date if delivered personally, by overnight mail, or wire transfer.

Payment may be made by certified funds to: Treasurer of the Placer County Air Pollution Control District, or via wire transfer (with instructions being provided upon request from Defendant)..

### 5. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF</u>

Pursuant to the provisions of California Health & Safety Code sections 41513 and 42452, Defendants are permanently enjoined for a period of five (5) years from violating all air quality control laws, including but not limited to, Chapter 4 of Division 26 of the California Health & Safety Code sections 42402.4, 42402.3(a), 42402.2(a), 42402.1(a), 42402(b)(1), 42402(a), 41954(f), 41960.2(a)-(b), 42303.5; regulations promulgated under this chapter, including California Code of Regulations, title 23, sections 2715(e) and 2611; applicable California Air Resources Board Executive Orders VR-202 and VR-204; as well as PCAPCD Rule 214 Sec. 301, PCAPCD Rule 214 Sec. 303, PCAPCD Rule 214 Sec. 304, PCAPCD Rule 407, and FRAQMD Rules 3.8.E.1 and 3.13. Failure to comply with this Final Judgment, including any of its terms or the specific additional injunctive provisions that follow, may subject Defendants to contempt, shall constitute a material breach of the Final Judgment, and shall result in the remaining balance owed by Defendants under the Final Judgment becoming due and payable at the time of such material breach.

### **5.1 Specific Injunctive Provisions**

**5.1.a** Defendants and their employees must obtain and maintain current air quality certifications as required by Air Resources Board Executive Orders, Installation, Operation and Maintenance Manuals ("IOMs"), and PCAPCD & FRAQMD Advisories for air quality and vapor recovery services provided.

**5.1.b** Defendants shall conduct quality assurance and quality control verifications of the accuracy of the data and test results submitted by Defendants and their employees to PCAPCD, FRAQMD and GDF owners and operators.

1	<b>5.1.c</b> Defendants shall provide all air quality testing and service certifications for Defendants
2	and their employees to the Plaintiff Air Districts on an annual basis, and no later than January 15 <sup>th</sup>
3	of each year.
4	<b>5.1.d</b> Defendants shall provide a minimum of 72 hours advance notice, in writing, of the
5	performance by them, or any of their employees, of any air quality services or testing subject to the
6	jurisdiction of PCAPCD or FRAQMD. On a case-by-case basis, the District may approve shorter
7	notification time. All approvals will be provided in writing. Defendants shall coordinate with
8	Plaintiff Air District personnel to ensure that those personnel are present for such air quality
9	services and testing in the Plaintiff Air Districts' respective jurisdictions; if the Plaintiff Air
10	Districts determine observation for an particular testing or service event is not necessary, this
11	requirement will be waived in writing. The services and testing for which advance notice to the
12	Plaintiff Air Districts is required under subsection 5.1.d include:
13	General Activities Requiring Notification:
14 15	<ul> <li>In Station Diagnostic (ISD) alarm response or troubleshooting</li> <li>Certified Unified Program Agencies (CUPA) alarm response or troubleshooting</li> <li>Designated operator work</li> </ul>
16 17	<ul> <li>Any work to correct/identify defects as found on the California Air Resources Board (CARB) Vapor Recovery Equipment Defect List</li> <li>Point of sale software/hardware work</li> <li>Dispenser work</li> </ul>
18	Any Veeder-Root programming
19 20	<ul> <li>Any Incon programming</li> <li>Any tank monitoring programming</li> <li>Performing a "cold start"</li> </ul>
21	<ul> <li>Any other testing specified by CUPA authorities intended for use at gasoline dispensing facilities, including tests not yet approved.</li> </ul>
22	Test Procedures Found in CARB Executive Orders:
23 24	<ul> <li>VR 201 Exhibit 5 Vapor to Liquid Ratio Test</li> <li>VR 201 Exhibit 7 Nozzle Bag Test Procedure</li> </ul>
25	<ul> <li>VR 201 Exhibit 9 Liquid Condensate Test Procedure</li> <li>VR 201 Exhibit 14 Dispenser Integrity Test</li> </ul>
26	VR 201 Exhibit 15 ARID Technologies AT-150 Permeator Compliance Test Procedure
27	<ul> <li>VR 202 Exhibit 5 Vapor to Liquid Ratio Test</li> <li>VR 202 Exhibit 7 Nozzle Bag Test Procedure</li> </ul>
28	VR 202 Exhibit 9 Veeder-Root ISD Operability Test Procedure

1	VR 202 Exhibit 10 FFS Incon ISD Operability Test Procedure
	VR 202 Exhibit 11 Liquid Condensate Test Procedure
2	VR 202 Exhibit 14 Dispenser Integrity Test
3	<ul> <li>VR 202 Exhibit 15 ARID Technologies AT-150 Permeator Compliance Test Procedure</li> </ul>
	VR 203 Exhibit 5 Liquid Removal Test Procedure
4	VR 203 Exhibit 7 Nozzle Bag Test Procedure
5	VR 203 Exhibit 8 VST ECS; Hydrocarbon Sensor Verification Test Procedure
	VR 203 Exhibit 9 VST ECS; Determination of Processor Activation Pressure
6	VR 203 Exhibit 10 Veeder-Root; Vapor Pressure Sensor Verification Test Procedure
7	VR 203 Exhibit 11 Veeder-Root Vapor Polisher, Operability Test Procedure
7	VR 203 Exhibit 12 Veeder-Root Vapor Polisher; Hydrocarbon Emissions Verification Test
8	Procedure  WB 200 F 1 11 1 12 W 1 100 P
	VR 203 Exhibit 13 Hirt VCS 100 Processor; Operability Test Procedure  VR 203 Exhibit 14 Fig. 11: Fig. 1: Grant CAS State Procedure  VR 203 Exhibit 13 Hirt VCS 100 Processor; Operability Test Procedure  VR 203 Exhibit 13 Hirt VCS 100 Processor; Operability Test Procedure
9	VR 203 Exhibit 14 Franklin Fueling Systems CAS; Station Pressure Performance Test Procedure
10	VR 203 Exhibit 15 VST Green Machine Compliance Test Procedure
11	VR 203 Exhibit 16 Liquid Condensate Trap Compliance Test Procedure
11	VR 204 Exhibit 5 Liquid Removal Test Procedure
12	VR 204 Exhibit 7 Nozzle Bag Test Procedure
	VR 204 Exhibit 8 VST ECS; Hydrocarbon Sensor Verification Test Procedure
13	<ul> <li>VR 204 Exhibit 9 VST ECS; Determination of Processor Activation Pressure</li> </ul>
14	VR 204 Exhibit 10 Veeder-Root; Vapor Pressure Sensor Verification Test Procedure
•	VR 204 Exhibit 11 Veeder-Root Vapor Polisher, Operability Test Procedure
15	VR 204 Exhibit 12 Veeder-Root Vapor Polisher; Hydrocarbon Emissions Verification Test Procedure
16	VR 204 Exhibit 13 Hirt VCS 100 Processor; Operability Test Procedure
17	VR 204 Exhibit 14 Franklin Fueling Systems CAS; Station Pressure Performance Test
1 /	Procedure
18	VR 204 Exhibit 15 VST Green Machine Compliance Test Procedure
.	VR 204 Exhibit 16 Liquid Condensate Trap Compliance Test Procedure
19	<ul> <li>VR 204 Exhibit 17 Veeder-Root; ISD Vapor Flow Meter Operability Test Procedure</li> </ul>
20	VR 204 Exhibit 19 INCON Flow Meter Operability Test Procedure
	VR 204 Exhibit 20 INCON Pressure Sensor Operability Test Procedure
21	Test Procedures found on CARB's Website:
22	
22	TP 201.1b Static Torque of Rotatable Phase I Adaptors
23	TP 201.1c Leak Rate of Drop Tube/Drain Valve Assembly
24	TP 201.1d Leak Rate of Drop Tube Overfill Protection Devices and Spill Container Drain
	Valves
25	• TP 201.13 Leak Rate and Cracking Pressure of Pressure/Vacuum Vent Valves
26	TP 201.3 Determination of 2 Inch WV Static Pressure Performance of Vapor Recovery Systems of Dispensing Englisher  Output  Description  Descrip
-	Systems of Dispensing Facilities  TR 201 3a Determination of Pining Connections to Underground Goseling Storage Tenks
27	• TP 201.3c Determination of Piping Connections to Underground Gasoline Storage Tanks (Tie-Tank Test)
28	TP 201.4 Dynamic Back Pressure
ا ۵۷	- 11 201.7 Dynamic Dack Hossaic

- TP 201.5 Air to Liquid Volume
- TP 201.6 Determination of Liquid Removal of Vapor Recovery Systems of Dispensing Facilities
- TP 201.6c Compliance determination of Liquid Removal Rate
- TP 206.3 Determination of Static Pressure Performance of Vapor Recovery Systems at Gasoline Dispensing Facilities Using Aboveground Storage Tanks
- Any other testing specified by CARB or CARB approved test procedure intended for use at gasoline dispensing facilities, including tests not yet approved.

### Certified Unified Program Agencies (CUPA) Activities:

- CCR 22637(g) Triennial Secondary Containment Testing and Secondary Containment Repair Testing
- CCR 2637.1(f) Annual Spill Bucket Testing and Spill Bucket Repair Testing
- CCR 2637.2(f) Triennial Overfill Prevention Testing and Overfill Prevention Repair Testing
- CCR 2638(e) Annual Monitoring Certification Testing and Monitoring Certification Repair Testing
- 2644.1 (a)(4) Initial Enhanced Leak Detection Testing at install and Suspected Leak Investigations

Irrespective of whether the Plaintiff Air Districts waive the right to be present at the notified testing or services, notice provided by Defendants under this subsection shall include a detailed scope of work, the name and address of the facility where such work will take place, the names of all technicians working on site, all applicable certifications held by each technician required to perform such work, and the dates and times the work will be performed. In addition to the individuals listed in section 8, below, notifications provided to PCAPCD pursuant to this subsection shall be sent to vaporrecoverytesting@placer.ca.gov or pcapcd@placer.ca.gov.

- **5.1.e** On a semi-annual basis, Defendants shall provide all documents in their possession to PCAPCD & FRAQMD evidencing any and all air quality services and testing enumerated in section 5.1.d, and performed by Defendants and their employees within the Plaintiff Districts' respective jurisdictions, and for any business entity in which any of the Defendants have equal to or more than a 25% ownership interest.
- **5.1.f** For any business entity that performs the air quality testing or services enumerated in section 5.1.d within PCAPCD's & FRAQMD's jurisdictions, and which (a) is associated or affiliated with JAMES DAY, (b) is party to any joint venture or partnership with JAMES DAY, (c)

27

23

24

25

26

1	has hired JAMES DAY as a contractor or consultant for services having a value equal to or in
2	excess of Six Hundred Dollars (\$600.00) in the aggregate within any one (1) year period
3	(irrespective of whether JAMES DAY receives compensation for such services), (d) has engaged
4	JAMES DAY to provide air quality testing or services, or (e) for any such business entity in which
5	JAMES DAY has less than a 25% ownership interest, under these circumstances, JAMES DAY
6	must notify the Plaintiff Air Districts of any of the aforementioned business relationships within
7	fifteen (15) days of forming such business relationships. JAMES DAY must provide notice of those
8	business relationships described above and existing at the time of the Entry of Final Judgment
9	within fifteen (15) days of Entry of Final Judgment.
10	6. "MATERIAL BREACH" - VIOLATION OF INJUNCTION AND FAILURE TO PAY
11	<u>PENALTIES</u>
12	6.1. "Material Breach" is defined as follows:
13	6.1.a Failure to satisfy any injunctive, notice or payment term as required under this Final
14	Judgment.
15	6.1.b Failure to provide truthful and accurate information or notices as described in section
16	5.1 shall constitute a material breach of the Final Judgment.
17	6.1.c Failure to satisfy any monthly payment obligation for two (2) or more consecutive
18	months, or failure to pay previously owed and unpaid penalties from the previous month's payment
19	in the following month's penalty installment payment shall constitute a material breach of the Final
20	Judgment, unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties in writing, and approved by counsel.
21	6.2 Upon any Material Breach of any injunctive, notice, and payment term described in the
22	Final Judgment, the entire remaining amount owed by Defendants under the Final Judgment shall
23	become immediately due and payable and empower the People to exercise their rights under the
24	Final Judgment, Stipulated Writ of Execution, and Judgment by Confession
25	7. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS FINAL JUDGMENT
26	7.1 This Final Judgment is a final and binding resolution and settlement of all claims,

violations, or causes of action alleged by the People ex rel. PCAPCD & FRAQMD in the Complaint

court order or public authority or agency; or action or non-action by, or inability to obtain the necessary authorizations or approvals from any governmental agency. A Force Majeure Event shall not include normal inclement weather, acts or omissions of Defendants or its employees, representatives or agents, or Defendants' financial inability to fund or complete the obligation(s) under this Final Judgment. Defendants shall provide notice to Plaintiff orally or by electronic or facsimile transmission as soon as practicable, but not later than ten (10) days after the time Defendants first knew of, or by the exercise of due diligence, should have known of, a claimed Force Majeure Event. The notice shall describe the event and the length of any extension sought. If Plaintiff agrees that a Force Majeure Event, as defined by this paragraph, has occurred, Plaintiff shall agree to extend the time for Defendants to perform the affected requirements for the time necessary to complete those obligations. Failures resulting from a Force Majeure Event shall not be considered a breach of this Final Judgment, provided Defendants complies with the terms of this paragraph. If Plaintiff does not agree that a Force Majeure Event, as defined by this paragraph, has occurred or does not agree to the length of the extension of time sought by Defendants, Plaintiff's position shall be binding, unless Defendants invokes Dispute Resolution under section 14 of this Final Judgment. In any such dispute, Defendants bears the burden of proving, by a preponderance of the evidence, that each claimed force majeure event is a Force Majeure Event, that Defendants gave the notice required by this paragraph, that the Force Majeure Event caused any failure to comply or delay in compliance with an obligation of this Final Judgment that Defendants claim was attributable to that event, and that Defendants exercised reasonable efforts to prevent or minimize any failure or delay in compliance caused by the event. The requirement that Defendants exercise their "best efforts to fulfill the obligation" includes the requirement that Defendants use their best efforts to anticipate any potential force majeure event and use best efforts to address the effects of any potential force majeure event: (1) as it is occurring, and (2) following the force majeure event,

### 8. NOTICE

All submissions and notices required by this Final Judgment shall be sent to:

such that the delay is minimized to the greatest extent possible.

5

7

8

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

1	For the People <i>ex rel</i> . PCAPCD & FRAQMD:
2	Erik White
3	Bruce Springsteen, Compliance Supervisor Air Pollution Control Officer
4	Placer County Air Pollution Control District
	110 Maple Street, Auburn, CA 95603
5	Aubum, CA 75005
6	Christopher Brown, AICP Air Pollution Control Officer
7	Feather River Air Quality Management District
8	541 Washington Ave. Yuba City, CA 95991
9	Tuba City, CA 93991
10	With a copy to:
	Matthew C. Maclear
11	Aqua Terra Aeris Law Group
12	mcm@atalawgroup.com
13	For Defendants JAMES DAY, BARBARA DAY, and MID VALLEY CONSULTING AND
14	GENERAL ENGINEERNG:
15	James Day
16	1097 Bronco Drive Plumas Lake, CA 95961
17	
	With a copy to:
18	Galen Gentry
19	Hughey Phillips, LLP Counsel of Record for Defendants
20	520 9th St, Suite 230
21	Sacramento, CA 95814
22	
23	Any Party may change its notice name and address by informing the other party in writing, but
	no change is effective until it proof of receipt is confirmed. All notices and other communications
24	required or permitted under this Final Judgment that are properly addressed as provided in this
25	paragraph are effective upon delivery if delivered personally or by overnight mail, or are effective
26	five (5) days following deposit in the United States mail, postage prepaid, if delivered by First Class
27	
28	mail.
	13
	[Stipulated] Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction in People <i>ex rel</i> . PCAPCD & FRAQMD v. James Day, <i>et al.</i> (SCV-0043170)
- 1	- 15pt 00. 10. 1 011 02 00 1111 (2.12) (( outlines 2 u), 0, 00. ( o 0 10.170)

# 

# 

# 

### 9. EFFECT OF FINAL JUDGMENT

Except as expressly provided in this Final Judgment, nothing in this Final Judgment is intended nor shall it be construed to preclude the People *ex rel*. PCAPCD & FRAQMD, or any state, county, city, or local agency, department, board or entity from exercising its authority under any law, statute or regulation. Except as expressly provided in this Final Judgment, Defendants retain all of their defenses to the exercise of the aforementioned authority

### 10. LIABLITY OF THE PEOPLE

The People *ex rel*. PCAPCD & FRAQMD shall not, in the absence of negligence or breach of any duty imposed by law, be liable for any injury or damage to persons or property resulting from acts or omissions by Defendants, their directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives or contractors, in merely carrying out activities pursuant to this Final Judgment, nor shall the People *ex rel*. PCAPCD & FRAQMD be held as a party to or guarantor of any contract entered into by Defendants, its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives or contractors, in carrying out the requirements of this Final Judgment.

### 11. NO WAIVER OF RIGHT TO ENFORCE

The failure of the People to enforce any provision of this Final Judgment shall neither be deemed a waiver of such provision nor in any way affect the validity of this Final Judgment. The failure of the People *ex rel*. PCAPCD & FRAQMD to enforce any such provision shall not preclude it from later enforcing the same or any Reserved Claim of this Final Judgment. Except as expressly provided in this Final Judgment, Defendants retain all defenses allowed by law to any such later enforcement. No oral advice, guidance, suggestions or comments by employees or officials of any Plaintiff Air District regarding matters covered in this Final Judgment shall be construed to relieve any Party of its obligations under this Final Judgment, unless made in writing and approved by counsel.

### 12. <u>FUTURE REGULATORY CHANGES</u>

Nothing in this Final Judgment shall excuse Defendants from meeting any more stringent requirements that may be imposed by applicable law or by any changes in the applicable law,

3

### 13. APPLICATION OF FINAL JUDGMENT

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

# 13

14

15 16

17

18

20

21 22

23

25

26

27

28

including but not limited to, any changes to Plaintiff Air District Rules or California Air Resources Board Executive Orders.

This Final Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the People ex rel. PCAPCD & FRAQMD and upon Defendants, separately and each of them, and their officers, managers and employees., agents performing work subject to the Air District's independent jurisdictions, successors and assigns, provided, that, this Final Judgment shall not be applicable to any successorin-interest of Mid Valley Consulting & General Engineering following an arms-length sale of assets to any bona fide third-party unaffiliated with any Defendants. If Defendants sell more than 25% of Mid Valley Consulting's assets, the payment of the remaining of penalties owed and payable under this [Stipulated] Final Judgment would become due and payable within 15 days of the asset purchase.

### 14. <u>AUTHORITY TO ENTER FINAL JUDGMENT</u>

Each signatory to this Final Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to enter into this Final Judgment, to execute it on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party or Parties on whose behalf that individual is so signing.

### 15. CONTINUING JURISDICTION & DISPUTE RESOLUTION

**15.1** Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 664.6, the Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this Final Judgment and to address any other matters arising out of this Final Judgment including any potential dispute among the Parties that may arise under the provisions of this Final Judgment. The Court shall have the power to enforce this Final Judgment with all available legal and equitable remedies, including contempt.

15.2 Any Party may invoke the dispute resolution procedures of this Section by notifying any other Party in writing of the matter(s) in dispute and of the disputing Party's proposal for resolution. The Parties shall then meet and confer in good faith (either telephonically or in person) within ten (10) days of the date of the notice to attempt to fully resolve the dispute no later than thirty (30) calendar days from the date of the notice.

**15.3** If the Parties cannot resolve the dispute within thirty (30) days of the meet and confer described in Paragraph 13.2, the Parties agree that the dispute may be submitted for formal resolution by filing a motion before the Superior Court for the County of Placer.

**15.4** In resolving any dispute arising from this Final Judgment before the Court, the Parties shall be entitled to seek fees and costs incurred.

### 16. PAYMENT OF LITIGATION EXPENSES AND FEES

The Parties shall pay their own attorney fees, expert witness fees and costs and all other costs of litigation and investigation incurred to date.

### 17. INTERPRETATION

This Final Judgment was drafted equally by all Parties. The Parties agree that the rule of construction holding that ambiguity is construed against the drafting party shall not apply to the interpretation of this Final Judgment.

### 18. COUNTERPART SIGNATURES

This Final Judgment may be executed by the Parties in counterpart.

### 19. ENTRY AFTER CONSIDERATION

The Parties seek approval of this Final Judgment after consideration by the Court and have requested that the Court decide that the Final Judgment is fair and in the public interest.

### **20. INTEGRATION**

This Final Judgment constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties and may not be amended or supplemented except as provided for in the Final Judgment. No oral representations have been made or relied upon other than as expressly set forth herein.

### 21. MODIFICATION OF FINAL JUDGMENT

This Final Judgment may be modified only upon a noticed motion by one of the Parties with approval of the Court, or upon written consent by all of the Parties and the approval of the Court.

### 22. RECONVEYANCE OF DEED OF TRUST; SATISFACTION OF JUDGMENT

Within fifteen (15) business days of the date on which Defendants complete payment of the civil penalties described in Section 4.1 above, the People *ex rel*. PCAPCD & FRAQMD shall deliver to

1	Defendants: (a) a fully-executed and notarized reconveyance of the Deed of Trust in form and
2	substance reasonably satisfactory to Defendants, whom will provide the proposed language (b) a
3	fully-executed Acknowledgement of Satisfaction of Judgment with respect to this Final Judgment,
4	acknowledging full satisfaction of this Final Judgment and (c) a fully-executed Acknowledgement
5	of Satisfaction of Judgment, with respect to the Judgment by Confession acknowledging full
6	satisfaction of the Judgment by Confession, if and to the extent that the Judgment by Confession has
7	been filed with the Yuba County Superior Court. If the Judgment by Confession has not be filed
8	with the Yuba County Superior Court it shall be returned to Defendants for destruction within
9	fifteen (15) business days of completion of payments of the civil penalties hereunder.
10	23. TERMINATION OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION
11	After the Permanent Injunction has been in effect for five (5) years, and after the total amount
12	owed by Defendants under this Final Judgment has been paid in full, the injunction prescribed by
13	this Final Judgment in section 5 above shall terminate. Upon such termination, the injunctive
14	provisions under Paragraph 5 and 5.1 I et seq. shall have no prospective force or effect.
15	
16	
17	Approved as to Form and Substance.
18	Dated: 10/16/2020 AQUA TERRA AERIS LAW GROUP
19	By: The Mostler
20	Matthew C. Maclear For Plaintiffs
21	10/15/2020
22	Dated: 10/15/2020  HUGHEY PHILLIPS, LLP  By:  Galen M. Gentry
23	Galen M. Gentry For Defendants
24	IT IS SO STIPULATED.
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	Dated:	
2		PLACER COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT
3		By:
4		ADAM BAUGHMAN
5		Deputy Air Pollution Control Officer
6		FEATHER RIVER AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
7	Dated:	
8		By: Christopher Brown
9		Air Pollution Control Officer
10	FOR JAMES DAV. RARARA DAV and	d MID VALLEY CONSULTING AND GENERAL
11	ENIGNEERING, a California Corporati	ion.
12	Dated: 10 - 15 - 2020	Ву:
13		JAMES DAY
14		
15	Dated: 10-15-2020	By: Barbar (2) Ag
16		BARBARA DAY
17	ĺ	IMM
18	DATED: 10-15-2020	BY: My
19	İ	MID VALLEY CONSULTING & GENERAL ENGINEERING JAMES DAY, PRESIDENT/CEO
20		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND D	DECREED.
22		
23	DATED:	By:
24	DIXION.	HON. CHARLES D. WACHOB
25		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
26		
27		
28		
-	FO. 1. 11 P. 1 I. 1	18
- 11	Stimulated Final Ind.	amount and Dames are at I.

ATA Law Group 490 43rd Street, Suite 108 Oakland CA 94608 415-568-5200

1	Dated: 10/15/2020	
2		PLACER COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT
3		By: Sam John
4		ADAM BAUGHMAN
5		Deputy Air Pollution Control Officer
6		FEATHER RIVER AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
7	Dated:	By:
8		CHRISTOPHER BROWN Air Pollution Control Officer
9		All I ollulon Collifor Officer
10		I MID VALLEY CONSULTING AND GENERAL
11		
12	Dated:	By:
13		JAMES DAY
14		
15	Dated:	By:
16		Barbara Day
17		
18	DATED:	By: Mid Valley Consulting & General Engineering
19	MID VALLEY CONSULTING & GENERAL ENGINEERIN JAMES DAY, PRESIDENT/CEO	
20		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.	
22		
23	DATED:	By:
24		HON. CHARLES D. WACHOB JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
25		JUDGE OF THE SUI ERIOR COURT
26		
27		
28		
108		18

ATA Law Group 490 43rd Street, Suite 108 Oakland CA 94608

1	Dated:	Dr. A CED CONNEW AND DOLLA MERON CONTROL
2		PLACER COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT
3		By:
4		ADAM BAUGHMAN Deputy Air Pollution Control Officer
5		
6	5	FEATHER RIVER AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
7	Dated: <u>10/15/20</u>	By: Christopher Brown
8	3	CHRISTOPHER BROWN
9		Air Pollution Control Officer
10	FOR JAMES DAY; BARARA DAY, and MID V	ALLEY CONSULTING AND GENERAL
11		ALLEET CONSCRIENCE MAD GENERAL
12	Dated: By:	
13	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Day
14		
15	Dated: By:	
16	BARBA	ra Day
17	,	
18		
19		ALLEY CONSULTING & GENERAL ENGINEERING DAY, PRESIDENT/CEO
20		
21	1 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.	
22		
23	DATED: BY:	
24	HON. C	HARLES D. WACHOB OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
25		OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
26		
27		
28		
ATA Law Group 490 43rd Street, Suite 108	18	ID
Oakland CA 94608 415-568-5200	[Stipulated] Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction in People <i>ex rel</i> . PCAPCD & FRAQMD v. James Day, <i>et al.</i> (SCV-0043170)	

# Exhibit C to [Stipulated] Final Judgment

1 2	MATTHEW C. MACLEAR, SBN 209228 4030 Martin Luther King Jr. Way		
	Oakland, CA 94609 Telephone: (415) 568-5200		
3	e-mail: mcm@atalawgroup.com		
4	Attorneys for the Plaintiffs		
5	The People of the State of California ex rel.		
6	Placer County Air Pollution Air Control District Feather River Air Quality Management District		
7			
8	CALIFORNIA SU	PERIOR COURT	
9	COUNTY	OF YUBA	
10			
11	PEOPLE FOR THE STATE OF	Case No.:	
12	CALIFORNIA, ex rel. PLACER COUNTY		
13	AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT and FEATHER RIVER AIR QUALITY	JUDGMENT BY CONFESSION C.C.P. §§ 1132-1134	
14	MANAGEMENT DISTRICT,		
15	Plaintiffs,		
16	vs.		
17	JAMES DAY, individually; BARBARA DAY,		
18	individually, MID VALLEY CONSULTING & GENERAL ENGINEERING, a California		
19	corporation; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,		
20			
21	Defendants.		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

JUDGMENT BY CONFESSION

The PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA *ex rel*. PLACER COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT and FEATHER RIVER AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT ("People *ex rel*. PCAPCD & FRAQMD" or "the People") and Defendants JAMES DAY, BARBARA DAY, and MID VALLEY CONSULTING AND GENERAL ENGINEERING., a California Corporation (collectively, "Defendants") and their attorneys of record stipulate and agree, as follows:

- 1. The People shall have judgment without the taking of proof and without a trial and adjudication of any factual or legal issues, on the basis of an ex parte application or other submittal/filing with the Clerk of the Court, as no noticed motion is required under the terms of the agreement between the People and Defendants. The [Stipulated] Final Judgment, attached to the Declaration of Attorney for Defendants In Support of the Judgment by Confession at **Exhibit A**, enumerates the rights and liabilities of the People and Defendants and is incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.
- 2. The People acknowledge and agree that this Judgment by Confession is identical in all respects to the [Stipulated] Final Judgment as it arises from exactly the same factual circumstances and legal theories and does not impose any additional liability or obligation upon Defendants. The People acknowledge and agree that the [Stipulated] Final Judgment was entered into in order to settle disputed claims and that the [Stipulated] Final Judgment is neither an admission nor denial by Defendants of any issue of law or fact or any violation of law.
- 3. The Court will enter judgment in this action, pursuant to the accompanying [Stipulated] Final Judgment and on filing with the Clerk of the Court or on an ex parte request by the People without a noticed motion to Defendants as set forth in the [Stipulated] Final Judgment entered into between the parties.
- a. Specifically, the terms and conditions for Entry of Judgment are found in Sections 4 ("Payments and Civil Penalties"), 5 ("Injunctive Relief"), and 6 ("Material Breach"), including all subsections thereto, of the [Stipulated] Final Judgment, filed concurrently herewith.

ATA Law Group 490 43rd Street, Suite 108 Oakland CA 94608 415-568-5200

JUDGMENT BY CONFESSION

1	APPROVED AS TO FORM BY COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS:	
2	Pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure Section 1132, counsel certifies he/she has examined	
3 4	the proposed judgment and has advised DEFENDANTS with respect to the waiver of rights and defenses under the confession of judgment procedure and has advised DEFENDANTS to utilize the confession by judgment procedure.	
5	HUGHEY PHILLIPS	
6	Date: 10/15/2020	
7	Signature: Galen Gentry, Attorney for Defendants	
8		
9	STIPULATED TO BY:	
10	PLACER COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT	
11	Date:	
12	Signature:	
13	Signature: Erik White, Air Pollution Control Officer	
14		
15	FEATHER RIVER AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT	
16	Date:	
17		
18	Signature: Christopher Brown, Air Pollution Control Officer	
19		
20	FRAQMD:	
21	AQUA TERRA AERIS LAW GROUP	
22	Date:	
23	Signature:	
24	Matthew C. Maclear, Attorney for Plaintiffs	
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	APPROVED AS TO FORM BY COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS:
2	Pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure Section 1132 counsel certifies he/she has examined
3	the proposed judgment and has advised DEFENDANTS with respect to the waiver of rights and defenses under the confession of judgment procedure and has advised DEFENDANTS to utilize the
4	confession by judgment procedure.
5	HUGHEY PHILLIPS
6	Date:
7	Signature: Galen Gentry, Attorney for Defendants
8	Gaich Gentry, Attorney for Defendants
9	STIPULATED TO BY:
10	PLACER COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT
11	Date: 10/15/2020
12	Signature: Solow for
13	Erik White, Air Pollution Control Officer
14	
15	FEATHER RIVER AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
16	
17	Date:
18	Signature: Christopher Brown, Air Pollution Control Officer
19	APPROVED AS TO FORM BY COUNSEL FOR THE PEOPLE ex rel. PCAPCD AND
20	FRAQMD:
21	AQUA TERRA AERIS LAW GROUP
22	Date:
23	Signature:
24	Matthew C. Maclear, Attorney for Plaintiffs
25	
26	
27	
28	
	3
3	JUDGMENT BY CONFESSION

1	APPROVED AS TO FORM BY COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS:
2	Pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure Section 1132, counsel certifies he/she has examined
3	the proposed judgment and has advised DEFENDANTS with respect to the waiver of rights and defenses under the confession of judgment procedure and has advised DEFENDANTS to utilize the
4	confession by judgment procedure.
5	HUGHEY PHILLIPS
6	Date:
7	Signature: Galen Gentry, Attorney for Defendants
8	
9	STIPULATED TO BY:
10	PLACER COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT
11	Date:
12	Signature:
13	Signature: Erik White, Air Pollution Control Officer
14	
15	FEATHER RIVER AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
16	Date:10/15/20
17	
18	Signature: Christopher Brown Christopher Brown, Air Pollution Control Officer
19	APPROVED AS TO FORM BY COUNSEL FOR THE PEOPLE ex rel. PCAPCD AND
20	FRAQMD:
21	AQUA TERRA AERIS LAW GROUP
22	Date:10/16/2020
23	Signature: Modeller
24	Matthew C. Maclear, Attorney for Plaintiffs
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	MATTHEW C. MACLEAR, SBN 209228		
2	4030 Martin Luther King Jr. Way   Oakland, CA 94609		
3	Telephone: (415) 568-5200 e-mail: mcm@atalawgroup.com		
4			
5	Attorneys for the Plaintiffs The People of the State of California ex rel.		
6	Placer County Air Pollution Air Control District Feather River Air Quality Management District		
7	<b>2</b> ,		
8	CALIFORNIA SUI	PERIOR COURT	
9	COUNTY	OF YUBA	
10			
11	PEOPLE FOR THE STATE OF	Case No.:	
12	CALIFORNIA, ex rel. PLACER COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT	DECLARATION OF ATTORNEY FOR	
13	and FEATHER RIVER AIR QUALITY	DEFENDANTS IN SUPPORT OF	
14	MANAGEMENT DISTRICT,	JUDGMENT BY CONFESSION C.C.P. §§ 1132-1134	
15	Plaintiffs,		
16	vs.		
17	JAMES DAY, individually; BARBARA DAY,		
18	individually, MID VALLEY CONSULTING & GENERAL ENGINEERING, a California		
19	corporation; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,		
20	Defendants.		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	1		
		NDANTS IN SUPPORT OF JUDGMENT BY	

CONFESSION

# DECLARATION OF ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

### Pursuant to Section 1132 of California Code of Civil Procedure

I, Galen M. Gentry, declare:

- I am an attorney at law licensed to practice in all of the courts of the State of
   California and attorney duly representing Defendants JAMES DAY, BARBARA DAY, and MID
   VALLEY CONSULTING AND GENERAL ENGINEERING, ("Defendants"), in this matter.
- 2. I have reviewed the Judgment by Confession, as well as the [Stipulated] Final Judgment, attached hereto as **Exhibit A**, and filed concurrently with and supporting the Judgment by Confession, between Defendants and THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA *ex rel*. PLACER COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT and FEATHER RIVER AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT.
- 3. I have advised Defendants with respect to all waivers of rights and defenses included within the Stipulated Final Judgment and Judgment by Confession that pertain to the Defendants.
- 4. I have advised the Defendants of their rights and liabilities associated with using the Confession of Judgment procedure in order to resolve claims made by the People *ex rel*. Placer County Air Pollution Control District and Feather River Air Quality Management District.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 15th day of October 2020, at Sacramento, California.

Galen Gentry,

Attorney for Defendants

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	MATTHEW C. MACLEAR, SBN 209228 4030 Martin Luther King Jr. Way Oakland, CA 94609 Telephone: (415) 568-5200 e-mail: mcm@atalawgroup.com  Attorneys for the Plaintiffs The People of the State of California ex rel. Placer County Air Pollution Air Control District Feather River Air Quality Management District	
8	CALIFORNIA SUI	PERIOR COURT
9	COUNTY OF YUBA	
10		
11	PEOPLE FOR THE STATE OF	Case No.:
12	CALIFORNIA, ex rel. PLACER COUNTY	
13 14	AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT and FEATHER RIVER AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT,	DECLARATION OF DEFENDANT IN SUPPORT OF JUDGMENT BY CONFESSION
15	Plaintiffs,	C.C.P. §§ 1132-1134
16	vs.	
17	JAMES DAY, individually; BARBARA DAY,	
18	individually, MID VALLEY CONSULTING &	
19	GENERAL ENGINEERING, a California corporation; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	
20		
	Defendants.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	DECLARATION OF DEFENDANT IN SUP	PORT OF JUDGMENT BY CONFESSION

10

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

### **DECLARATION OF DEFENDANT**

## Pursuant to Section 1133 of California Code of Civil Procedure

I, JAMES A. DAY, declare:

- I have reviewed and agreed to the [Stipulated] Final Judgment, attached hereto as 1. Exhibit A, and filed concurrently herewith and supporting the Judgment by Confession resolving the matter between myself, my wife and Mid Valley Consulting & General Engineering and the People ex rel. Placer County Air Pollution Control District and Feather River Air Quality Management District.
- 3. I understand and acknowledge that I have agreed to the [Stipulated] Final Judgment in exchange for a resolution of the pending litigation against me and brought by the People of the State of California ex rel. Placer County Air Pollution Control District and the Feather River Air Quality Management District.
- 4. I understand and acknowledge that I have agreed to comply with all terms of the Stipulated Final Judgment, including but not limited to the injunctive, notice, and payment terms included therein.
- 5. I agree that pursuant to this Judgment by Confession, and in the event that I commit a material breach, as defined in the [Stipulated] Final Judgment, by violating any the injunctive, notice, or payment terms of the [Stipulated] Final Judgment, that the People ex rel. Placer County Air Pollution Control District and the Feather River Air Quality Management District may enforce the Stipulated Writ of Execution via this Judgment by Confession and accompanying [Stipulated] Final Judgment to satisfy any remaining balance owed on the TWO HUNDRED AND FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLAR (\$250,000) penalty under the [Stipulated] Final Judgment.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 15th day of October, 2020, at Plums lake CA, California.

President/CEO of Mid Valley Consulting & General Engineering